

Exploring the challenges of senior phase technology teachers in limpopo province

TA Mapotse & T Gumbo

College of Education, Department of Science and Technology, University of South Africa

Email: mapotta@unisa.ac.za; gumbomt@unisa.ac.za

Abstract

The aim of this article is to report the gaps that were identified in the teaching of technology by senior phase teachers at Mankweng Circuit of Limpopo Province. This was done so that appropriate action research-based intervention strategies could be embarked upon to fill these gaps. A preliminary study as the first cycle stage of action research was instrumental in identifying these gaps. Observation, interview and questionnaire were employed in gathering data from the eighteen teachers of five sampled secondary schools. Data was analysed and the findings were coded into specific themes. The findings as themes revealed teachers' incapacity in terms of their knowledge and teaching of technology in the areas of technology-specific teaching experience, technology lesson planning, technology assessment, level of internal and external support for technology teaching, resources for technology teaching and learning, technology curriculum policy interpretation and implementation, and teacher-learner ratio in a technology class. Some guidelines were developed as a means to help technology teachers in dealing with their teaching practice.

Key words: technology, technology education, technology teachers, action research, reconnaissance study.

1.1 Introduction

The intended introduction of the Technology Learning Area (TLA) in South Africa has raised a number of interesting issues, not the least of which is a conceptual understanding of technology (Shafër, 1999). Rauscher (2010) concurs that the advent of technology education, nationally and internationally, has posed challenges different from those experienced in regard to other learning areas. Amongst the multiple challenges that can be mentioned, teacher development and empowerment become prominent as it is technology teachers that are placed at the forefront to teach learners this relatively new subject. These are teachers lumped with the rest of other teachers when it comes to teacher training for different learning areas, whose situation was even as early as the rolling out of the outcomes-based Curriculum 2005 in 1998, made complex by the new demands posed by the new curriculum itself. The majority of in-service teachers found this initial change to outcomes-based education (OBE) and the introduction of Curriculum 2005 (C2005) to be very complicated, confusing and demoralising with lots of new terminology and content to be learned (De Jager, 2011). To try to make the curriculum understandable, more changes followed in 2002 after the review of C2005 in 2000. The reviewed C2005 was renamed National Curriculum Statement (NCS). This review was followed by yet another review in 2009 with its implementation planned to commence in 2010. This review produced the Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statement (CAPS). The NCS remains as a policy, while the new CAPS is an attempt to give clear guidelines for the implementation of the NCS (Curriculum News, 2010; De Jager, 2011). This confirms the challenge

that teachers face regarding their understanding of the curriculum; expressed in terms of constraints that they face about its implementation. Specifically, technology is a learning area that needs skilled teachers due to its nature particularly as a theory-practice based subject. However, it is distressing to disclose that 99% of the teachers teaching technology have no qualification to teach technology (DoE Gauteng Memo 202, 2004). The situation seems worse in Limpopo Province, which is among provinces known to be underperforming. We therefore chose one circuit in this province to conduct this study. How we go about presenting this report is first to frame the study within the critical theoretical perspective and its application in the study. Second, we discuss our becoming aware of the problem and our assumptions about it. We proceed by explaining the research design and finally present our findings.

1.2 Critical Theoretical Framework

The importance of a theoretical framework or a thorough literature study at the beginning of scale development was identified in 1952 by Goode and Hatt (cited in De Vos, Strydom, Fouche & Delpont, 2002) where they stated that the researcher should first have a thorough knowledge of the subject regardless of the technique employed, should systematically exploit both that technique and those of others through a careful study of literature. Critical theory was first defined by Max Horkheimer of the Frankfurt School of sociology in his 1937 essay *Traditional and critical theory* (Tooley, 2000). The effort to conceptualise critical theory relied on the writings of Karl Marx (Tooley, 2000). Its basic purpose was emancipation and self-determination as supported by How (2003), in contending that critical theory believed that reason in a wider sense should also be concerned with the nature of ends, something that entails a critical-evaluative focus on human potential for emancipation purposes.

Our choice of critical theory was motivated by our intent to emancipate the technology teachers in terms of overcoming the challenges that they faced in their knowledge and teaching of the subject. In the absence of praxis its positioning cannot be validated. By reflecting critically on their unfavourable status-quo, technology teachers could be helped to think about how they could free themselves from such unfavourable conditions and take action about it – so to be emancipated. The kind of intervention in such involvement is facilitative rather than instructive, so that those being helped can later be self-reliant and become independent to address their situation. Specifically, the next section explains how critical theory found relevance in this study.

1.2.1 The Value and Application of Critical Theory in This Study

In the context of this study, critical theory is a social theory oriented towards critiquing and changing technology teachers' circumstances, i.e. their limited technological knowledge and how to teach it. This study would hopefully create enough awareness in these teachers to be able to pass judgment on their teaching of technology and to evaluate their knowledge base of technology with the sole purpose of being emancipated from this situation. Thus, for purposes of this study, critical theory was used to exploit the literature in the field of technology education (Creswell, 1994).

Critical theory indicates that a fundamental dialectical relationship between theory and practice are indivisible (Tooley, 2000), especially in technology. This aligns well with our understanding of

technology education, that it is fundamentally a hands-on enterprise. Hands-on in technology must be taken to refer to learning through experiences, that is, through practical engagement in investigating, designing, making, evaluating and communicating ideas and plans (DoE, 2003: 26). Approaching it theoretically is unfathomable.

Its core content and themes stress that the outcome should be a product, artifact, model, ornament, or new systems or processes. Applied in this study, we expected that from a critical theoretical perspective, the ultimate action research intervention would emancipate the Grades 8 and 9 technology teachers in selected schools of the Limpopo Province from their challenges as stated above. Hence, we assumed that engaging teachers in critical theory had the potential to improve their understanding about the knowledge and pedagogy of technology within their context.

The next section explains how we became aware of the problem.

1.2.2 Becoming Aware of the Problem

One of us became aware of the problem as a lecturer at the University of Limpopo before he joined Unisa. He lectured pre-service student teachers enrolled for the Bachelor of Education in Technology Education. During the evaluation of the student teachers' practice teaching he observed a lack of knowledge in the teaching of technology by veteran teachers. This lack of knowledge manifested in:

- The analysis and interpretation of the TLA curriculum policy document: The assigned mentor teachers to the pre-service student teachers did not have any technology curriculum policy document which serves as a guide about what to teach. This suggested that teachers saw the curriculum policy document as monstrous and would rather not bother with it but stick to their traditional pedagogical strategies.
- Planning of the learning programme, work schedule and lesson: There was no phase or grade joint planning as teachers were teaching different topics within a term. Learners wrote tests on different topics. This was cumbersome for the student teachers as they had to prepare different lessons for the same grades. Student teachers were to receive work schedules from their mentor teachers for record purposes in their teaching practice portfolios and for use during teaching. However, they claimed that they did not get any work schedules from their mentors.
- Absence of creativity in utilizing the material resources available in the local context: Learners were without any textbooks. They relied only on their teachers. There was no display of any technology posters or projects made by technology learners. Veteran teachers did not attempt any projects with learners, making the excuse that they did not have any resources, until the University of Limpopo student teachers came for their practice teaching. Student teachers engaged both their mentor teachers and learners in utilizing the material resources available in their localities.

Student teachers seemed more knowledgeable in their subject of technology compared to their assigned mentor teachers. They ended up exchanging roles with their mentors to mentor them (mentors) because their situation posed such demand. This intervention confirms the DoE's (2003) declaration:

Whilst educators in South African schools are qualified to teach a variety of subjects, many of the educators of technology are uncomfortable with the pedagogy of technology.

This situation can also be understood in terms of the general picture about teacher training in Limpopo Province. 'This Day' (2004) report in this regard that about 10 000 of Limpopo Province's 54 298 teachers are under-qualified. Bearing in mind DoE Gauteng's Memorandum 202 as cited above about the national picture, the need for an urgent intervention is even greater. Nkosi (2008) conducted a study in Mpumalanga concentrating only on Grade 7 technology teachers where she used the technological process as a framework for the improvement of technology instruction. It is interesting as well to note that Letsoalo (2007) embarked on a study in Mpumalanga–Thulamahashe Circuit with Grade 9 technology learners to develop an instrument to monitor the technological process during the making of a technological product. This study attempts to emancipate teachers in the teaching of technology by addressing the identified areas above and/or those that teachers presented during the action research inquiry (reconnaissance study) as will be explained later.

We argue that teachers can implement technology with confidence and every chance of success within their context only if they can be guided how. The starting point is to identify the areas of their need. That is what this study, which we decided to call a preliminary or reconnaissance study, seeks to do. It is preliminary because it is part of a more comprehensive or main action research project that would be implemented to address the need areas as identified and confirmed. We thus address the question:

What areas of need can be identified through preliminary action research for intervention in the teaching of Grade 8 and 9 technology in Limpopo Province?

1.2.3 Our own Assumptions and Claims about the Problem

Researchers usually enter a research project with certain claims and assumptions. Assumptions are any important facts presumed to be true but not yet verified empirically (Gay, 1987; Mauer, 1996). We embarked on this study holding the following assumptions and their antecedent claims informed by the situation and problem that we identified regarding the teaching of technology:

- Assumption 1: After TLA was introduced in the South African school curriculum more than a decade ago, TLA teachers are still grappling with its knowledge and pedagogy.
- Claim 1: Many technology teachers teach technology without the appropriate technology qualifications or prior knowledge of the subject.
- Assumption 2: Action Research (AR) processes with technology teachers will empower them with the necessary technological content knowledge and pedagogy.
- Claim 2: Sessions with technology teachers guided by the AR intervention strategies will empower them in terms of knowledge and pedagogies.

Our assumptions and claims are supported by Reddy (2001: 1) who maintains that no established tradition of TLA teaching and learning exists in the country and that this is likely to pose major problems not only to TLA teachers but to the DoE at large. Pudi (2007) also observes that the

implementation of TLA has been a hurdle for both teachers and learners. There is a generally low capacity in TLA teachers' content knowledge, cognitive and manual skills. This, coupled with the low morale of some teachers resulting from curriculum transmutation, part of which was an attempt to do away with technology (Chisholm, Volmink, Ndlovu, Ponteza, Mahomed, Muller, Lubisi, Vejevold, Ngozi, Malan, & Mphahlele, 2000; DoE, 2001) has exacerbated the teaching related problems that the TLA teachers experience. We thus believe that AR offers a platform to strategise on how to address this challenge. To build capacity, teachers need to begin to understand technology whilst progressing to know how to teach it (DoE, 2003).

Taking cognisance of the principles of AR, we embarked on preliminary (reconnaissance) AR to confirm the problem that we identified above. We explain our research design. Preliminary research is done to ensure that one does not find out after putting in a lot of effort, that one's idea is not a good one for the study (Hofstee, 2006). Baseline data needs to be gathered on prior intervention. Knowing how technology teachers performed before the intervention (i.e. at the beginning of this study) gives a starting point for comparing the effectiveness of the intervention – when we will compare the results from the preliminary study with those of the main AR later.

1.2.4 Research Design for the Reconnaissance Study

In line with the critical theory above, the empirical engagements in the study were guided by a critical paradigm. This paradigm is suited to the critical reflections on technology teachers' incapacity manifested in their limited knowledge of technology and its pedagogy (Mertens, 1998) and taking action to resolve this situation. Mertens (1998: 15) claims that emancipatory researchers argue that the constructivist or interpretive researchers "did change the rules but did not change the nature of the game". An emancipatory paradigm criticises interpretive or constructive researchers, claiming that their research still consists of a small group of powerful experts researching a large number of powerless participants (Mertens, 1998). Mertens (1998) draws from Reason and Bradbury (2001) that emancipatory paradigm "directly addresses the politics in research by confronting social oppression at whatever level it occurs". Oppression in this study relates to the situation about technology teachers not really knowledgeable with technology – an oppressive situation. Cohen, Manion and Morrison (2000) argue that the intention of researchers of emancipatory paradigm is the emancipation of the individuals and groups in an egalitarian society. The individuals in this study refer to the technology teachers per selected schools at Mankweng Circuit?.

This is an action research (AR) study. An AR approach was used during the reconnaissance study. The purpose of AR is to solve classroom problems through the application of a scientific method. It is concerned with a local problem and is conducted in a local setting. The primary goal of AR is to find a solution to a given problem. The value of AR is confined primarily to PRACTITIONERS those conducting it (Gay, 1987). We engaged the first stage of action research (reconnaissance study) in the process of identifying the problem. According to Kemmis & McTaggart (1988), reconnaissance is the initial reflection of the research situation in view of the researcher's thematic concern. We engaged secondary school technology teachers of Mankweng District of Limpopo Province in their natural work setting to explore their need areas in conjunction with those that we identified. It was hoped that both the novice and experienced teachers involved in this AR study would be

empowered to teach technology irrespective of their contextual setting. The study would contribute significantly to action research studies in the field of technology education.

We identified the province, region, district, circuit, schools and technology teachers to be used for data collection. The sample was drawn from Capricorn Region at Mankweng Circuit of Mankweng District. The choice of Mankweng Circuit was prompted by the lack of technology knowledge observed previously by one of us as stated above. The aim of delineating the scope of the study was to implement some intervention strategies to a manageable sample of technology teachers teaching these grades. Mankweng Circuit was chosen as a cluster sampling strategy. In this cluster sampling groups of Grade 8 and 9 technology teachers were randomly selected (Gay, 1987) in terms of their schools.

Cluster samples were drawn from five high schools (see Table 1) at Mankweng Circuit. Cluster sampling is characterised by some degree of homogeneity (Maree & Pietersen, 2010; McMillan & Schumacher, 1989). Though the sampled schools are located in varied milieus (rural and urban), they were all secondary (sec) schools. It should also be noted that our focus was on the technology teachers sampled from these schools – a total of eighteen teachers. Pseudo names were assigned to the schools to conceal their true identity.

The selected technology teachers’ characteristics included: they all faced some challenges in the teaching of technology; for convenience in organising the groups, the schools were a reasonable distance from one another within one circuit, districts, regions and province; only Grade 8 and 9 technology teachers in the same senior phase were selected; all these teachers taught technology. The total number of the sampled technology teachers is reflected per school in Table 1. Some taught Grade 8 only, some taught Grade 9 only while some taught both grades.

Table 1: Sampled schools and technology teachers

School name	Sampled technology teachers				School milieu
	No. per sch.	Grade 8	Grade 9	Both grades	
KMK secondary	7	2	2	3	Rural
VMV secondary	3	1	2	None	Urban
RMR secondary	3	1	1	1	Rural
BMB secondary	3	1	2	None	Rural
WHW secondary	2	2	2	2	Urban
Total	18	7	9	6	

Once the sample had been drawn, the circuit manager’s help was sought to inform the schools. He called the headmasters of the identified schools in our presence, informing them that their schools had been earmarked for participation in technology research and that we would visit their schools shortly. We thus visited the schools to introduce ourselves. We were received by the schools’ management teams (SMTs) during which we explained the purpose of our visit and that of the study.

The technology, maths and science education heads of department would convene their technology teachers in their schools.

Data was collected during the first term of 2010. A variety of data collection techniques were incorporated on a small scale as this was only a fact-finding (reconnaissance) study – non-participant observation, structured interviews and qualitative questionnaires (McNiff, 1988; Ferrance, 2000). According to Wadsworth (cited in Maree, 2010:129), multiple methods help the researcher to overtly seek different kinds of views and perspectives from data sources. Multiple methods also helped us to overcome the bias that could otherwise result from the use of a single method. Triangulation or integrated results from different data sources enabled us to explain in greater depth the extent of the challenges faced by technology teachers in their teaching of technology from more than one standpoint (Cohen, Manion & Morrison, 2000). A day was spent at each school to observe technology teachers giving lessons using the observation grid that was designed. The observation was followed by interviewing the teachers using the interview schedule that was designed. Finally, they were given a questionnaire to fill in.

Permission to conduct the research was requested and granted by DoE Limpopo Province. The circuit manager was also duly informed. The teachers were also required to sign consent letters for their participation in the study. Even though learners were not directly involved in the study, we sent consent letters to their parents with the help of teachers. This was because as part of gathering data to assess the extent of the needed intervention, we planned to observe the teaching taking place in class.

Data analysis followed a thematic and narrative form. Themes that emerged from the analysis of the three data types (observations, interviews and questionnaire) guided the presentation of findings. This process of data analysis focused on understanding the teaching and learning actions and events within the participants' settings and contexts. Findings from the observations served as an umbrella for the interviews and questionnaire. Findings from the interviews and questionnaires sought clarity of the observation. Thus observations were followed by interviews and the questionnaire during data gathering. Thus, data from these sources were analyzed holistically.

1.3 Findings

1.3.1 Participants' Biographical Information

We start by presenting the results from technology teachers' biographical information as captured in Table 2.

Table 2: Grade 8 and 9 technology teachers' biographical information

Gender		Technology teaching experience		Technology qualification		School location		Can plan technology lesson	
M	F	less than 6 yrs	more than 6 yrs	yes	No	Rural	Urban	yes	No
9	9	11	7	7	11	1	6	10	8

There were eighteen participants from the five participating high schools, nine males and nine females. Eleven participants had less than six years of technology teaching experience while seven had more than five years of technology teaching experience and seven had more than six years technology teaching experience. Eleven participants did not have any technology education qualification; seven had some form of that qualification. Thirteen of the participants worked in rural areas whereas five worked in urban areas. Ten participants could plan the technology lessons whereas eight still needed some help.

1.3.2 Data from Observations, Interviews and Questionnaire

The themes were selected to cover aspects of technology teaching from policy interpretation to classroom practice. These themes include technology-specific teaching experience, technology lesson planning, technology assessment, level of internal and external support for technology teaching, resources for technology teaching and learning, technology curriculum policy interpretation and implementation, and teacher-learner ratio in a technology class.

Technology-specific teaching experience

Many teachers of technology were asked to volunteer to teach technology. As a result many do not have any qualifications in technology education. Some may be experienced in other subjects because they were already qualified in those subjects when they were asked to cross over into technology. Hence, many of them may be qualified in other subjects but not specifically in technology education. Given this background, and the findings from the teachers' biographical information in Table 2, they held reasons for teaching technology which ranged from being coerced into teaching it to basically having the passion for it. For instance, the interviews revealed two teachers stating:

It was just allocated to me.

It's fun, interesting and compels one to be innovative.

Bearing in mind this situation, most of technology teachers are generally uncomfortable with the pedagogy of technology as it was observed and revealed from the interviews. Some did not even have any interest in teaching technology as one contended:

It just came along while I am already teaching and I didn't develop any interest in the subject.

It can be gathered from the teachers' responses, that they were not grounded in the learning area of technology. By implication this suggests that they had challenges planning the technology lessons.

Technology lesson planning

Teachers' responses in the questionnaire revealed that only seven out of eighteen teachers indicated the importance of using both the textbook and the curriculum policy document for their lesson planning. However, it surfaced from the interviews that teachers prioritised the use of a textbook as some indicated:

If educators were provided with at least a textbook so that we are able to prepare our learning programme.

I don't think the challenges I meet as stated would have happened if I had relevant and enough textbooks for learners.

We need enough textbooks and learner support material.

It would appear that teachers expected to be supplied with a plan so that all that they need to do is to get into class and teacher, without having to start by working hard developing lesson plans:

We want to be supplied with pacesetters, scheme of work and draft lesson plans.

This was confirmed when we requested teachers' lesson plans so that we could follow their teaching properly during the observations. Many could not produce them. This could only mean two things: either they did not prepare any lesson plans, or they were uncomfortable to disclose them to us out of lack of confidence that they planned correctly. Only two out of five schools' teachers could produce their lesson plans.

Technology assessment

Assessment should ideally be integrated with planning so that teaching and learning activities are not devoid of it. An interview question sought to establish the assessment methods that technology teachers applied during their teaching. One teacher responded by not really giving the answer that we sought in this regard:

We are assessing skills, knowledge, attitude and values. We evaluate learners' performance.

However, some of the teachers mentioned the assessment strategies that they applied in their technology class – assignments, class work, homework, tests and examinations. In the questionnaire

teachers were asked to indicate if they planned any technology design projects or any tasks (capability, case study or resource tasks) for their learners. They did not check this aspect in the questionnaire. One teacher blamed lack of support by the school on this matter:

Technology at our school is not taken into consideration because learners are not doing any practical work.

This is quite unfortunate because teaching of technology mainly follows a design project approach in the context of problem solving. Only three teachers indicated in the interviews that they had a copy of provincial or national assessment manuals. This confirms their lack of capacity in terms of assessment in technology.

Level of internal and external support

Technology, being relatively new in the curriculum, may not thrive without a concerted commitment to empowering technology teachers. Teachers were keen to see support both from within and outside their schools to help them develop in the knowledge and teaching of technology. They expressed this need as follows during the interviews:

The principal should develop interest in technology education so that he cannot have a problem in allocating a budget for technology education.
Mentors will be highly appreciated to visit the school regularly.
Parents should take part also.

The teachers urged their School Management Teams to take technology seriously and to allocate its budget and teachers accordingly. The responses from the questionnaire indicated that support received from district office was very weak compared to support received from their colleagues and School Management Teams. The expressed lack of support from the district was attributed to the fact that the district had not yet appointed a district-based subject advisor for technology education.

Lack of support was also experienced in terms of provision of resources as can be seen from the next set of findings.

Resources for technology teaching and learning

It was observed that there was a lack of textbooks for both teachers and learners. In some schools there was not more than one textbook among the learners. Some teachers in the same schools were sharing a textbook. This state of affairs was confirmed from the findings from the interviews as expressed by respondents:

We don't have enough resources.
I guess it's a hands-on subject and there are no resources available.
Learners should be encouraged to buy necessary resources if needed.

The teachers sampled pleaded for Department of Education Limpopo Province and their schools to provide the necessary resources – resources for learner group projects, a workshop centre where

learners can do technology hands-on, technology materials to expose and orientate learners on, and a technology resource centre.

We gather in all the selected schools that there were no technology-specific rooms where learners could engage in practicals and making activities or projects.

Technology curriculum policy interpretation and implementation

It was evident from all data sources that there was a great need for teachers to have access to the technology curriculum document in the first place, and to be helped to interpret and implement it. One teacher remarked in this regard:

I don't think the challenges I meet as stated would have happened should I have had the technology curriculum policy document as a guide.

We requested the teachers to see the curriculum policy documents that they used. No one had any across all the sampled schools. A few of them offered the excuse that they had the documents but could not locate them at that stage. A few responses in the interviews on this aspect, which were confirmed by how the teachers responded to the questionnaire, were that they did not have them; that even if they had them they could not interpret or implement them. Here are the accounts of three of them:

I don't know the learning outcomes.

We don't have the policy documents at our school.

I don't know the learning outcomes by heart; I have to refer to the curriculum policy document.

Teacher-learner ratio in a technology class

Unmanageable learner numbers in a technology class render the teaching of technology ineffective. This becomes an added constraint that technology teachers are faced with. We observed that teachers' movement in the classroom during their lessons, and their interaction with learners was extremely limited due to overcrowding. It was difficult to have a chair or even a space to sit down. The teacher-learner ratio ranged from 1:60 to 1:90. This proved to impact on a few things, for example even though the schools had a monthly schedule for tests, the interview findings indicated that the turnaround time for marking learners' written tests took between two and three weeks. Marking overlapped into the next test dates. One teacher expressed his concern in this regard:

The department needs to improve the teacher-learner ratio so that an educator is faced with a manageable class.

What transpired under this data interpretation section can directly be attributed to the challenges highlighted in the subsequent section.

1.4 Technology Teacher's Challenges

The technology teaching practice challenges are affected by both internal to external factors as alluded below:

- Challenges affecting Technology teachers can be divided into two areas. The first are those created by external factors, e.g., time allocation of 8% per week; resources; movement of teachers in and out of TLA; and planning by district and other stakeholders.
- The second are those created by internal factors, that is by teachers themselves, e.g., qualification; creativity to cope with limited resources; system and control; and teaching, assessment and reporting practices, especially through learning outcome 1 (LO1), integrating learning outcome 3 (LO3) into a task and planning (Moeng, 2009).

Teachers' conceptualization of technology is complex and is influenced by a range of factors including how willing they are to change their own concept of Technology and Technology Education, their background experiences, the subject's sub-culture, and the level of support given to teachers during any change process (Jones, Bunting and de Vries, 2011). To a large extent, Technology teachers have adopted a 'wait-and-see' approach and are expecting a systemic curriculum direction, professional development, and provision of resources (INTAD and Warner, in Barnes, 2005). Few coded themes from data as well as challenges are discussed in the section that follows.

1.5 Discussion

RESOURCES: Technology is easily understood by learners when the teacher has relevant resources.

"I have learned that cooperative learning is vital and also learned that Tech. cannot be taught theoretically but resources are needed for learners to see what you mean, for example when you teach about different types of systems you need to actually show them those systems and they can relate to the topic by giving their own examples of those systems. I have also learned that Technology can be so challenging and frustrating when you don't have resources as a Tech. educator".

PLANNING: Systematic cyclical method of planning, taking action, observing, evaluating and critically reflecting on the teaching of Tech.

SUPPORT: Tech. teachers need to be supported every step of the way for emancipation purposes so as to implement Technology themes and core content from curriculum with confidence.

Discussions of the challenges lead to the guidelines to emancipate technology teachers on their classroom teaching practice as ensued in the next section.

1.5 Guidelines to Emancipate Technology Teachers Through Action Research

The guidelines to engage in target population discrimination of participants before emancipation are reflected in the figure below:



Action research facilitator should apply the guidelines in the following manner (this is just a guide, one is free to start anywhere):

- As a facilitator start a knowledge building dialogue with the participants based on the assumptions you hold about the study;
- Take into consideration that the participants are a community of diverse individuals from different backgrounds;
- Come up with mini projects within a bigger project and distribute leadership within the members and hold the group responsible and accountable on deliverables;
- The facilitator should carry out research work in learning circles – that is a structure for organizing group interaction within a set of guidelines to encourage individual ownership of the project; and
- Both the ethical norms and expectations during the AR journey should be spelled out and highlight the learning circle product as it can be used as a stepping stone towards the final research product.

1.6 Conclusion

This study set out to identify the challenges that the senior phase technology teachers at Mankweng Circuit of Limpopo Province faced regarding their knowledge and teaching of technology. A reconnaissance study as part of action research was employed to achieve this goal. We identified the problem as it unfolded. We made certain assumptions surrounding the problem. But since this was an action research study, we embarked on the reconnaissance study to verify our problem and assumptions. The findings from the reconnaissance study confirm to a great extent the problem as

identified. There was a definite problem regarding the interpretation of the technology curriculum policy document, programme, schedule and lesson planning, and lack of taking advantage of the available resources. This problem was magnified by other related problems that were revealed by the findings, for instance, lack of internal and external support and what seemed an unmanageable teacher-learner ratio. Hence, by implication this indicated the need for intervention in the challenges that technology teachers faced. The findings confirmed the research problem and assumptions that we had. Thus, the next step was to continue with the main action research study, that is, to employ action research to intervene in the challenges that the teachers faced as a way of addressing the research problem.

1.5 References

- Chisholm, L., Volmink, J., Ndlovu, T., Ponteza, E., Mahomed, H., Muller, J., Lubisi, C., Vejevold, P., Ngozi, L., Malan, B. & Mphahlele, L. (2000). *A South African curriculum for the 21st century: Report of the Review Committee on Curriculum 2005 1-100*. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L. & Morrison, K. (2000). *Research methods in education*. London: Routledge.
- Creswell, J.W. (1994). *Research design: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- De Jager, R. (2011). Latest changes in the technology education curriculum in South Africa. Paper presented PATT25: CRIPT 8 Conference in June in London.
- Department of Education. (2001). *Policy document: Technology intervention, Curriculum 2005 Grades 5 & 9*. Pretoria: Government Printers.
- Department of Education. (2003). *Revised National Curriculum Statement Grades R-9 (Schools): Teacher's guide for developing learning programmes Technology*. Pretoria: Government Printers.
- Department of Education. (2004). *Revised National Curriculum Statement Grades 7-9 (Schools)*. Pretoria: Government Printers.
- De Vos, A.S., Strydom, H., Fouche, C.S. & Delport, L.S.L. (2002). *Research at grass roots (2nd Ed.)*. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Ferrance, E. (2000). *Action research*. Virgin Island: Brown University.
- Gay, L.R. (1987). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and application (3rd Ed.)*. Columbus: Merrill.
- Hofstee, E. (2006). *Constructing a good dissertation: A practical guide to finishing a Master's, MBA or PHD on schedule*. Sandton: EPE.
- How, A. (2003). *Critical Theory*. Great Britain: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Johnson, B. & Christensen, L. (2004). *Educational research: Quantitative, qualitative and mixed approaches (2nd Ed.)*. Boston: Pearson.
- Kemmis, S. & McTaggart, R. (1988). *The action research planner*. Victoria: Deakin University.
- Letsoalo, M. R. (2007). *The need for an instrument to monitor technology process during technology projects*. BEd (Hons) treatise. Polokwane: University of Limpopo.
- Maree, K. & Pietersen, J. (2010). "Sampling". In Maree, K. (Ed.), *First steps in research*. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Mauer, K.E. (1996). *Formulating proposal for theoretical and qualitative research*. Johannesburg: RAU Press.

- McMillan, J.H. & Schumacher, S. (1989). *Research in education: A conceptual introduction (2nd Ed.)*. London: HarperCollins.
- McNiff, J. (1988). *Action research: Principles and practice*. New York: Macmillan Education Ltd.
- Memorandum No. 202. (2004). Technology Workshops. Gauteng: Government Printers.
- Mertens, D.M. (1998). *Research in education and psychology*. London: Sage Publications.
- Nkosi, D.F. (2008). *Technological process as a framework for the improvement of instruction of technology*. MEd dissertation. Johannesburg: University of Johannesburg.
- Potgieter, C. (2004). The impact of the implementation of technology education on in-service teacher education in South Africa. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, (14): 205-218.
- Pudi, T.I. (2007). *Understanding technology education form South African perspective*. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Rauscher, W. (2010). The technological knowledge used by technology education students in capability tasks. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*. 21: 291-305.
- Reason, P. & Bradbury, H. (2001). *The SAGE handbook of action research: Participative inquiry and practice (1st Ed.)*. London: Sage.
- Reddy, K. (2001). *The education of pre-service teachers in technology education*. DEd thesis. Johannesburg: Rand Afrikaans University.
- Schafër, M. (1999). *Designing and making a difference: An exploration of technology education for rural school teachers*. MEd dissertation. Cape Town: Rhodes University.
- This Day. (2004). Not enough spent on skills development. *Many teachers still missing out on training*. Pretorius reporter: 19/02/2004.p7.
- Tooley, W. R. (2000). *Political rationality & government mechanisms:Maori education policy in the new millennium*. MA in Education dissertation. Auckland: University of Auckland.