
Organisations or Communities represented at the All African Convention.

1. African National Congress.
2. Locations’ Advisory Boards’ Congress.
3. United I.C.U.
4. Cape Native Voters’ Convention.
7. S.A. Native Farmers’ Congress.
8. Natal Native Congress.
9. S.A. Native Teachers’ Federation.
10. Vigilance Association, Port Elizabeth.
11. Unity Club, Port Elizabeth.
12. Inter-Denominational Ministers’ Association, Natal.
20. Transvaal African Congress.
22. Joint Council, King William’s Town.
27. Cape African Congress.
32. Clothing Workers’ Union, Johannesburg.
33. Ikaka laba Sebenzi, Box 5160, Johannesburg.
34. Kgotla la dipolase, Pothietersrust.
36. Landowners’ Association, Piet Retief.
37. A. M. E. Church.
39. O.F.S. Native Congress.
40. Kubusie Farmers’ Union.
41. Pimville Traders’ Commercial Union.
42. Transkei Native Chiefs’ Association.
43. Cathcart Voters’ Union.
44. Transkei Vigilance Association.
45. Communist Party, Cape Town.
47. I.C.U. yase Natal.
48. Cape I.C.U.
49. African Motor Drivers’ Union.
50. International Labour Defence, Cape Town.
51. Vigilance Association, Graaff Reinet.
52. Xalanga Vigilance Society.

All these hundred and twelve names are units that are hereby kindly requested to help raise the national shilling fund, or to canvass for it from those numerous people who have not yet subscribed their due to the great battle for Food, Land and Freedom.

There is much to be done. The work is great but the labourers are few. We appeal also to the rest of Africa and overseas to all peoples of African descent and other non-White races, as well as White races who are in sympathy with our universal cause.

The picture on page 5 is that of the nine members of the deputation of the All African Convention who first opened discussions with the Prime Minister at Cape Town during the 3rd and 4th February last.

The names, from left to right, are:

A. W. G. Champion (Durban); Rev. A. S. Mtikulu (Durban); H. S. Keke (Cape Town); J. M. Dippa (Port Elizabeth); Professor D. D. T. Jabavu, President, (Fort Hare); H. Selby Msimang, General Secretary, (Johannesburg); Rev. S. J. Mvambo (Cape Town); Rev. Z. R. Mahabane (Kimberley); R. H. Godlo, Recording Secretary (East London).

The photograph on page 35 is that of the All African Convention deputation (being members of the Executive Committee) who interviewed the Prime Minister in the Parliament Buildings at Cape Town during February 12th, 13th and 14th.

The names (left to right) are:

(Back row) R. A. Sello (Kroonstad); T. M. Mapikela (Bloemfontein); Rev. A. S. Mtikulu (Durban); C. R. Moikangoa (Bloemfontein); J. Kambule (Ladysmith); L. T. Mvabaza (Johannesburg).

(Middle row) R. V. Selope-Thema (Johannesburg); R. F. Haya (King William's Town); P. W. Mama (Cape Town); Chief Frank Mogale (Rustenburg); T. D. M. Skota (Johannesburg); E. T. Mofutsanyana (Johannesburg); Chief W. Kumalo (Driefontein).

(Front row) Alexander M. Jabavu (King William's Town); Rev. Z. R. Mahabane (Kimberley); Dr. A. B. Xuma, Vice-President (Johannesburg); Prof. D. D. T. Jabavu, President (Fort Hare); R. H. Godlo, Recording Secretary (East London); C. K. Sakwe (Idutywa); H. S.
Kekane (Cape Town); M. H. Madapuna (Matatiele); J. K. 'Mote (Kroonstad).

Included in this deputation were A. W. G. Champion (Durban); Rev. S. J. Mvambo (Cape Town); Dr. J. S. Moroka (Thaba Nchu); Chief Lekoko Letlamoreng Montshioa (Mafeking); and Dr. S. M. Molema (Mafeking) who missed the sitting.
PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

By D. D. T. JABAVU.

June 29th, 1936.

(1) THE AFRICAN CRISIS.

All Africans, as well as all other non-White races of the world have been staggered by the cynical rape by Italy of the last independent State belonging to indigenous Africans. After hearing a great deal for twenty years about the rights of small nations, self-determination, Christian ideals, the inviolability of treaties, humane warfare, the sacredness of one’s plighted word, the glory of European civilisation, and so forth, the brief history of the last eight months has scratched this European veneer and revealed the White savage hidden beneath.

Two decades ago, millions of human lives were sacrificed at the altar of Belgian neutrality; to-day nothing has been done to stay Italy’s determination to butcher in cold blood and asphyxiate our peaceful fellowmen of Ethiopia. Italy’s defiance of solemn pledges has been met by hesitation, prevarication, caution, dialectics and pusillanimity, in turn. In 1914 it was a case of a White European nation, Belgium; to-day it is only Black Abyssinia.

As on other occasions, the Churches of the countries concerned claimed that God was fighting on their side, and invoked His blessing to prosper their imperialistic ambitions. Organised Christianity has so far failed to curb the animal propensities of rapacity and selfishness in the hearts of men who rule empires. The present world muddle seems to be exactly what it was two or three thousand years ago. Take away our scientific knowledge of tools and we are where we were then. One man did paint and illustrate a better way of living, but was murdered by his Jerusalem contemporaries for doing so. His professed followers have ended in lip service to Him, so far as war goes. They have partly wished to effect the change, and partly failed to take the necessary risks. Our Prime Minister, if I interpret his Parliamentary speech rightly, has disowned or superseded Christianity as a working proposition in politics. The governing ideal in human history is once more the Law of the Jungle. The modern system centres round the glorification of national empires. In so far as we are included as subjects within and under these empires, we share the blame for their tragic obliquity even against our will.

The structure of European political morality has suddenly tottered and collapsed from above our heads down to its pristine level of the jungle that obtained two thousand years ago.

Might is still right, though it is no longer the might of the sword but the vaunted science of aeroplanes raining dynamite bombs and poison gas. That, in short, is the pride of so-called White civilisation. It constitutes a moral challenge to the rest of humanity.

(2) THE UNION PARLIAMENT.

During the debate on the Colour Bar Bill at Cape Town in 1926 one member triumphantly asserted that he
supported the Bill because self-preservation was the first law of nature, and defended the policy of repressing the non-Europeans of this land. Early this year Parliament again endorsed this policy by backing the Prime Minister who declared:

"I do not understand at all what you mean by Christian principles. Christian principles count for very much, but there is a principle of self-preservation for a nation, the principle which causes everybody to sacrifice his life in time of war . . . . I place that principle still higher. It is the only principle, that of self-preservation, of self-defence, by which humanity itself and Christianity itself will ever be able to protect itself."

This astounding declaration rules out Christianity very clearly from the politics of the Cape Town House of Assembly, because, as one well-known writer puts it,

"Politicians are men of the world—of a world so close and familiar to them that they can no longer descry either its wonder or its horror. That familiarity beclouds the wider and deeper aspects of truth and corrodes the spiritual instruments that apprehend them; it is no rare thing to find its victims mistaking a balance of conflicting selfishnesses for justice, and supposing freedom to exist wherever active revolt is not."

Guided by this philosophy of self-preservation as a basis for discrimination, the Union Parliament has, since its existence from 1909, registered no less than thirty-six pieces of colour bar legislation against us, and this seems the only basis on which such laws can be justified. Parliament has grown accustomed to passing differential laws at our expense as a matter of course. They have fallen into a rut, as it were, from which they are unable to emerge try as they will. Out of sheer habituation they take it for granted that segregation laws are morally right in se even where the rights of those on the opposite side of the colour line are interwoven with theirs, as, for example, the indirect taxes through which we circulate millions of pounds over which we have a mathematical and moral claim to make a say on terms of equality. To be denied the equal right to dispose of money we equally contribute is the absurd logic of segregation. We have been legislated out of our equal right to sit in the Provincial Council by reason of our black colour, segregation and self-preservation.

When we interviewed the Prime Minister last February as a deputation representing the All African Convention, all our instructions from you were inflexibly rejected on the ground that Parliament only wanted this, and not that. No heed was paid nor reference made to our answers given through the five official regional conferences at Maritzburg, Pretoria, Mafeking, King William's Town and Umtata, that cost the State £4,000 ostensibly for the purpose of ascertaining our opinion. We asked for bread, but got a stone. We asked for the preservation of the political status quo, but got, instead, a new Bill embodying our political inferiority and segregation plus a new colour bar in the Provincial Council. On asking for the postponement of the Land and Trust Bill till we had the chance to visit the released areas in loco, the Prime Minister gave us to understand that the Land Bill would not be proceeded with straightway after the first Bill; but, to our amazement, it was taken and pushed through without further reference to us. No regard was paid to our
request for the excision of the Squatters’ Section Four.
The few members who loyally fought for our cause (all
thanks be to them, the courageous eleven who worthily
challenged the course of ruthless injustice) at the Joint
Sitting were made to count for nothing, the proceedings
at one stage being steam-rollered in dictatorial fashion,
concluding with a photograph and festive celebrations, I
believe, elsewhere.

The impression one got of Parliamentary methods in
South Africa was that only the interest of White men is
considered by the majority of members. Everything is
rigidly subordinated to that interest. Outside the walls
of Parliament one found a large section, both articulate
and silent, who fearlessly espoused our cause by press
propaganda, public meetings and lobbying, and they
represented the old liberal tradition that is dwindling.

Inside Parliament, however, there is one paramount
interest, that of the White man. To demonstrate this,
let us, for instance, take the Budget. Most State budgets
in the world normally show some degree of lopsided
incidence of taxation burdens as between those able to pay
and those too indigent to pay, groups known as “the
haves” and the “have-nots.” This year’s Union budget
has astounded everybody in its totally callous neglect of
consideration for the poorest section of the population
who have silently shouldered their taxation without getting
anything from the vast wealth they help produce for this
country. Indeed the Black man planteth the vineyard
but eateth not of the fruit thereof.

For us the budget speech affords but little joy. Its
gifts are lavished among the rich in such profusion that
some of the white beneficiaries have actually declined to
accept the gifts out of a sense of shame and fear of their
constituents. The Minister of Finance, perplexed to
find ways of scattering his phenomenal surplus derived
from cheap Black labour, a surplus that has recurred for
years in succession, chose to relieve the opulent groups
from income tax, leaving the lucky White farmers, because
of their omnipotent franchise power, free from all direct
taxation that cannot be labelled nominal. These farmers
were privileged to feed their cattle and pigs at a cost of
only 5/- per bag of maize during the drought while starv­
ing Natives had to pay 18/- a bag to save life from death,
as the Government had made a law for the convenience of
White farmers to sell mealies in England for about half-a­
crown, feed their animals for 5/6 but charge the hungry
African 18/-, and turn round to us and say it is the law,
and the law cannot be changed! That is the meaning of
the new policy of “Trusteeship” so-called. I think it
stands self-condemned ab initio.

No wonder our neighbours of Rhodesia have character­
ised it as being “distinctly ungenerous.” Just think of
the ghastly fact that the Black races have enabled the
Government to reap profits of over six million pounds per
annum through their cheap labour at the mines, labour
that would cost four million pounds more if it were White
labour, especially when working profits have risen by
100%, and dividends swelled by 70%, the Treasury will
not let go the one odd million pounds of Poll Tax sucked
out of the blood of our people under distressing circum­
stances of poverty and even penury. Nobody in Parlia­
ment so far as I am aware suggested the reduction or
abolition of this draconian tax of blood. I think it is fair
to be taxed according to income and ability to pay, but the
Native Poll Tax of £1 all round is a savage anachronism.
On the one hand the pensions for aged White men of
sixty, who never pay Poll Tax as we do, have been increased,
while on the other hand the Black men of sixty who are
too poor to pay any tax but have always paid it, get no
pension whatever and are forced by law to find £1 or go to
prison. Parliament genuinely does not know that this
tax absorbs the wages of two full months each year in the
case of thousands of our people. Such things will be
known only when we are represented on an equality by
Black men in Parliament, and there are many in this hall
who are good enough for that position. We have no
choice but to keep on agitating for this equality. Otherwise
we shall never be rescued from this travesty of justice.

(3) THE ALL AFRICAN CONVENTION.

Last December in this hall we held a mammoth and
ePOCH-making gathering representative of every con­
ceivable African organisation in the Union and parts of
our adjoining Protectorates, for the purpose of giving our
reply to the Native Bills such as they were then. We
framed a unanimous answer and your committee proceed­
ed to carry out your instructions, it is hoped, to your
satisfaction. We must now make plans for the future and
consider

(a) What to do with the new Acts, (b) how to consoli­
date this organisation and promote its unity and efficiency,
and (c) devise schemes for improving our economic welfare
by self-help.

We are thus confronted with a greater problem than
ever, a problem demanding prevision or foresight instead
of precipitate impetuosity; sanity in place of hysteria,
and combined action rather than mutual wrangling.
Your discussion will, I hope, result in a sensible agree­
ment as regards our attitude to the new legislation and
towards the future of the Convention.

You will have to examine a number of possible courses
along with their advantages and disadvantages. Among
these will be:—First, to declare a complete boycott
on all the new Acts, adopting a policy of retaliative
reprisals and bottled revenge.

In favour of this, we could startle White South Africa,
attract the notice of the rest of the world and win our
rights by using the fear of a bloody revolution as a weapon
of propaganda.

Against this, one cannot calculate what the end of it
would be. It might end in disaster. It presupposes
that every single person literate and illiterate will obey our
word of command. It presupposes a perfect organi­
sation where there are no blacklegs. It will be hard to apply it
to the Land and Trust Bill. Its collapse would make
the last state worse than the first, because it would pre­
clude all possibility of our unity thereafter. It rests on
the use of force.

(b) To make an unconditional acceptance. This
course offers no advantage whatever, for it would mean we
accept all these laws as being just.

(c) To evolve an intermediary policy of using what
can be used and fighting against all that we do not want.
The advantage here is that we can keep the goal we are
striving for constantly in view before us and work for the
repeal of these colour bars backed by the strongest supporting forces in the country. We would keep our self-respect, get new opportunities to initiate fresh efforts, educate backward followers and ensure loyalty. Its drawback is that it will prolong the battle and exasperate those who are burning for quick results.

(d) There will possibly be other alternatives that will emerge from your discussions. Whatever be the diversity of opinions you hold, you will be well advised to be mutually tolerant, remembering that we are all working for the same end, to save Africa from virtual servitude.

There will be no divergence of opinion as to the need of self-help and a more effective mobilisation of our economic forces for that purpose. Here I shall venture a few suggestions.

We should find a solution for an escape out of poverty by all practicable means within our power. So long as we are an impoverished community we shall never rise and scale the heights of success to which our mental and physical capacity entitles us to attain. We should burst our way into the vocations that create wealth among our communities. Those of us who secure a better education must abandon the idea of confining our ambition to Teaching and the Ministry, necessary as these are in all life. It is time for us to take up Law, Medicine, Commerce and progressive Farming. Business and Commerce must be stressed and much propaganda carried out to further them. Let us learn how to support our own traders however humble they may be, out of a patriotic spirit of African nationalism. Nationalism or race-pride has been rightly condemned in so far as it is a sentimental abstraction and an isolated ideal, but it is a necessary preliminary step for people in our stage of development to attain commercial effectiveness, especially because we have often been criticised for being bad business men as a race. I do not subscribe to that condemnation, and it is for us to disprove it by deeds. In America I found a slogan among the Negroes "Keep your money within the colour," meaning that a Black man should do all his shopping at another Black man's shop whenever possible. If a Negro trader supplies good sugar, then all the Negroes in the town or district buy their sugar at his store, making him flourish and provide employment to others of his race. Following that example, we could multiply the number of our humble shoemakers, tailors, grocers, taximen, bus contractors, butchers, farmers, co-operative stores, adopting a scheme of self-upliftment to counter the Government's anti-Black and repressive "Civilised Labour" policy.

(4) A WORLD OUTLOOK.

Among our tasks is that of educating our Union rulers on our view of affairs and our reason for claiming equal rights, because our situation here is but symptomatic of the world-wide travail of all repressed communities and dominated classes even apart from the local colour problems that complicate and obscure the true issue of class repressing class. Our ways of thinking have to be revised till we dispassionately apprehend the general problem of our failure to live amicably as an evil facing all mankind, and as such needing concerted effort by all nationalities. We must be agreed and determined upon certain fundamental principles such as these:—