1. Relations with South Africa
(a) Apartheid policy
(b) South West Africa
   (i) attitude to decision of International Court of Justice
   (ii) " transfer of Condominium to U.N. Trusteeship
   (iii) " acceleration of boundary policy & E.W.R.
(c) Sanctions against South Africa - economic sanctions
    military " expulsion from U.N.

2. Relations with United Kingdom
(a) U.K. Abortion Policy
(b) " S.A. Policy
(c) " Portuguese Empire

3. Relations with Other African States:
   a. Membership of O.T.R.N.
   b. R.A.H. Policy on Refugees
   c. " liberation movements

4. Relations with U.N. (specialised) agencies:
   a. Anti-apartheid committee
   b. Trusteeship Council
   c. Medical committee
   d. Economic and Social Council
   e. F.K.O.
   f. W.H.O.
   g. F.A.O.
   h. U.N.T.A.R.
   i. UNESCO

5. Relations with U.S.A.
   a. U.S. Policy on Vietnam
    - admission of China & U.N.
    - " communism

6. Relations with U.S.S.R. 
   a. " General attitude to communism
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**Recommendations**

1. Mr. Nyerere - Minister of State for Foreign Affairs - to accompany US + ABC delegation - 20 Mar 81.

2. Indian - H. Kana, S. Elhadi - to meet with diplomatic.

3. Head of Foreign Office - Mr. Archie Deacon.

4. Dual purpose - Civil Service - to express views & support - to all emerging countries.

5. Clarification of former section of Botswana crimes in High Commission:
   (i) his offence of B.A.-craftsman - keep 100 Tomas in Republic
   (ii) incident of Botswana permanent - 30,010 mine workers
   (iii) Chad - accept genuine refugees - political refugees -
     - Tanzania doesn't want armed refugees - not automatic refugees
   (iv) South West Africa -
   (v) C.A. U -
   (vi) Commonwealth -
Southern Africa & Its Implications for American Foreign Policy

1. Southern Africa comprises the following countries:-

N.B. (1). Zambia prior to independence was closely related economically and otherwise to the countries of Southern Africa, but since independence and especially since U.D.I. in Rhodesia, she has striven to build up her links with the East African countries of Tanganyika, Kenya and Uganda.

(2). Botswana has a small outlet to the north on the Zambesi which has proved of great importance to refugees from South Africa, Rhodesia and even Mozambique.

2. Status of Countries:

   (a) Independent countries in this area include:
   South Africa, Zambia, Malawi, Botswana, Lesotho.

   (b) Dependent countries in the area include -

   (i) Angola and Mozambique - Portuguese Colonies regarded Portugal as integral parts of Portugal.

   ii) Swaziland, a British Protectorate scheduled to be independent before 1969.
iii) South West Africa - a Mandated territory which the United States is endeavouring to wrest from South Africa.

iv) Southern Rhodesia which declared herself independent in Nov. 1965, but whose independence has not yet been recognised by any country.

3. Population:

The population of the area consists of approximately 40,000,000 non-whites, mainly Africans and approximately 4,000,000 whites of whom over 3 million are in South Africa.

4. Nature of White Settlement:

The whites in this area especially in South Africa, South West Africa and Rhodesia regard themselves as permanent inhabitants and not as foreigners.

Area:

The Southern African countries cover an area of over 2 million square miles, although they vary in size from 6,70 sq. miles in Swaziland to 472,000 sq. miles in South Africa.

Resources:

The area has vast natural resources of great importance not only to themselves, but also to the world as a whole. Gold, diamonds, copper, iron, chromium, uranium, manganese, asbestos, lead, zinc and agricultural products such as sugar, coffee, sisal, fruit, meat and meat products.
(skins, hides).

Tourism:

The area has perhaps the most healthy climate in Africa, has tourist attractions such as the Victoria Falls in Zamb
superb game Reserves such as the Kruger National Park in
South Africa and the Chobe Game Reserve in Botswana.

Dominance of South Africa:

The dominant country in this area is South Africa. It is the most powerful country economically. Johannesburg
is the economic centre of the whole area, but it is well to
remember that the economy of South Africa has been built
up by scouring all the countries of Southern Africa for
African labour. Of the 400,000 African mineworkers on the
Rand, 60% come from territories other than the Republic
and recruiting agencies for the mines and farms of South
Africa are to be found in all the surrounding territories.
South Africa ports have provided the main outlets for the
imports and exports of most of the landlocked countries in
this area which include Botswana, Lesotho, Swaziland, Malaw.
Rhodesia and Zambia.

Politically, the area is dominated by the policies
of the Government of the Republic of South Africa (Aparthei:
The Central African Federation of Northern Rhodesia, Southern Africa and Nyasaland during its brief existence, tried to set in motion what was described as a policy of partnership, but the Africans of the area recognised it as but a pale reflection of the South African policy of Apartheid, poorly disguised and fought against the Federation until it was dismantled. All the countries which have become independent in this area, except South Africa, have proclaimed their intention to seek to build up non-racial societies within their boundaries. In the Portuguese colonies in the area, the policy purports to be one of equal rights for all civilised men but there too, the Africans are satisfied that this policy is carried out to their disadvantage as every Portuguese is automatically regarded as civilised, while Africans are dependent for the acquisition of civilisation upon the meagre efforts of the Portuguese to help them attain civilisation.

We are left therefore with a situation in which the dominant country follows a policy of permanent white supremacy in South Africa and South-West Africa, combined with Rhodesia which has by the unilateral seizure of independence by the white minority given notice to the world that it intends to follow a similar policy of permanent white supremacy. On the other hand, the African
countries in the area which have become independent intend to follow a non-racial policy.

The struggle for Liberation:

Both the South African policy of apartheid and the Portuguese policy of the so-called assimilation of Angola and Mozambique as provinces of Portugal are condemned by Africa not only in Southern Africa, but in the whole Continent of Africa. All the independent African states have declared that they will not rest satisfied until the whole of Africa, including Southern Africa has been liberated. In other words, the stage is set in Southern Africa for an inevitable clash between the white minorities which stand for a policy of permanent white supremacy and the African majorities which are determined to achieve self-determination at all costs. With this possibility in mind, the white minorities under the leadership of South Africa are arming themselves to the teeth. A considerable population of the national income of South Africa is spent on the most modern arms while whites from the age of 17 to 65 are undergoing military training. Africans from Southern Africa are also undergoing military training in various African countries further to the North and they are hopeful that once actual military operations begin in the South they will receive military
aid from African States. On the other hand, the white minorities also hope that they will receive aid from white countries. In other words the conflict if it ever come — which God forbid — would be a conflict between Black and White.

African Efforts — From Violence — Non-violence

1. First contact between black and white resulted in armed conflict between the two. This conflict between and white lasted over 100 years and took place in different parts of the country at different times in what are commonly known in South African history as "Kafir Wars". The last such conflict took place in 1906 in Natal and known as BAMBATH’S REBELLION.

2. It goes without saying that in all these clashes Africans with their spears were defeated by the whites and their guns and the result of each defeat meant the loss of more land and the wider extension of white rule. The Africans having been conquered then turned to peaceful methods of defending and promoting their rights in the lands of their birth.

The peaceful methods which they followed included the following:
(a). The formation of political organisations, first on a regional basis in the different colonies, as they then were: Natal, Cape Colony, Orange Free State, Transvaal, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Swaziland - and later on a national basis after the Union of South Africa was formed in 1910. All these organisations decided to base their struggle on peaceful non-violent agitation. The methods they used included -

(a) written representation in the form of petitions to the authorities about various matters

(b) deputations of African leaders to the authorities including deputations to England e.g. Deputation against South Africa Act; Deputation against the Land Act of 1913; Deputation to the Peace Conference in 1919.

(c) *Litigation* in the Courts in defence of their rights

(d) strikes not only in the field of labour, but against disabilities such as the Pass Laws.

(e) peaceful demonstrations

(f) Civil Disobedience such as the Defence of Urgent Laws Campaign of 1952.

**Results of these Efforts:**

All these efforts were directed at the amelioration of
the lot of Africans in the land of their birth. But their result has been not the improvement but the determination of the rights of Africans -

(a) from being people who, in 1910 had limited franchise rights in one of the country they have deteriorated to the position where they have no franchise rights anywhere.

(b) from being people who had the right to own or lease land anywhere they have deteriorated to the position where they have only limited land rights in special areas.

(c) from people who had freedom of movement at least in certain parts of the country they have come increasingly under the Reference Books, under which movements of both men and women are controlled.

(d) in the industrial field they have lost many types of work formerly open to them and have come increasingly under job reservation.

All this has led many Africans, especially among the youth to despair of ever bringing about a change in the situation by peaceful means and they have therefore begin to prepare for armed conflict.

Thousands have left South Africa and South-West Afri to undergo military training in various countries. In
Mozambique and Angola armed conflict is already going on.

At present these efforts are crude, spasmodic, ill-directed and easily controlled, but can we count on this always being the case?

But what worries those engaged in the struggle for liberation even more is the feeling that they are not only fighting against their local white but against those in other more powerful countries who support these regimes economically, militarily and otherwise, and they ask themselves the question. It is nothing of these other countries that this solution should continue in this part of the world.

This brings to the question of the attitude of the United States to this question. In this connection certain actions of the United States have noted -

(a) the condemnation of the policies of the Govt. of Republic of South Africa at the United Nations

(b) the stand of the U.S. on the question of arms embargo against South Africa

(c) the stand of the United States on the South West Africa question

(d) the stand of the United States on the Rhodesia question and mandatory sanctions.
(e) the stand of the United States on self-determination for Portuguese Colonies. Ambassador Goldberg's suggestion of necessity for dialogue

(f) the stand of the U.S. on the U.S. ships visiting South African ports

(g) the visit of Senator Robert Kennedy which gave a big lift to liberal forces in Southern Africa

(h) multi-racial parties at the United States Embassy

All these factors give us reason to believe that the United States does not approve of the policies followed by the ruling white minorities in Southern Africa.

The question that arises is to what extent the United States is prepared to use its influence to bring about a peaceful change of direction in the policies followed. Does the United States contemplate the confrontation of South Africa, Rhodesia and Portugal with the need for a change of direction intheir policies?

Among the things which seem possible may be included

(a) Support for Botswana and Lesotho in their efforts to establish non-racial societies and the use of these countries as a bridge between South Africa and other independent states in Africa.
(b) The use of United States diplomatic pressure in connection with South Africa economic vulnerability - South Africa need of capital for development such as the Orange Power Project, Electrification Road Transportation United States influence in sources of capital such as the World Bank, IBRD, and private capital - to bring about the abandonment of illiberal economic and labour policies.

(e) The support of United Nations programmes for Education and Training of Africans from these areas for the future.

(d) Support of the United Nations Trust Fund for refugees from these areas.

(e) The encouragement of visits by South Africa political leaders to independent African States and vice versa.
SECRET

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

GABERONE,

BOTSWANA.

20th April, 1967

Sir,

I am directed by His Excellency the President to forward to you the following instructions in connection with participation by Botswana in the debate in the Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly on the subject of South West Africa.

1. (a) You are not to vote for any of the three proposals put forward by the United Nations South West Africa Committee;

(b) You are to abstain on all these proposals;

(c) You are to abstain if any different proposal is formulated which contemplates the use of force;

(d) You are to make a statement in the Special Session of the Botswana position to the effect that

I Botswana recognises the right of the peoples of South West Africa to self-determination and independence under the Mandate;

II Botswana objects to the projection of the Bantustan concept to South West Africa;

III South Africa is nevertheless in occupation of South West Africa;

IV Therefore South Africa cannot be excluded from any discussions about the future of South West Africa and must be included in the processes necessary to terminate the Mandate;

V You are to maintain that Botswana will not countenance the use of force to solve this or any other issue;

(e) You are authorised to agree to the inclusion of Botswana in any acceptable negotiating machinery which includes the co-operation of South Africa, but which excludes the use of force.

Dr. Z. K. Matthews,
Ambassador,
Embassy of the Republic of Botswana,
WASHINGTON, D.C.
In the event of South Africa refusing to co-operate you are still authorised to agree to the inclusion of Botswana in any acceptable negotiating machinery. If however, a request should be made for Botswana to be used as a base for United Nations operations in respect of South West Africa, you are to state that it is necessary for you to refer the request to your Government. You are then so to refer any such request.

You are authorised to make the matters set out above clear to the South African representatives at the United Nations.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

(J. A. Allison)

Senior Permanent Secretary