INDEX

Chapter 1

Introduction to the study

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY
 - 2.1 A VIEW OF AGENCY IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN CONTEXT
 - 2.2 AGENCY IN CHILDHOOD
 - 2.2.1 Contextual Developmental Factors
 - 2.2.2 Intrinsic & Extrinsic Influences on Agency in Children
 - 2.3 THE RESEARCHER AS A FACILITATOR OF AGENCY
 - 2.4 GESTALT PLAYTHERAPY
- 3. MOTIVATION FOR CHOICE OF RESEARCH
 - 3.1 BRACKTEING AND DETERMINING THE RESEARCHER'S BIAS
 - 3.2 CURRENT TRENDS IN OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY THEORY
 - 3.3 THE FIT BETWEEN GESTALT THERAPY & OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY
- 4. PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION
- 5. PROBLEM FORMULATION
 - 5.1 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY
 - 5.2 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH
 - 5.1.1 To capture the participants baseline agentic functioning.
 - 5.2.2 To identify the participant's agentic responses (inherently given patterns and response patterns which were triggered through intervention).

- 5.2.3 To denote the therapeutic enablers of agency, which facilitated self-discovery leading to externalized agentic behaviour over the treatment period.
- 5.2.4 To capture post-treatment agentic functioning in order to ascertain whether shifts in agency were evidenced beyond the treatment room.
- **5.3 ASSUMPTIONS**
- 6. LITERATURE REVIEW
- 7. METHODOLOGY
- 7.1 RESEARCH DESIGN
- 7.2 BOUNDARIES AND RESTRICTIONS OF THE RESEARCH
- 7.3 STUDY POPULATION
- 8. DATA COLLECTION
- 9. DATA ANALYSIS
- 10. TRUSTWOTHINESS AND RIGOUR
- 11. INTRODUCTION OF TERMS AND CORE CONCEPTS
 - ° AGENCY
 - ° GESTALT
 - ° GESTALT PLAYTHERAPY
 - ° GESTALT THERAPY
 - ° LIFE POSITION
 - ° PRESCHOOL DEVELOPMENTAL STAGE
 - ° SELF-DISCOVERIES
 - ° WELL-BEING
- 12. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS
- 13. REPORTING DATA AND APPLICATION IN PRACTICE
- 14. PROBLEMS WHICH WERE ANTICIPATED/ PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

15. FINAL COMMENTS

CHAPTER 2

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. DEVELOPMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS
- 2.1 ERIKSON: A THEORY OF PSYCHOSOCIAL DEVELOPMENT.
 - 2.2 COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT
 - 2.3 PERSPECTIVES ON PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT
 - 2.4 DEVELOPMENT OF EGO-FUNCTION IN CHILDREN
 - 2.4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCING EGO-DEVELOPMENT
- 3. A THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE ON AGENCY
 - 3.1 AGENCY WITHIN THE PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY
 - 3.2 EVOLVING PERSPECTIVES ON AGENCY
 - 3.2.1 AGENCY: STRIVING FOR SUPERIORITY
 - 3.2.2 AGENCY: DETERMINED BY NEEDS
 - 3.2.3 CUPATIONAL PERSPECTIVE ON AGENCY
 - 3.3 THE AGENTIC LIFEPOSITION
 - 3.3.1 AGENTIC VERSUS VICTIMIC POSTURE
 - 3.3.2 LIFESTORIES
 - 3.4 AGENCY IN CHILDHOOD

- 3.4.1. TEMPERAMENT AS THE CORE OF THE PERSONALITY
- 3.4.2 EXTROVERSION VERSUS INTROVERSION
- 3.4.3 INFLUENCES ON AGENCY

4. A THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE ON SELF DISCOVERIES

- 4.1 GESTALT THERAPY
- 4.1.1 HISTORICAL CONTEXT FOR GESTALT THERAPY
- 4.2 KEY CONCEPTS OF GESTALT THERAPY
- 4.2.1 CONTACT FUNCTION
- 4.2.2 ORGANISM / ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD
- 4.2.3 FIGURE GROUND CONCEPTS
- 4.2.4 AWARENESS AND RESPONSIBILITY
- 4.2.5 THE HERE AND NOW
- 4.2.6 UNFINISHED BUSINESS
- 4.3 THE THERAPEUTIC PROCESS
- 4.3.1 THE THERAPEUTIC RELATIONSHIP AND THERAPEUTIC DIALOGUE
- 4.3.2 RESISTANCE
- 4.4 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

5. GESTALT PLAYTHERAPY

- 5.1 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW ON PLAYTHERAPY
- 5.2 OAKLANDER'S APPROACH TO PLAYTHERAPY
- 5.2.1 RELATIONSHIP AND THERAPEUTIC DIALOGUE
- 5.2.2 RESISTANCE
- 5.2.3 TECHNIQUE
- 5.2.4 INSIGHT
- 5.2.5 SELF ACCEPTANCE AND SELF NURTURANCE
- 5.3 SCHOEMAN'S MODEL OF PLAYTHERAPY
- 5.3.1 ENTERING TREATMENT
- 5.3.2 ESTABLISHING SENSORY CONTACT
- 5.3.3 ASSESSEMNT OF THE CHILD'S PROCESS
- 5.3.4 PROJECTION
- 5.3.5 OWNING THE PROJECTION
- 5.3.6 WORK ON CHOICES

- 5.3.7 CONFLUENCE AND POLARITIES AND CLARIFICATION
- 5.3.8 EMPOWERMENT AND WRKING TOWARDS A SAFE SPACE
- 6. SYNTHESIS OF THE THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES
 - 6.1 THE ORGANISM / ENVIRONMENT FIELD
 - 6.2 AGENCY
 - 6.3 MATURATION
 - 6.4 PLAY IS THE OCCUPATION OF CHILDHOOD
 - 6.5 GESTALT PLAYTHERAPY AS CHOICE FOR TREATMENT
- 7. CONCLUSION

CHAPTER 3 METHOD OF INQUIRY

1. INTRODUCTION

^	RESEA	DOTE	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}$	
•		vi u	$\nu \nu \iota \nu$	

- 2.1 LOCATING SITE AND IDENTIFYING SELECTION CRITERIA
- 2.2 ACCESS TO SITE
- 2.3 SELECTING A PARTICIPANT-PURPOSIVE SAMPLING
- 2.4 DATA COLLECTION

2.4.1 STAGE - I: ESTABLISHING PARTICIPANT'S BASELINE BEHAVIOUR

- 2.4.1.1 QUALITATIVE MEASURES
- 2.4.1.2. QUANTITATIVE MEASURES
- 2.4.1.3 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE MEASURES COMBINED

2.4.2 STAGE –II: DATA CAPTURE DURING GESTALTPLAYTHRAPEUTIC INTERVENTION

2.4.3 STAGE III: DATA CAPTURE POST INTERVENTION

2.5 INTERVENTION APPLIED

- 2.5.1 TREATMENT ENTRY
- 2.5.2 ESTABLISHING SENSORY CONTACT
- 2.5.3 HANDLING PROJECTIONS

2.6 DATA ANALYSIS

- 2.6.1 STAGE -I: ANALYSIS OF BASELINE DATA
- 2.6.2 STAGE -II: DATA-ANALYSIS OF INTERVENTION DATA
- 2.6.3 STAGE III: POST INTERVENTION AGENTIC STATUS
- 2.6.4 TRUSTWOTHYNESS AND RIGOUR

2.6.4.1 CREDIBILITY

- > REPETITIVE FIELD ENTRY
- > TRIANGULATION
- ➤ MEMBER CHECKING
- > REFLEXIVITY
- > PEER DEBRIEFING
- 2.4.4.2 APPLICABILITY / TRANSFERABILITY
- 2.6.4.3 CONSISTANCY/ DEPENDABILITY
- 2.6.4.4 NEUTRALITY

2.7 RESOLVING FIELD ISSUES

3. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 3.1 RESPONSIBILITY OF THE RESEARCHER TO THE CLIENT
- 3.2 RESPONSIBILITY TO POSSIBLE PARTICIPANTS
- 3.3 RESPONSIBILITY TO THE PROFESSION-OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY
- 3.4 RESPONSIBILITY TO JANET'S SCHOOL
- 3.5 RESPOSNIBILITY REGARDING FIELD WITHDRAWEL

4. CONCLUSION

CHAPTER 5

ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS

INTRODUCTION

- 2. STAGE –I: DISCUSSION OF THE BASELINEFINDINGS
- 2.1 OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY VIEW ON JANET'S BASELINE AGENTIC STATUS
- 2.2 GESTALT THERAPY VIEW ON JANET'S BASELINE AGENTIC STATUS
- 3. STAGE II : DISCUSSION OF THE INTERVENTION PROCESSES
- 3.1 PATTERNS RESTRICTING THE EXPERIENCE OF JANET'S AGENCY
- 3.1.1 USCUSSION OF THEME 1: JANET'S INHERENT AGENCY TRENDS
- 3.1.1.1 CURIOSITY: ORIENTATION LEADING TO FULLER UNDERSTANDING
- 3.1.1.2 CURIOSITY- LOSING FOCUS, DIMINISHING GOAL-DIRECTED AGENCY
- 3.1.2 PATTERNS OF ACTIVE RESISTANCE: RESISTANCE AGENCY
- 3.1.2.2 DIVERSION: TO CHANGE THE SUBJECT
- 3.1.2.3 SOMATIC RESPONSES: TO DIVERT FROM THE MATTER AT HAND
- 3.1.3 SYNTHESIS OF INHERENT AND HABITUAL CONTACTCUTTING AGENCY RESPONSES
- 3.2 ENABLING AGENCY
- 3.2.1 ENABLING AGENCY: THE GESTALT PLAYTHERAPEUTIC PROCESS
- 3.2.1.1 TREATMENT ENTRY
- 3.2.1.2 ESTABLISHING SENSORY CONTACT
- 3.2.1.3 HANDLING PROJECTIONS
- ➢ POLARITIES
- DEEPENING CONSCIOUSNESS & SHARPENING AWARENESS
- OWNING FEELINGS
- > CONFLUENCE CHOICES AND RESPONSIBILITY
- ➤ EMPOWERMENT, SELF-NURTURANCE, A SAFE PLACE
- 3.1.2.4 SYNTHESIS FO GESTATL PLAYTHERAPEUTIC PROCESS
- 3.2.2 SELF-ENABLING STRUCTURE
- 3.2.2.1 INSTRUCTION
- 3.2.2.2 OFFERING CHOICES
- 3.2.2.3 REFOCUSING
- 3.2.2.4 DEMONSTRATION
- 3.2.2.5 SYNTHESIS: ENABLING AGECY

- 3.3 SELF-EXPANSION
- 3.3.1 DEVELOPING/ EXPERIENCING COMPETANCE
- 3.3.1.1 DEALING WITH PRACTICAL CHALLENGES
- 3.3.1.2 CONNECTING EVENTS
- 3.3.2 CREATING MEANING OF THE ISSUES THAT EMERGED
- 3.3.2.1 PHYSICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF HEALTH
- > SOMATIC RESPONSES
- ➤ HOSPITALIZATION
- 3.3.2.2 FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS
- ➤ MY PARENTS
- > MY SISTER
- > OTHER RELATIVES
- 3.3.2.3 GUNSHA
- 3.3.2.4 GRANDPA RONNIE

THERAPY

- 3.4 SYNTHESIS OF SELF EXPANSION
- 4 DISCUSSION OF STAGE- III: POST INTERVENTION FINDINGS
- 4.1 POST- INTERVENTION FEEDBACK FROM JANET'S TEACHER
- 4.2 A POST INTERVENTION PLAY ASSESSMENT: PRESCHOOL PLAY SCALE
- 4.3 FEEDBACK FROM JANET'S PARENTS
- 4.4 MAEMBERCHECING WITH JANET
- 4.5 PEER-REVIEW BY THE KEY-INFORMANT
- 4.5 SYNTHESIS: JANET'S JOURNEY TOWARDS AN AGENTIC LIFEPOSITION
- 4.1 AGENCY: A GESTALT PLYTHERAPUTIC PERSPECTIVE
- 4.2 AGENCY: AN OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY PERSPECTIVE
- 4.2.1 GOODNESS OF FIT: GESTALT THERAPY & OCCUAPTIONAL