

Table of Contents

	Page
Chapter 1: Introduction to the study	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research problem	1
1.3 Context of the research problem	2
1.3.1 What is immersion?	3
1.3.2 The Schleswig-Holstein Immersion Programme and the Kiel study	5
1.3.3 Vocabulary size	6
1.4 Research aims	7
1.5 Research questions	7
1.6 Hypotheses	7
1.7 Research method	8
1.7.1 Research procedure	9
1.8 Synopsis of the remainder of this dissertation	10
Chapter 2: Literature review	
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Immersion	12
2.2.1 Immersion programmes – the beginnings	12
2.2.2 Immersion in the European context	15
2.2.3 Immersion in the South African context	21
2.3 The importance of vocabulary knowledge	25
2.3.1 The nature of vocabulary knowledge	26
2.3.1.1 The concept of a ‘word’	26
2.3.1.2 Receptive and productive vocabulary	27
2.3.2 Vocabulary size and reading comprehension	32
2.3.2.1 The role of incidental vocabulary learning	33

2.3.2.2	The value of a basic, high frequency vocabulary	37
2.3.3	Vocabulary size and academic success	38
2.3.3.1	Lexical coverage	39
2.3.3.2	The importance of academic vocabulary	42
2.4	The Lexical Frequency Profile (LFP) as a measure of vocabulary proficiency	46
2.5	Conclusion	48

Chapter 3: Research method

3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Hypotheses: a brief rationale and formulation	50
3.3	Subjects	52
3.4	Instruments	53
3.4.1	Questionnaire	53
3.4.2	Tests A and B	54
3.4.3	Nation's (1990) Vocabulary Levels Test	59
3.4.4	Writing exercise	60
3.5	Procedure	62
3.6	Data analysis	63
3.6.1	Receptive vocabulary size	63
3.6.2	Productive vocabulary size	64
3.7	Statistical analysis	64
3.8	Conclusion	65

Chapter 4: Findings

4.1	Introduction	66
4.2	Length of immersion	67
4.2.1	Length of immersion hypothesis: general	67
4.2.2	Length of immersion hypothesis: receptive vocabulary	68
4.2.3	Summary of results: receptive vocabulary	77
4.2.4	Length of immersion hypothesis: productive vocabulary	78
4.2.5	Summary of length of immersion findings	83

4.3	Quality of immersion	84
4.3.1	Quality of immersion hypothesis: general	84
4.3.2	Quality of immersion hypothesis: receptive vocabulary	84
4.3.3	Summary of results: receptive vocabulary	91
4.3.4	Quality of immersion hypothesis: productive vocabulary	91
4.3.5	Summary of quality of immersion findings	93
4.4	Two-way ANOVAs	94
4.4.1	Interaction effects	94
4.5	Conclusion	95
Chapter 5: Conclusion		
5.1	Introduction	99
5.2	Review	99
5.2.1	Summary of major findings	99
5.2.2	Contribution of the study	101
5.2.3	Limitations of the study	103
5.3	Implications for further research	105
5.4	Conclusion	107
	References	108
	Appendix A	114
	Appendix B	116
	Appendix C	136