

The South African Institute for Computer Scientists and Information Technologists

ANNUAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SYMPOSIUM

23-24 NOVEMBER 1998 CAPE FOWN Van Plebeesk hetel in Gordons Bay

Hosted by the University of Cape Town in association with the CSSA, Potchefstrobus University for CHE and The University of Natal

PROCEEDINGS

EDITED BY D. PETKGV AND L. VENTER

SPONSORED BY



•



The South African Institute for Computer Scientists and Information Technologists

ANNUAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SYMPOSIUM

23-24 NOVEMBER 1998 CAPE TOWN Van Riebeeck hotel in Gordons Bay

Hosted by the University of Cape Town in association with the CSSA, Potchefstroom University for CHE and The University of Natal

GENERAL CHAIR : PROF G. HATTINGH, PU CHE

PROGRAMME CO-CHAIRS: PROF. L VENTER, PU CHE (Vaal Triangle), PROF. D. PETKOV, UN-PMB

LOCAL ORGANISING CHAIR: PROF. P. LICKER, UCT - IS

PROCEEDINGS

EDITED BY

D. PETKOV AND L. VENTER

SYMPOSIUM THEME:

Development of a quality academic CS/IS infrastraucture in South Africa

SPONSORED BY



Copyrights reside with the original authors who may be contacted directly.

Proceedings of the 1998 Annual Research Conference of the South African Institute for Computer Scientists and Information Technologists. Edited by Prof. D. Petkov and Prof. L. Venter Van Reebeck Hotel, Gordons Bay, 23-24 November 1998

ISBN: 1-86840-303-3

Keywords: Computer Science, Information Systems, Software Engineering.

The views expressed in this book are those of the individual authors and not of the South African Institute for Computer Scientists and Information Technologists.

Office of SAICSIT: Prof. J.M.Hatting, Department of Computer Science and information Systems, Potchefstroom University for CHE, Private Bag X6001, Potchefstroom, 2520, RSA.

Produced by the Library Copy Centre, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg.

FOREWORD

The South African Institute for Computer Scientists and Information Technologists (SAICSIT) promotes the cooperation of academics and industry in the area of research and development in Computer Science, Information Systems and Technology and Software Engineering. The culmination of its activities throughout the year is the annual research symposium. This book is a collection of papers presented at the 1998 such event taking place on the 23rd and 24th of November in Gordons Bay, Cape Town. The Conference is hosted by the Department of Information Systems, University of Cape Town in cooperation with the Department of Computer Science, Potchefstroom University for CHE and and Department of Computer Science and Information Systems of the University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg.

There are a total of 46 papers. The speakers represent practitioners and academics from all the major Universities and Technikons in the country. The number of industry based authors has increased compared to previous years.

We would like to express our gratitude to the referees and the paper contributors for their hard work on the papers included in this volume. The Organising and Programme Committees would like to thank the keynote speaker, Prof M.C.Jackson, Dean, University of Lincolshire and Humberside, United Kingdom, President of the International Federation for Systems Research as well as the Computer Society of South Africa and The University of Cape Town for the cooperation as well as the management and staff of the Potchefstroom University for CHE and the University of Natal for their support and for making this event a success.

Giel Hattingh, Paul Licker, Lucas Venter and Don Petkov

Table of Contents	Page
Lynette Drevin: Activities of IFIP wg 11.8 (computer security education) & IT related ethics education in Southern Africa	1
Reinhardt A. Botha and Jan H.P. Eloff: exA Security Interpretation of the Workflow Reference Model	3
Willem Krige and Rossouw von Solms: Effective information security monitoring using data logs	9
Eileen Munyiri and Rossouw von Solms: Introducing Information Security: A Comprehensive Approach	12
Carl Papenfus and Reinhardt A. Botha: A shell-based approach to information security	15
Walter Smuts: A 6-Dimensional Security Classification for Information	20
Philip Machanick and Pierre Salverda: Implications of emerging DRAM technologies for the RAM page Memory hierarchy	27
Susan Brown: Practical Experience in Running a Virtual Class to Facilitate On-Campus Under Graduate Teaching	41
H.D. Masethe, T.A Dandadzi: Quality Academic Development of CS/IS Infrastructure in South Africa	49
Philip Machanick: The Skills Hierarchy and Curriculum	54
Theda Thomas: Handling diversity in Information Systems and Computer Science Students: A social Constructivist Perspective	63
Udo Averweg and G J Erwin: Critical success factors for implementation of Decision support systems	70
Magda Huisman: A conceptual model for the adoption and use of case technology	78
Paul S. Licker: A Framework for Information Systems and National Development Research	79
K. Niki Kunene and Don Petkov: On problem structuring in an Electronic Brainstorming (EBS) environment	89

.

.

•

.

Derek Smith: Characteristics of high-performing Information Systems Project Managers and Project Teams	90
Lucas Venter: INSTAP: Experiences in building a multimedia application	102
Scott Hazelhurst, Anton Fatti, and Andrew Henwood: Binary Decision Diagram Representations of Firewall and Router Access Lists	103
Andre Joubert and Annelie Jordaan: Hardware System interfacing with Delphi 3 to achieve quality academic integration between the fields of Computer Systems and Software Engineering	113
Borislav Roussev: Experience with Java in an Advanced Operating Systems Module	121
Conrad Mueller: A Static Programming Paradigm	122
Sipho Langa: Management Aspects of Client/Server Computing	130
T Nepal and T Andrew: An Integrated Research Programme in AI applied to Telecommunications at ML Sultan Technikon	135
Yuri Velinov: Electronic lectures for the mathematical subjects in Computer Science	136
Philip Machanick: Disk delay lines	142
D Petkov and O Petkova: One way to make better decisions related to IT Outsourcing	145
Jay van Zyl: Quality Learning, Learning Quality	153
Matthew O Adigun: A Case for Reuse Technology as a CS/IS Training Infrastructure	162
Andy Bytheway and Grant Hearn: Academic CS/IS Infrastructure in South Africa: An exploratory stakeholder perspective	171
Chantel van Niekerk: The Academic Institution and Software Vendor Partnership	172
Christopher Chalmers: Quality aspects of the development of a rule-based architecture	173
Rudi Harmse: Managing large programming classes using computer mediated communication and cognitive modelling techniques	174

••

-

Michael Muller: How to gain Quality when developing a Repository Driven User Interface	184
Elsabe Cloete and Lucas Venter: Reducing Fractal Encoding Complexities	193
Jean Bilbrough and Ian Sanders: Partial Edge Visibility in Linear Time	200
Philip Machanick: Design of a scalable Video on Demand architecture	211
Freddie Janssen: Quality considerations of Real Time access to Multidimensional Matrices	218
Machiel Kruger and Giel Hattingh: A Partitioning Scheme for Solving the Exact k-item 0-1 Knapsack Problem	229
Ian Sanders: Non-orthogonal Ray Guarding	230
Fanie Terblanche and Giel Hattingh: Response surface analysis as a technique for the visualization of linear models and data	236
Olga Petkova and Dewald Roode: A pluralist systemic framework for the evaluation of factors affecting software development productivity	243
Peter Warren and Marcel Viljoen: Design patterns for user interfaces	252
Andre de Waal and Giel Hattingh: Refuting conjectures in first order theories	261
Edna Randiki: Error analysis in Selected Medical Devices and Information Systems	262

DISK DELAY LINES

Philip Machanick Department of Computer Science, University of the Witwatersrand 2050 Wits, South Africa *philip@cs.wits.ac.za*

Abstract

Latency goals often relate to response times seen by users, which are slow by computer standards, but scaling up to large numbers of users presents a problem. Examples include transaction-based systems and web sites. While a transaction-based system presents performance challenges other than disk latency, it is interesting to develop a model of disk architecture in which disk latency no longer presents a challenge, which allows system designers to focus on other areas in which performance goals may be hard to meet. The Disk Delay Line concept relies on the fact that a disk can stream data quickly. A single-Disk Delay Line is a disk which constantly streams its entire contents, and a request for data or for a write waits until the required portion of the data stream appears. A given latency goal can be achieved by replicating disks, with copies of streams evenly spaced apart in time, and a given number of transactions per second can be supported by sufficient memory to buffer requests.

1. Introduction

User-level goals for response times are slow by computer standards. However, scaling up presents a problem, because latencies over large numbers of competing requests are added. Transaction-based systems and web sites, for example, run into this problem: latencies for users in seconds may be acceptable (if sub-second response time is ideal).

This paper considers a novel approach to disk usage, which exploits the disk's strong point, streaming, while avoiding adding latencies across individual transactions.

The general idea is that a disk should be streamed constantly, and requests be buffered and serviced as soon as the data they requested becomes available. Writes can also be handled, but require a little more complexity. The idea is expensive to implement for very low latencies, but scales well to very high transaction rates.

The model is called a Disk Delay Line (DDL); the explanation for this name is saved up as a surprise in the Conclusion.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents an example to illustrate how a DDL can be designed. Section 3 briefly summarizes problems not addressed in the design, and Section 4 describes competing technologies. The final section concludes with a recommendation for disk designers, and explains the origin of the DDL name.

2. Disk Delay Line Design

Here let us work through specific numbers; generalization is not hard. The requirements are 100,000 transactions per second, maximum response time 1s. Further, assume that the disk may occupy at most 0.5s of the response time. Assume that for 1Gbyte of data a disk with latency 7ms and transfer rate 40Mbyte/s is available, and a typical transaction reads 128 bytes (writes are a dealt with later), an amount

small enough to suggest worrying about latency rather than fast streaming. The basic requirement is clearly out of reach. Although a response time of 0.5s (disk time only) is much larger than 7ms, the requirement of 100,000 transactions per second requires that each transaction take at most 10µs, almost 1000 times faster than the disk's access time. But what of the disk's 40Mbyte/s transfer rate? That allows 128 bytes to transfer in 3µs. The problem is that we cannot stream the data continuously since we require random accesses ... but let's carry on with this line of thought.

What happens if we stream the disk continuously? Assuming this can be done with no pauses, the time to sweep the entire data set is 25.6s, still not so promising, since we want an operation to take at most 0.5s—this is 50 times too slow. Next step: replicate the data on 50 disks, synchronized so the data is timed to be equal distances apart as the disks stream, which should be possible, given that synchronizing disks is a solved problem for RAID. Now, any one item to be read is at most 0.5s away at any given time. The next trick is to queue requests in a tagged buffer, which can detect when a given request matches the address of data being streamed off the disk (similar to cache tags). If the buffer can hold 50,000 requests, then up to 100,000 can be dispatched per second, assuming the worst case, that every request waits the maximum delay.

Writes are more difficult. They could be buffered, and to avoid losing bandwidth or latency, drives should have separate write heads. Obviously any reads that refer to buffered writes should pick up the buffered copy. Assuming that the write problem can be handled, if a compute server can keep up with the required rate of transaction handling and the network interface is up to it (someone else's problem, only the disk subsystem is considered here), this design meets the stated requirements.

3. Other Challenges

While it appears to be reasonably simple to build a disk system as described in the previous section, building an overall system that could keep up with a transaction rate like 100,000 TPS would be a major challenge. Certainly, no system shipping today claims any number close to this: the best figure reported by May 1998 for TPC-C, an online transaction-processing benchmark, was 102541 transactions per minute, or 1709 TPS, on an Alpha with 96 processors, costing over \$14-million [TPC 1998].

Part of the problem at least that designers run into in high-end TPS systems is working around the fact that disk latency is poor: a lot of effort has to be put into partitioning databases, balancing load between parts of multiprocessor and distributed systems, and working around the resulting irregular memory reference patterns that are a poor fit to a conventional memory hierarchy.

Perhaps a DDL-based system would avoid some of these problems; a more detailed design of an overall system is required to assess whether a DDL architecture solves other TPS design problems.

4. Competition

The competition is RAID [Chen *et al.* 1994], RAIS (redundant array of inexpensive servers), RAM-based databases and large-scale or mainframe-style disk systems. RAID cannot deliver the required latency. RAIS is used for large web sites; in principle, a RAIS system (or other distributed design) could better this design, but presents hard design problems like maintaining data consistency (in the presence of writes) and partitioning workload. A RAM-based solution gives better latency more easily and could beat the peak bandwidth of this solution, but doesn't match its potential fault tolerance or its nonvolatility across power failures. A high-end or mainframe-style disk subsystem is expensive and even so would have difficulty in achieving the design goals of the example presented here (under the assumptions here, 50

disks with 7ms access time couldn't support more than about 3,500 transactions per second if accessed the standard way).

5. Conclusion

The case made here is for disk designers for large-scale transaction-based systems to abandon the futile pursuit of lower latency and focus on maximizing bandwidth (e.g. by higher numbers of heads, and a faster interconnect to each disk). Large-scale web sites, with many fewer writes than reads, could benefit from this disk architecture. Transaction-based systems which typically reference small amounts of data per transaction, such as online transaction processing (OLTP) systems could also benefit.

Is the idea so new? Not really, a computer memory that continuously streams sequentially is one of the oldest ideas: some early computers used an acoustic mercury delay line which had very similar properties [Bowden 1953].

Acknowledgments

This work was done while on sabbatical hosted by Trevor Mudge at Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Michigan. Financial support was provided by the University of the Witwatersrand and the South African Foundation for Research Development.

References

[Bowden 1953] B. Bowden (ed.). Faster than thought, Pitman, London, 1953.

- [Chen et al. 1994] P.M. Chen, E.K. Lee, G.A. Gibson, R.H. Katz and D. A. Patterson. RAID: High-Performance, Reliable Secondary Storage, ACM Computing Surveys, vol. 26 no. 2 June 1994, pp 145-185.
- [TPC 1998] Top Ten TPC-C Results by Performance, Transaction Processing Performance Council http://www.tpc.org/new_result/ttperf.idc, May 1998 (last update at time of writing).