Q Search analysis, research, academics...

TC Afrique

THE CONVERSATION

Academic rigour, journalistic flair

Climate Arts + Culture Business + Economy Education Environment + Energy Health + Medicine Politics Science + Tech

Green policies are in place for South Africa's major port city: but a key piece is missing

Published: April 21, 2022 11.49am SAST



Household waste in the Port of Durban after the 2019 floods. Photo courtesy of Douw Steyn, Plastic SA

Author



Richard Meissner Associate Professor, University of South Africa

Disclosure statement

Richard Meissner receives funding from the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research and the National Research Foundation. He is also an Associate Professor at Unisa's Department of Political Sciences and an Honorary Research Fellow at the University of KwaZulu-Natal's Centre for Water Resources Research.





University of South Africa provides funding as a partner of The Conversation AFRICA.

The Conversation is funded by the National Research Foundation, eight universities, including the Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Rhodes University, Stellenbosch University and the Universities of Cape Town, Johannesburg, Kwa-Zulu Natal, Pretoria, and South Africa. It is hosted by the Universities of the Witwatersrand and Western Cape, the African Population and Health Research Centre and the Nigerian Academy of Science. The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation is a Strategic Partner. more



We believe in the free flow of information

Email
X (Twitter)
Facebook

🖶 Print

in LinkedIn

The <u>floods of April 2022</u> in the South African city of Durban (eThekwini

4 municipality) placed the spotlight on the management of urban areas and their

vulnerability to natural disasters. That homes had been built close to rivers and on floodplains indicates a shortfall in urban planning.

Much of the global thinking about managing risks like flooding uses the concepts of green and ecological infrastructure. They highlight the role of "natural" infrastructure in mitigating natural and human-induced risks. Examples <u>include</u> open green spaces and water bodies, green roofs and walls, street trees, ponds, urban wetlands, restored watercourses and reconnected floodplains.

Green infrastructure refers more to metropolitan environments and ecological infrastructure to rural locations. Both concepts come into play in South African municipalities. This is because they tend to be a mix of city and semi-rural environments, with formal and informal settlements.

Municipalities have a considerable degree of independence in South Africa. The country's <u>Climate Change White Paper</u> also recognises local governments as essential actors in the climate change response. They are responsible for providing and managing water. And they can largely choose how they do this.

Get your news from people who know what they're talking about.

Get newsletter

In eThekwini and other cities there are signs – like the recent <u>flood damage</u> – that municipalities are not handling this responsibility well. I wanted to find out more about why this was so.

For my <u>study</u>, which started in 2018, I interviewed municipal officials and researchers and asked them about their understanding of green and ecological infrastructure. eThekwini's Environmental Planning and Climate Protection Department employs scientists and develops these infrastructures. Examples are sustainable drainage systems, estuary maintenance and the eradication of alien riverside vegetation.

The department also networks with international forums and government institutions.

Analysing their responses, I concluded that they were mostly concerned with climate change and biodiversity. The municipality thought of water security as a matter of water quality and quantity.

Republish our articles for free, online or in print, under Creative Commons licence.

Republish this article

But the powerful experts in city government were missing a key ingredient: the perspective of residents. Experts don't always know how their policies might affect residents – directly or indirectly.

If they don't include more viewpoints, expert governors risk being seen as illegitimate. In turn, this could result in political instability and resistance.

Policy landscape

My research showed that eThekwini's green and ecological infrastructure policies were informed by scientific evidence. The municipality worked with experts from research institutions, universities and scientifically informed NGOs.

Staff had contributed to reports by the United Nations' Inter-Governmental Panel on Climate Change. The environmental planning department had also been active in international forums such as the <u>C40 Cities</u> and the <u>Western Indian</u> <u>Ocean Marine Science Association</u>. And it had worked with the city's Water and Sanitation Department and the <u>South African National Biodiversity Institute</u> to restore water catchment areas.

But what the officials told me showed that they viewed green initiatives from a natural science perspective – not a social one.

A scientifically informed public policy has pros and cons. Where science and engineering experts are also in power, green and ecological infrastructures become a political matter since they are part of a local government structure. Only a few experts have the power to make and act on policies. Everyone in their network tends to think much the same way as they do. Dissenting voices are not heard.

The experts can resist external influences and exclude marginalised groups, such as those living in informal settlements and traditionally governed areas. For example, in KwaZulu-Natal, traditional leaders (*amakhosi*) have a role to play in urban planning. They are influential in their communities. This is particularly the case in the semi-rural areas around eThekwini where they have the authority to distribute land.

In my interviews with stakeholders outside the municipality, concerns were raised that green policies and programmes were not communicated effectively to residents. This arose from interviews with people at the Council for Scientific and

Industrial Research and <u>Palmiet River Watch</u> in eThekwini.

Based on my study, I suggest that eThekwini's Environmental Planning and Climate Protection Department should consider democratic deliberation and information sharing with residents. This is a way to counteract top-down decision making and "groupthink". When people aren't aware of initiatives, they can't support them and make them legitimate. It also means residents are unable to hold the municipality accountable.

Climate change Floods Urban planning Water management green cities Green infrastructure

Events	Want to write?	
StellenboschX: Freedom of expression in the African media and digital policy landscape — Cape Town, Western Cape	Write an article and join a growing community of more than 179,100 academics and researchers from 4,897 institutions.	
Standing on the Edge of Error - A STIAS Public Lecture by Nigel Shadbolt — Stellenbosch, Western Cape	Register n	now –
Wits Innovation Seminar Series: Beyond disposal-toward a circular economy for batteries — Johannersburg , Gauteng		
RU Scholarship: Critical Reflections — Makhanda		
RU120 Africa Europe Cluster of Research Excellence — Makhanda, Eastern Cape		

More events

Editorial Policies	Who we are
Community standards	Our charter
Republishing guidelines	Our team
Analytics	Partners and funders
Job Board	Resource for media
Events	Contact us
Our feeds	
Get newsletter	

