

Table of contents

Chapter 1: Rationale and problem statement

1.1	Introduction.....	1
1.2	Background to the research problem.....	2
1.3	Statement of the research problem.....	4
1.4	Aim and objectives of the study.....	4
	1.4.1 Aim.....	4
	1.4.2 Objectives.....	4
1.5	Research design.....	5
	1.5.1 Literature study.....	6
1.6	Delimitation of the field of study.....	6
	1.6.1 Conceptual analyses.....	6
	1.6.1.1 Management.....	7
	1.6.1.2 Implementing.....	7
	1.6.1.3 Common Tasks for Assessment.....	7
	1.6.1.4 Learning Programme: Language, Literacy and Communication.....	8
	1.6.1.5 Gauteng.....	9
	1.6.2 Scope of the study.....	9
	1.6.3 Division of chapters.....	10
1.7	Significance of the study.....	11
1.8	Conclusion.....	12

Chapter 2: Literature study

2.1	Introduction.....	13
	2.1.1 Effective.....	13

2.1.2	Efficiency.....	14
2.2	Legislation and policy.....	15
2.2.1	<i>OBE</i>	15
2.2.2	<i>C2005</i>	17
2.2.3	Traditional summative testing versus assessment in <i>OBE</i>	18
2.3	Decentralisation and self-managing schools.....	21
2.3.1	What are self-managing schools.....	21
2.3.2	Responsibilities of school governing bodies.....	22
2.3.3	Responsibilities of school management teams.....	22
2.4	Management problems experienced during implementation.....	23
2.5	Evaluation of Grade 9 assessment policy.....	24
2.6	School assessment test and its challenges in the United Kingdom.....	25
2.7	Management responsibilities.....	26
2.8	Educators' responsibilities.....	27
2.9	Section A of the CTA Instrument.....	28
2.10	Section B of the CTA Instrument.....	30
2.11	Education management development.....	30
2.11.1	Problems experienced in the United Kingdom and the United States of America.....	32
2.11.2	Problems experienced in South Africa.....	32
2.12	Forms of management.....	33
2.13	Education management models.....	33
2.14	Leadership.....	34
2.14.1	Leadership models.....	35
2.14.2	Leadership styles.....	35
2.15	Guidelines for effective management.....	36
2.16	Conclusion.....	37

Chapter 3: Research design and methods

3.1	Introduction.....	39
3.2	Nature and objectives of qualitative research.....	40
3.3	Research design.....	41
3.4	Data collection strategies.....	42
3.5	Characteristics of qualitative interviews.....	43
3.6	Advantages of the interview method.....	44
	3.6.1 Conducting and recording interviews.....	45
	3.6.2 Unstructured interview schedule.....	46
3.7	Observation.....	47
3.8	Document analysis.....	47
3.9	Selection of research sites and respondents.....	48
3.10	Data processing and analysis.....	49
3.11	The researcher as an instrument.....	50
3.12	Validity and reliability of qualitative designs.....	50
	3.12.1 Strategies to enhance validity.....	51
	3.12.2 Disciplined subjectivity.....	51
	3.12.3 Extension of findings.....	52
3.13	Trustworthiness.....	52
	3.13.1 Truth-value.....	52
	3.13.1.1 Prolonged engagement.....	52
	3.13.1.2 Recording of data.....	53
	3.13.1.3 Authority of the researcher.....	53
	3.13.1.4 Triangulation.....	53
	3.13.1.5 Applicability.....	53
	3.13.1.6 Consistency.....	54
	3.13.1.7 Neutrality.....	54
3.14	Research ethics.....	54

3.14.1	Anonymity and confidentiality.....	55
3.14.2	Objectivity of the researcher.....	55
3.15	Conclusion.....	55

Chapter 4: Analysis and presentation of findings

4.1	Introduction.....	57
4.2	Presentation of findings.....	61
4.2.1	Educators' understanding of policy and concepts.....	62
4.2.1.1	<i>OBE</i> and <i>C2005</i>	62
4.2.1.2	<i>CASS</i>	63
4.2.1.3	The CTA Instrument.....	64
4.2.1.4	Management.....	66
4.2.2	Positive aspects of the CTA Instrument.....	67
4.2.2.1	The CTA Instrument is a comprehensive package...	67
4.2.2.2	The CTA Instrument has improved.....	68
4.2.2.3	It is appropriate for the external examination.....	68
4.2.2.4	The CTA Instrument is helpful.....	69
4.2.2.5	The types of tasks in Section A are unsatisfactory...	69
4.2.2.6	The <i>Teacher's Guide</i> is useful.....	70
4.2.2.7	Learners' workbooks are good.....	70
4.2.3	Negative aspects of the CTA Instrument.....	70
4.2.3.1	Content of the CTA Instrument.....	71
4.2.3.2	Programme Organiser and themes.....	71
4.2.3.3	Microinvestigation.....	72
4.2.3.4	Inadequate time for Section A.....	73
4.2.3.5	Section B was not relevant to Section A.....	73
4.2.3.6	Formal writing was problematic.....	74
4.2.3.7	Assessment poorly mirrored the outcomes.....	74

4.2.3.8	The song “Heal the World”.....	75
4.2.3.9	Bureaucracy and jargon.....	75
4.2.4	Group work.....	76
4.2.4.1	Weak learners and group work.....	76
4.2.4.2	Group work was a drawback.....	77
4.2.4.3	Weak learners wanted the same marks as bright learners.....	77
4.2.4.4	Bright learners did not prefer group work.....	77
4.2.5	Individual work.....	78
4.2.5.1	Individual work from weak learners.....	78
4.2.5.2	Individual work from bright learners.....	78
4.2.6	Limited resources for research.....	79
4.2.6.1	Inadequate resources for lesson planning.....	79
4.2.6.2	Educators had to find resource materials.....	80
4.2.6.3	Inadequate library facilities and computers.....	80
4.2.6.4	Schools had to purchase additional resources.....	81
4.2.7	Assessment.....	81
4.2.7.1	Educators were unsure of assessment practices.....	82
4.2.7.2	Difficulties in designing rubrics.....	82
4.2.7.3	Rubrics showed poor alignment to outcomes.....	82
4.2.7.4	Large volume of paperwork.....	83
4.2.7.5	Enormous amount of marking.....	83
4.2.7.6	Too many educator assessments.....	83
4.2.7.7	Educators fell behind with their work.....	84
4.2.7.8	Assessment stressed and traumatised educators.....	84
4.2.8	Examination.....	84
4.2.8.1	Inconsistent instructions to schools.....	85
4.2.8.2	Poor control of examination question papers.....	85
4.2.8.3	Official composite mark sheets.....	86

4.2.8.4	Lack of transparency.....	86
4.2.8.5	The examiners.....	87
4.2.8.6	The twenty five (25) percent weighting.....	88
4.2.9	Standard of tasks.....	89
4.2.9.1	Low standard for English Primary language.....	89
4.2.9.2	Standard was high for second language English speakers.....	89
4.2.10	Learners.....	90
4.2.10.1	Poor attitude.....	90
4.2.10.2	Absenteeism.....	90
4.2.10.3	Culture of indolence.....	90
4.2.10.4	Poor commitment.....	91
4.2.10.5	Socio-economic background.....	91
4.2.11	Implementation.....	92
4.2.11.1	Inadequate time for preparation.....	92
4.2.11.2	Implementation plans.....	93
4.2.11.3	Quality of implementation.....	93
4.2.11.4	Large class sizes.....	94
4.2.12	Training and development.....	94
4.2.12.1	Problems with district training.....	95
4.2.12.2	Problems with school training.....	97
4.2.13	Managing the implementation.....	98
4.2.13.1	Decision-making and delegation.....	98
4.2.13.2	Management and leadership.....	99
4.2.13.3	Management processes.....	100
4.2.13.4	Management systems.....	101
4.2.13.5	Management of learners' records.....	102
4.2.13.6	Management responsibilities.....	102
4.2.13.7	Management plans.....	103

4.2.13.8	Meetings.....	103
4.2.13.9	Supervision and support.....	104
4.2.13.10	Class visits.....	106
4.2.13.11	Registration of Grade 9 learners.....	106
4.2.13.12	Administration and control.....	107
4.2.13.13	Moderation.....	107
4.2.13.14	Management and governance.....	107
4.2.13.15	Time management.....	108
4.2.13.16	Communication.....	109
4.2.13.17	Productivity.....	109
4.2.13.18	Commitment and attitude.....	109
4.2.14	Management challenges.....	110
4.2.14.1	Poor quality of teaching and learning.....	110
4.2.14.2	Learner absenteeism.....	110
4.2.14.3	Large workloads.....	111
4.2.14.4	Poor involvement and commitment.....	111
4.2.14.5	Large educator to learner ratios.....	112
4.2.14.6	Staff instability and absenteeism.....	112
4.2.14.7	Administrative and registration problems.....	113
4.2.14.8	Errors in registration forms.....	114
4.2.14.9	Moderation by districts.....	115
4.2.15	Learner performance.....	116
4.2.15.1	Low achievement.....	116
4.2.15.2	Performance was better in Section A	117
4.2.15.3	Overall failures.....	118
4.2.15.4	Consistency of performance.....	118
4.2.15.5	Quality of performance.....	119
4.2.15.6	Impact of the CTA Instrument on performance.....	119
4.3	Conclusion.....	120

Chapter 5: Discussion and summary of findings, conclusions and recommendations

5.1	Introduction.....	121
5.2	Discussion and summary of the main findings.....	121
5.2.1	Educators' understanding of policy and concepts.....	121
5.2.1.1	<i>OBE</i> and <i>C2005</i>	122
5.2.1.2	CASS.....	122
5.2.1.3	The CTA Instrument.....	122
5.2.1.4	Management.....	123
5.2.2	Positive aspects of the CTA Instrument.....	123
5.2.3	Negative aspects of the CTA Instrument.....	124
5.2.3.1	Programme Organisers and themes.....	124
5.2.3.2	Formal letter in Section B.....	124
5.2.3.3	Section B was not relevant to Section A.....	125
5.2.3.4	Microinvestigation.....	125
5.2.3.5	Quality and standard of the CTA Instrument.....	126
5.2.3.6	Different outcomes for Section A and B.....	126
5.2.3.7	Poor attitude, commitment and demotivated learners.....	127
5.2.3.8	Limited resources.....	128
5.2.3.9	Extra workload and low morale of educators.....	128
5.2.3.10	Assessment.....	128
5.2.3.11	Inadequate time allocation for Section A.....	129
5.2.3.12	Insufficient preparation time for implementation.....	129
5.2.3.13	Poor preparation of educators for implementation...	130
5.2.3.14	Quality of implementation.....	130
5.2.3.15	Setting of the CTA Instrument.....	131
5.2.3.16	Bureaucracy and jargon.....	132
5.2.3.17	“Heal the World”.....	132

5.2.3.18	Conflicting information.....	132
5.2.3.19	Late arrival of mark sheets.....	133
5.2.3.20	Group work.....	133
5.2.3.21	Inadequate consultation amongst educators.....	134
5.2.3.22	Individual work from learners.....	134
5.2.3.23	Moderation by districts.....	134
5.2.3.24	Too much work for too little marks.....	135
5.2.4	Training and development.....	135
5.2.4.1	District training and development.....	135
5.2.4.2	School training and development.....	136
5.2.5	Managing the implementation of the CTA instrument.....	136
5.2.5.1	Control, delegation and decision-making.....	136
5.2.5.2	SMTs assessment competence.....	137
5.2.5.3	Management and leadership styles and forms.....	137
5.2.5.4	Processes followed.....	138
5.2.5.5	Systems of control.....	138
5.2.5.6	Recording of marks.....	139
5.2.5.7	Duties and functions.....	139
5.2.5.8	Plans.....	140
5.2.5.9	Meetings in school.....	140
5.2.5.10	Supervision and support for instructional programmes.....	140
5.2.5.11	Time management.....	140
5.2.5.12	Communication.....	141
5.2.5.13	Management efficacy and productivity.....	141
5.2.5.14	Management's attitude and commitment.....	141
5.2.5.15	The role of management.....	141
5.2.6	Management challenges.....	142
5.2.7	Learner performance.....	143

5.2.7.1	Black learners' experiences.....	143
5.2.7.2	CASS and remedial support.....	144
5.2.7.3	Concepts and structure of questions.....	144
5.2.7.4	The outcomes for Section A and B.....	144
5.2.7.5	Formal writing.....	145
5.2.7.6	Microinvestigation	145
5.2.7.7	Learners confused.....	145
5.2.7.8	Anxiety of writing an examination.....	145
5.2.7.9	Inferior quality of performance.....	146
5.2.7.10	District intervention.....	146
5.2.7.11	Overall achievement.....	146
5.2.8	Summary.....	147
5.3	Conclusions.....	147
5.3.1	Conclusions regarding policy implementation and practice.....	148
5.3.2	Conclusions regarding negative aspects of the CTA Instrument.....	148
5.3.3	Conclusions regarding assessment.....	151
5.3.4	Conclusions regarding the <i>Teacher's Guide</i>	152
5.3.5	Conclusions regarding communication to schools.....	153
5.3.6	Conclusions regarding resources.....	153
5.3.7	Conclusions regarding moderation by districts.....	153
5.3.8	Conclusions regarding the attitude of learners.....	154
5.3.9	Conclusions regarding implementation.....	154
5.3.10	Conclusions regarding training and development.....	155
5.3.11	Conclusions regarding management of the CTA Instrument.....	156
5.3.12	Conclusions regarding challenges SMTs had to face.....	160
5.3.13	Conclusions regarding learner performance.....	161
5.4	Recommendations.....	161
5.4.1	Recommendations for improving policy implementation.....	161

5.4.2	Recommendations regarding the negative aspects of the CTA Instrument.....	162
5.4.3	Recommendations regarding assessment.....	165
5.4.4	Recommendations regarding the <i>Teacher's Guide</i>	167
5.4.5	Recommendations regarding communication.....	167
5.4.6	Recommendations regarding resources.....	167
5.4.7	Recommendations regarding moderation by district offices.....	168
5.4.8	Recommendations regarding the negative attitude of learners.....	168
5.4.9	Recommendations regarding implementation.....	169
5.4.10	Recommendations regarding training and development.....	170
5.4.11	Recommendations regarding the management of the CTA Instrument.....	172
5.4.12	Recommendations regarding the challenges SMTs faced.....	180
5.4.13	Recommendations regarding districts.....	180
5.4.14	Recommendations regarding learner performance.....	180
5.5	Limitations of the study.....	182
5.6	Areas for further research.....	182
5.7	Conclusion.....	182
	Bibliography.....	184-203
	Appendix	(xviii)

Appendix

- Annexure A... Request for permission to conduct research in schools
- Annexure B... Approval in respect of request to conduct research
- Annexure C... Permission to conduct research at public schools and districts
- Annexure D... Letter to the educator: Request for permission to conduct research
- Annexure E... Consent form for the educator
- Annexure F... Letter to the principal: Request for permission to conduct research
- Annexure G... Consent form for the principal
- Annexure H... Unstructured interview schedule for SMT members
- Annexure I... Unstructured interview schedule for educators
- Annexure J... Mark sheet
- Annexure K... CTA *Teacher's Guide*
- Annexure L... GDE districts
- Annexure M... Specific Outcomes (SOs) and Assessment Criteria (AC) for Language,
Literacy and Communication