PSYCHOSOCIAL FACTORS AND SUSCEPTIBILITY TO THE COMMON COLD IN DISTANCE RUNNERS

by

GILLIAN ANNE STRUWIG

submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF ARTS

in the subject

PSYCHOLOGY

at the

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA

SUPERVISOR: DR M PAPAIKONOMOU

JOINT SUPERVISOR: DR P KRUGER

NOVEMBER 2004

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely thank my supervisor, Dr Maria Papaikonomou, and joint supervisor, Dr Piet Kruger, for their constructive advice, valued suggestions, and genuine interest and encouragement. It is truly appreciated. I also acknowledge all the runners who took part in this study. I am greatly indebted to them. Finally, I express my heartfelt gratitude to my husband, Mark, for his never-ending love, patience, support and understanding. I could not have managed this ambitious undertaking without him.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNOWLE | EDGEMENTS | ii |
|-------------|--|-----|
| LIST OF TAI | BLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIG | URES | xi |
| ABSTRACT . | AND KEY TERMS | xii |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | The Research Problem | 2 |
| | Significance of the Present Study | 6 |
| | Definitions of Some Key Terms | 6 |
| | The Distance Runner | 6 |
| | The Common Cold | 8 |
| | Structure of the Dissertation. | 10 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 2 | MODELS OF STRESS | 12 |
| | Introduction | 12 |
| | The Response-Based Model of Stress | 13 |
| | Selye's Physiological Stress Theory | 13 |
| | The Stimulus-Based Model of Stress | 16 |
| | Models of Temporal Relationships between Stressors | |
| | and Strains | 18 |
| | The Life Events Approach | 19 |

| | The Interactional Model: Lazarus and Folkman's Cognitive | |
|-----------|--|----|
| | Stress Theory | 21 |
| | Coping: A Definition | 23 |
| | Coping Resources | 27 |
| | Advantages and Limitations of the Interactional | |
| | Approach | 29 |
| | Summary and Conclusions | 30 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 3 | STRESS AND ILLNESS | 31 |
| | Introduction | 31 |
| | Theoretical Approaches | 32 |
| | Generality versus Specificity Theories of Disease | |
| | Aetiology | 32 |
| | Stress and Illness: Proposed Physiological Mediating | |
| | Mechanisms | 34 |
| | The Role of Coping and Hardiness in Disease | |
| | Susceptibility | 40 |
| | Research Findings | 47 |
| | Stress Research Methodologies | 48 |
| | Life Events, Immune Function and Illness | 49 |
| | Coping, Immune Function and Illness | 57 |
| | Hardiness, Immune Function and Illness | 61 |
| | Methodological Limitations of Stress-Illness Research | 64 |

| | Summary and Conclusions | 66 |
|-----------|---|----|
| CHAPTER 4 | AEROBIC EXERCISE AND UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT | |
| | INFECTIONS | 67 |
| | Introduction | 67 |
| | Concepts and Principles | 68 |
| | The Concept of Aerobic Exercise | 68 |
| | The Principle of Overload Training | 69 |
| | Theoretical Approaches | 70 |
| | J-Shaped Model of Exercise and Infection | 70 |
| | Exercise and Infection: Proposed Physiological | |
| | Mediating Mechanisms | 70 |
| | Exercise, Stress, Illness and Immune Function: | |
| | Mackinnon's Theoretical Model | 72 |
| | Research Findings | 73 |
| | Exercise and Stress | 73 |
| | Exercise and Immune Function | 74 |
| | Exercise and Upper Respiratory Tract Infections | 76 |
| | Summary and Conclusions | 80 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 5 | RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY | 82 |
| | The Research Hypotheses | 82 |
| | The Research Design | 85 |

| | Sampling and Procedures | 86 |
|-----------|---|------------|
| | Response Rate | 87 |
| | Ethical Considerations | 88 |
| | Measuring Instruments | 89 |
| | Life Events | 89 |
| | Coping | 91 |
| | Hardiness | 95 |
| | Training Workload | 96 |
| | Competition Frequency | 98 |
| | Susceptibility to the Common Cold | 99 |
| | Demographic and Additional Information | 101 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 6 | RESULTS | 102 |
| | Subject Characteristics | 102 |
| | Susceptibility to the Common Cold | 106 |
| | Susceptionity to the Common Colu | 100 |
| | Life Events | 109 |
| | | |
| | Life Events | |
| | Life Events | 109 |
| | Life Events The Relationship between Life Events and Susceptibility to the Common Cold | 109 110 |
| | Life Events The Relationship between Life Events and Susceptibility to the Common Cold Coping | 109 110 |

| | The Relationship between Hardiness and Susceptibility | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| | to the Common Cold | 121 |
| | Training Workload and Competition Frequency | 122 |
| | The Relationship of Training Workload and Competition | |
| | Frequency to Susceptibility to the Common Cold | 124 |
| | Multiple Regression Analyses of the Relationships of Life | |
| | Events, Coping, Hardiness, Training Workload and Competition | |
| | Frequency to Susceptibility to the Common Cold | 126 |
| | Relationships among Life Events, Coping, Hardiness, Training | |
| | Workload and Competition Frequency | 130 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 7 | DISCUSSION | 133 |
| | Susceptibility to the Common Cold | 134 |
| | The Relationship between Life Events and Susceptibility to the | |
| | Common Cold | 136 |
| | The Relationship between Coping and Susceptibility to the | |
| | Common Cold | 139 |
| | The Relationship between Hardiness and Susceptibility to the | |
| | Common Cold | 143 |
| | The Relationship of Training Workload and Competition | |
| | Frequency to Susceptibility to the Common Cold | 146 |
| | The Relationships among Life Events, Coping and Hardiness | 149 |

| CHAPTER 8 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 151 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| | The Research Problem | 151 |
| | The Research Hypotheses and Findings | 153 |
| | Limitations of the Present Study | 156 |
| | Contributions and Applications of the Present Study | 157 |
| | Recommendations for Further Research | 158 |
| | | |
| REFERENCE | ES | 162 |
| APPENDICE | S | 171 |

LIST OF TABLES

| 5.1: | Aerobic Exercise Training Zones | 98 |
|------|--|-----|
| 6.1: | Counts (N), Means, Standard Errors (SE), Standard Deviations (SD), | |
| | Medians (Med), and Minimum (Min) and Maximum (Max) Values for | |
| | the Variables of Age, Weight, Height, BMI, Number of Years' Running, | |
| | and 10km, 21,1km and 42,2km Personal Best (PB) Race Times | 103 |
| 6.2: | Counts (N), Means, Standard Errors (SE), Standard Deviations (SD), | |
| | Medians (Med), and Minimum (Min) and Maximum (Max) Values | |
| | for the Susceptibility to Infection Measures | 107 |
| 6.3: | Correlation Coefficients for Relationships among the Susceptibility to | |
| | Infection Measures | 109 |
| 6.4: | Counts (N), Means, Standard Errors (SE), Standard Deviations (SD), | |
| | Medians (Med), and Minimum (Min) and Maximum (Max) Values for | |
| | the Life Events Measures | 110 |
| 6.5: | Counts (N), Means, Standard Errors (SE), Standard Deviations (SD), | |
| | Medians (Med), and Minimum (Min) and Maximum (Max) Values for | |
| | the Coping Measures | 114 |
| 6.6: | Correlation Coefficients for Relationships among the Coping Scales | 116 |
| 6.7: | Correlation Coefficients for Relationships between the Coping Scales | |
| | and Susceptibility to Infection Measures | 119 |
| 6.8: | Counts (N), Means, Standard Errors (SE), Standard Deviations (SD), | |
| | Medians (Med), and Minimum (Min) and Maximum (Max) Values for | |
| | the Training Workload and Competition Frequency Measures | 123 |

| 6.9: | Correlation Coefficients for Relationships of Life Events, Coping, | |
|-------|---|-----|
| | Hardiness, Training Workload and Competition Frequency to the | |
| | Susceptibility to Infection Measures | 125 |
| 6.10: | Results of Multiple Regression Analysis with Symptom Frequency as | |
| | the Dependent Variable and Training Workload, Competition Frequency, | |
| | Life Events, Avoidance Coping, Approach Coping and Hardiness as the | |
| | Predictor Variables | 127 |
| 6.11: | Results of Multiple Regression Analysis with Symptom Duration as the | |
| | Dependent Variable and Training Workload, Competition Frequency, | |
| | Life Events, Avoidance Coping, Approach Coping and Hardiness as the | |
| | Predictor Variables | 128 |
| 6.12: | Results of Multiple Regression Analysis with Symptom Severity as the | |
| | Dependent Variable and Training Workload, Competition Frequency, | |
| | Life Events, Avoidance Coping, Approach Coping and Hardiness as the | |
| | Predictor Variables | 129 |
| 6.13: | Results of Multiple Regression Analysis with Symptom-Related | |
| | Training Days off as the Dependent Variable and Training Workload, | |
| | Competition Frequency, Life Events, Avoidance Coping, Approach | |
| | Coping and Hardiness as the Predictor Variables | 130 |
| 6.14: | Correlation Coefficients for Relationships among Life Events, Coping, | |
| | Hardiness, Training Workload and Competition Frequency | 131 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| 1.1: | Borysenko's Tripartite Model of Disease Susceptibility | 2 |
|------|---|-----|
| 2.1: | The Response-Based Model of Stress | 13 |
| 2.2: | The Stimulus-Based Model of Stress | 18 |
| 3.1: | Generality and Specificity Models of Illness | 33 |
| 3.2: | The HPAC System | 36 |
| 3.3: | The SAM System | 38 |
| 3.4: | Overview of the Structure of the Human Immune System | 40 |
| 3.5: | A Model of Stress and Illness Based on Cognitive Stress Theory | 41 |
| 4.1: | Mackinnon's Theoretical Model of the Interrelationships among Stress, | |
| | Exercise, Illness, and the Immune System | 73 |
| 6.1: | Frequency Distribution of Exercise Motives in the Present Sample | 104 |
| 6.2: | Mean Symptom Frequency Values for Subjects with High versus | |
| | Low Life Event Stress. | 112 |
| 6.3: | Mean Symptom Severity Values for Subjects with High versus Low | |
| | Life Event Stress. | 112 |
| 6.4: | The Mean Number of Days taken off from Training due to Symptom | |
| | Occurrences for Subjects with High versus Low Life Event Stress | 113 |
| 6.5: | Mean Symptom Frequency Values for Subjects with High versus Low | |
| | Scores on the Denial Scale | 120 |
| 6.6: | Mean Symptom Duration Values for Subjects with High versus Low | |
| | Levels of Hardiness | 122 |

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the relationship between specific psychosocial factors and

susceptibility to the common cold in a sample of 124 distance runners. A cross-sectional

survey design was used to assess the role of life events, coping, hardiness, training

workload and competition frequency in the athlete's risk of infection. Using correlational

statistical techniques, it was found that the magnitude of recent life changes and the

avoidance coping strategy of denial were positively related to self-reported symptoms of

the common cold. Furthermore, a significant inverse correlation was observed between

hardiness and symptom duration scores. However, approach coping, training workload

and competition frequency were not significantly related to the dependent measures. The

results of this study suggest that certain stress-related psychosocial factors are associated

with susceptibility to the common cold in distance runners. Several strategies for the

prevention and treatment of upper respiratory tract infections in this group are implied by

these findings.

KEY TERMS: Aerobic Exercise; Approach Coping; Avoidance Coping; Common Cold;

Competition Frequency; Distance Runner; Hardiness; Life Events; Stress; Training

Workload.

xii