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Abstract

This article traces the portrayal of the French refugees/Huguenots (as a subject of matter) in the South African (church) historiography of the 20th century and tells their story chronologically. The survey reveals a positive evaluation and acceptance of the French refugees in the history of South Africa. The collection and study of primary sources in this regard during the 20th century, however, did not prevent the formulation of contextualised presumptions, suppositions, images and unreliable sociohistorical and religious theories connected to the French refugees in the (church) historiography. It appears that some constitutive-critical and, in particular, theological-critical work still has to be done in order to let the historical reality (concerning the French refugees) come into its own and full right. Taking the historiography into consideration, this article argues that further research on the significance of the French refugees at the Cape thus cannot be executed within the range of limited and traditional questions and presuppositions such as the historical and cultural origin of the Afrikaner people, the development of the political idea of separation, the strengthening of the reformed confession and faith in South Africa, the derivation of a typical Protestant and Afrikaner Calvinism or the accepted and assumed connection between Calvin, France and South Africa.

Introduction

After the revocation of the Edict of Nantes in 1685 in France, many French Protestants found refuge in the Netherlands. A few years later some 200 of them accepted the offer to acquire a new livelihood at the Cape of Good Hope, a Dutch East India Company refreshment post established in 1652. After their arrival in 1688, the process of adaptation and accommodation into the Cape Dutch corpus Christianum commenced. In the 19th and 20th centuries this was identified as a meaningful historical event in the history of South Africa. It evoked interpretation and study and consequently became an important theme in the (church) historiography.

This article focuses on the portrayal of the French Huguenots in the South African (church) historiography of the 20th century. How was this event interpreted and understood during the 20th century? What were the theological and historical significance and meaning accredited to the French Huguenots? Did the portrayal rest upon a rigorous and critical study of primary sources? Did the 19th century cultural and theological assumptions, images, awareness and expressive concepts without more ado continue in the expositions of the next century? Or, did the research-based and critical studies of the 20th century depict a different interpretation and assessment? In order to answer these questions, this essay surveys the 20th century (church) historiography, documents and argues the portrayal of the French Huguenots critically as a contribution to come to terms with the South African past.

Roughly speaking, at the end of the 19th century, South African anniversaries in connection with French Protestant history (1885, 1888) stimulated the collection, selection and publication of (sometimes abridged) archival “French Refugee” sources. The works of Leibbrandt, Theal and Spoelstra, as well as the genealogical compilations of De Villiers and Colenbrander, in this regard indicate the assimilation of the earlier and emerging 19th century historical awareness, as well as the adjustment towards historical sources and subsequently verifiable historiography. The early 20th century (church) historiography, which included the French Huguenots in South Africa (as subject matter), were thus intentionally founded on these anthologies.

Continued idealisation combined with colonial and political ulterior aims 1888–1913

As keeper of the Cape Archives, Theal provides a thorough treatment of the French refugees. His 1888 History of South Africa 1486–1691 covers, in what would become the outline of a popular
presentation, the French refugees’ European background, their arrival at the Cape, their settlement and complaints, the leading role of their minister, Simond, the establishment of their own church and school, as well as their resistance (for justice and liberty) against Governor WA van der Stel. “They may be the poorest”, he discloses, without concealing his colonial and theological predispositions,

…but they are not the least courageous or liberty-loving people of any country who go forth to found colonies in distant lands. And assuredly the men who built up the European power in South Africa were, in those qualities which ought to command esteem, no whit behind the pioneers of any colony in the world. They brought to this country an unconquerable love of liberty, a spirit of patient industry, a deep-seated feeling of trust in the Almighty God; and with virtues such as these we can safely leave them to do the work which Providence had marked out for them in the land of their children’s home.xii

In that “epoch-making year [1910],xiii South Africans have reached their goal, guided thither by an all-wise Providence, not withholding diversity in tongue and nationality”.xiv Thus wrote Godée-Molsbergen, professor for history at the Victoria College in Stellenbosch, in his A history of South Africa (1910).xv His positive account of the Huguenots (“a decided acquisition to the Colony”xvi) resembles that of Theal and is based on Leibbrandt’s, Theal’s and Spoelstra’s archival notes and publications.xvii

In 1913 Theal explicitly discusses the French refugees along the same lines in his Willem Adriaan van der Stel and other historical sketches.xviii To his earlier expositions of the French at the Cape, he now adds that they were “Calvinists and practicing the Calvinistic form of worship.”xix They did not get along well with the Dutch at the Cape. He accentuates “the ill-feeling between the two nationalities at the Cape”xx “for national prejudices kept them from being real friends”.xxi The effect of redressing of their mutual grievances and the struggle against Van der Stel, however, “makes them memorable in our history” he notes:

They blended the different nationalities together so firmly that thereafter they were absolutely inseparable. There is nothing that tends more to make men and women sympathise with each other than suffering in a common cause and in this instance Hollander and Huguenot alike had resisted and felt the vengeance of the tyrant”xxii

“Our archives tell us,” he continues, “that from that day onward there was no jealousy, no ill-feeling of any kind, between Dutchmen and Frenchmen in the colony. There after all were Afrikanders.xxxiii

Within the political and national context of reconciliation after the Anglo-Boer War (1899–1902), the obvious contemporary significance of Godée-Molsbergen’s and Theal’s emphasis on the historical achievement of the blending of two nationalities is clear. At the time (i.e. the establishment of the Union of South Africa in 1910 within the British Commonwealth) the history of the French Huguenots served as an illustrative example to further current mutual aims and national policies. The pre-Anglo-Boer War colonial sentiment, earlier voiced by Theal, shifted to the background.

Serving a pro-nationalistic cause 1900

This historical rendering, supplemented with a pro-colonial and post-union significance and value, was however not shared by all. Among many Afrikaners a divergent historical image was being conceived along non-colonial and pro-nationalistic lines. This self-image depicted the 100 years of British occupation (since 1795) and policies as A century of injustice.xxxiv This view of history established a differentiated conceptualisation of the French Huguenots compared to that of Theal and Godée-Molsbergen. D’Arbezxxv (in 1900), in his Zuid-Afrikaansche Historie-biblioteek, is a good example. The French refugees had, he contended, not only exercised a great influence on the “formation of the character of our people”, but had also played a decisive role in the “history of our country”.xxxvi There would have been no 1836 Trek in “our history”, he tells his readers, were it not for the French.

Trek refers to the emigration of some 6000 mainly Dutch colonists during the 1830s, interpreted as a revolt against the British authority, to settle in the interior of South Africa, where two independent republics were eventually created. At the end of the 19th century this event was interpreted as the historical origin and achievement upon which the republics were founded. The republics were symbols of non-colonial and anti-imperial freedom and stimulated a pro-Dutch and nationalistic sense of history.xxxvii The French Huguenots, in contrast to the portrayal of Theal, are here associated with the historical claims of the anti-colonial interpretation.
An addtion to the history and a theological preference in the church historiography 1911–1937

In early 20th century historiography, the French refugees/Huguenots were thus preoccupied with divergent historical considerations and significance. Does this also account for the contemporaneous church historiography? In 1911, taking responsibility for the South African part of The history of the Christian Church, JD du Toit emphasised that their persecution, flight, suffering and heroic courage distinctively marked their history. He discloses that the Huguenot congregation at the Cape was an autonomous church which adhered to the Church Order of Dordrecht as well as the Formularies of Unity decided upon at the famous Synod of Dordt in 1618–1619. Du Toit therefore accepted that the Huguenots were bearers of a Dutch reformed tradition and instrumental in strengthening that ecclesiastical cause at the Cape. It was, parenthetically, with this cause and ecclesiastical orientation that the Reformed Churches in South Africa (established in 1859) identified itself. Du Toit, being a member of these Churches, discovers, or rather postulates, theological and historical points of references in the history of the French refugees at the Cape.

JL Marais, church historian of the larger and national Dutch Reformed Church, edited this church’s history up to the Great Trek and published it in 1919. In contrast with Du Toit, he keeps to the interpretation provided by Theal. The historical and even theological derivations of Theal, however, are lacking in his description. So are the (Reformed) ecclesiastical preferences of Du Toit. In his Utrecht dissertation on the Geschichte van de Nederlandstalige Hervormde Kerk en Zuid-Afrika, Engelbrecht (historian of the third South African reformed church, the Nederduitsch Hervormde Kerk, established in 1853) is of the opinion that the influence of the Huguenots was not negligible. Coming from the best circles in France, they contributed to the mitigation of the decline of morality in the Cape community, as well as the advancement of home industry.

A Moorrees, who provided the Dutch Reformed Church in 1937 with an exceptionally thorough and standard history, observes (without elucidation or argumentation): “The year 1688 is of the utmost importance also for the history of our church, because of the arrival of the Huguenots, which would have exercised such a commanding and beneficial influence on the future South-African nation.” His rendering of the French Huguenots is extensive, and corresponds to the standard exposition along the lines of thought of Theal and Botha.

Church historians thus endorsed the French Huguenots as an important addition to the country’s history, but avoided any designation of contentious political, cultural or national conceptualisations. Du Toit, though, identified a theological preference that would have a compelling effect on the course of the church’s history in South Africa.

Comprehensive exposés and historical identification 1919–1929

The first comprehensive exposé of The French refugees at the Cape, “one of the most important and interesting episodes in the history of South Africa” came from the press in 1919. Graham Botha, the author, dealt with matters relating to their settlement at Drakenstein, their sufferings in the new context, their church and their language and added a researched list of Huguenot names. On close examination, however, his research and presentation is in agreement with Theal. “Of special significance to the contemporary observer”, he points out, “is the fusion of the two races in the struggle against WA van der Stel.” The French Huguenots therefore “symbolized the unanimity with which the colonists had entered upon the struggle, and the feeling of brotherhood which characterized their conflict with the tyrant. It was a happy augury for the future”. He thus joins with the sentiments of Theal and Godée-Molsbergen.

Between 1925 and 1929, Franken, professor of French and French Literature at the (now) University of Stellenbosch, published a series of articles on the French Huguenots in Die Huisgenoot. He verified and rectified facts and methodologically furthered the cause (as Botha had done) of historical research. In one of the articles he dealt with “Hendrik Bibault and the rise of a nation”. In 1707 Bibault, from French origin (not a Huguenot, though) exclaimed in Stellenbosch: “I am an Afrikaner!” Franken sees this as documentary proof of the origin of the Afrikaner national consciousness. “This same exclamation,” he asserts, “echoes in the heart of each sincere Afrikaner. The significant scope and range of this will be heard, understood and apprehended as long as the Afrikanerdom will prevail.” Obviously (for the readers of Die Huisgenoot) he is actualising the significance of the French at the Cape in terms of the evolving national awareness of the Afrikaner. This, however, corroborated the unverified assumptions of D’Arbez. It indicates that the French Huguenots as subject matter were accommodated in the growing historical image of the Afrikaner people. The creation and growth of a common national historical image was one of the most powerful
ways for the defeated Afrikaner nation to come to terms with and assimilate the Anglo Boer War. And, after 20 years, this gained momentum.

A short summary is now applicable. During the first 30 or 40 years of 20th century historiography, both historians and church historians based their accounts of the Huguenots on archival data. As a rule the interpretation portrayed a positive tendency, though reflection on their significance, their influence and legacy differed: this links up with the colonial background and the immediate context of the Union on the one hand and D’Arbez, who connects them to the opposite (anti-colonial) force in South Africa’s history, on the other. Franken associates them with the national origins of the Afrikaner people. The church historian, JD du Toit, discovered in their ecclesial life the reformed and orthodox position of the Canons and Church Order of the Synod of Dordt (1618–1619). In the 1920s and in the following decades the different views in respect of the significance of the French Huguenots were argued in a more defined way. In addition, a significant accentuation announced itself in the historiography with an Afrikaner and nationalistic orientation.

New dimensions to the historical image 1922–1927

Early in the 1920s, Van Broekhuizen traced Die wordingsgeskiedenis van die Hollandse Kerke in Suid-Afrika. This led him to include the narrative of the French refugees, which he presented in the traditional way. However, the fusion of the French and Dutch is qualified. Historically, he says, employing a persuasive image, this first cultivation or moulding of the Afrikaner nation is rooted in the Dutch Beggars of the Sea (Geuse) and the French Huguenots.

Their blending in South Africa, he points out, must, however, be considered as the assimilation of a small (French) minority, with the loss of its language and autonomy, into a large (Dutch) majority. The French influence on the Afrikaner was thus limited. They were Calvinists, but so was the (Dutch) church at the Cape. It was the Dutch, he remarks, that provided the Afrikaner “with an untiring consistency toward his church, his Bible, his faith and his language”. The Dutch were the pioneers of the Reformation, the promoters and bearers of science, instruments for justice and righteousness, that presented history with heroes and heroines, “on which each Afrikaner, as descendant of the Dutch, may look back with pride and gratitude”.

Van Broekhuizen’s qualification relates to the high esteem in which the Dutch consanguinity and Holland, identified as the country of origin (stamland), were held among Afrikaners and Afrikaner academics at the time. Within this frame of thought, the significance of the French refugees in the Afrikaner’s history and historical rendition was not to be overrated.

D’Arbez, compiling a Short history of the Huguenots, did not agree. This history is a record of suffering, and therefore must be told, he argues. It is a history with which the Afrikaner, after the misery of the Anglo Boer War, can associate. “The history of the concentration camps”, D’Arbez continues, “is couched in one word: Woman’s endurance. Though, never would they have carried the burden of that severe suffering with such courage and tenacity, had their veins not been filled with Huguenot blood”. It is clear: D’Arbez, conceiving a popularised Afrikaner historical image with two pivots (the Great Trek [1836] and the Anglo Boer War [1899–1902]), makes the history of the Huguenots fit into it. “The Huguenots form the core of our Afrikaner nation.” And then: it was desirable that the present Afrikaners should know in which manner the Roman Catholics tried to oppress the faith of the Protestants. They left their impression on the character of the Afrikaner – his humour, music, features, perseverance and obstinacy, and the high regard for liberty and the rejection of tyranny.

The strong adhesion, D’Arbez declares, to their faith and church is derived rather (in opposition to the conviction of Van Broekhuizen) from the French than the Dutch.

During the third decade, D’Arbez and Van Broekhuizen thus included a new dimension in the existing image of the French Huguenots – something that Franken did not do. They stood in opposition to one another, determining the question of the actual role the French played in the formation of the Afrikaner nation. The corporate and inclusive historical awareness and characteristic Afrikaner identification indeed created the atmosphere for historical expression and conceit (or Einbildung). The tendency to nationalise the history continued during the next decade. All this occurred on the eve of the acceptance of apartheid as a national strategy to solve the complex and inevitable racial problem of South Africa politically. In this context, the significance of the French Huguenots was linked to a social-religious theory, explaining the development of racial attitudes in South Africa.

Linked to a social-historical and religious theory 1937

ID MacCrone linked the Huguenots with a social-historical and religious theory inclined to explain the existing Race attitudes in South Africa. According to him, the character of their religion and theology, which was also the creed of their Dutch neighbours, was strictly Calvinistic. It was of an
uncompromising and formidable kind since it was based on the most extreme form of the principle of predestination. If such a religion had any influence at all upon the life of the group, it could only be by way of strengthening its religious basis, of emphasizing its exclusive bias, and the confirming of an assured belief in its own superiority. The importance of these effects on the development of racial attitudes, he remarked, would become more apparent at a later stage in that development.

MacCrone presumes that the “negative aspects” of the Calvinistic creed, its doctrine of predestination, its emphasis on the community of the elect, the exclusive twist that could be given to its teachings, were all perfectly adapted to the interracial situation of the frontier. The conclusion was readily drawn and applied, he says, that the heathen fell outside the scheme of salvation.

In his influential A history of South Africa, Walker (fellow of St John’s College, Vere Harmsworth Professor of Imperial and Naval History at the University of Cambridge; sometime King George V professor of History at the University of Cape Town) included a chapter on the French refugees. Owing to his approach and questions, he did not find any justice in assigning conspicuous cultural or national value to the French refugees. Neither did he link them with the “native problem”, a contentious theme in the contemporary political debate. Implicitly though, by not following MacCrone, he raised the question of substantiation of a social-religious theory as explanation for the historical process, or history as reality.

**Official commemoration and additional popularisation 1939–1945**

The widely recognised and supported commemoration year, 1939 (250-year celebration of the arrival of the Huguenots in 1688, postponed to 1939 and overshadowed by the nation-wide centenary of the 1838 Great Trek), witnessed the publication of a number of monographs on the Huguenots as well, for example that of Leipoldt and Nathan. These, as well as the expected flood of supplementary literature (in journals, newspapers, etc), popularised the image of the Huguenots in the church historiography.

Nathan follows the traditional exposition: France, the Netherlands and the settlement at the Cape, and also sees the merging of the “races” as the origin of the Afrikaner people. This process was aided by the participation in a common Calvinistic faith, to which the whole population, he assumes, was inalienably attached. Leipoldt, like Nathan, maintained the traditional position and exposition by retaining the “central facts” of the history of the Huguenots. The process of adaptation and assimilation was stimulated by the fact that in both the Netherlands and the Cape the Canons of Dordt were recognised as authoritative. This theological position did not differ in principle from the teaching of the French reformed churches. “This then is also the reason why the French refugees, seen as a separate community, had exercised so little influence upon our national existence.”

The influential Dutch Reformed Church approved of the Huguenot festival and articulated its message and significance. Its official journal, Die Kerkbode, expressed it thus: The arrival of the French Huguenots was an event of utmost significance. It is attributed to the Providence of “the truthful God of our fathers” that led the Huguenots to “our shores”. It is an opportunity to bring into remembrance the “affliction of these courageous Protestants that suffered inhuman torture and excursion at the hands of the Roman Church.” As was the case with the Puritans in England and Scotland and the Beggars of the Sea in the Netherlands, the Huguenots of France in their courageous struggle derived the principles of their justice, courage and power from Calvin. In uniting with the descendants of the Beggars of the Sea their contribution to “the edification of our soil, our culture, our ecclesiastical life, the existence of our people and the noblest of our traditions” was inestimable. The commemoration should therefore “impel us to unflinching trust in God, acts of faith and a principled life.” The positive acceptance of the French Huguenots and their influence was thus popularised and placed on record. They were linked to a European image, which was contextualised in South Africa. And, the connection with Calvinism, inaugurated by Du Toit in 1911, had by now become obvious.

The middle of the 1940s saw the compilation of a comprehensive Kultuurgeskiedenis van die Afrikaner (Cultural history of the Afrikaner), which was intended to be the first narrative of the Boer national life (existence) in all its branches. In the first part, FCL Bosman took responsibility for “Die Franse stamverwantskap en kulturele bydrae tot die Afrikaanse volk.” The coming of the Huguenots was indeed, he indicates, a search for freedom. The Calvinism that they held to was a religious persuasion that adhered strongly to personal freedom and a democratic form of ecclesial government. They were also preoccupied with social and political freedom. Thus, he postulates, the Afrikaner is characterised by his outspoken individualism, his powerful spirit of independence, his...
affinity for personal and political freedom. But, he ascertains, this is in the first place the legacy of his Dutch ancestors. It was only strengthened by the French refugees.xcii

**Historical and theological interpretation 1952**

In 1952 the landing of Van Riebeeck and Dutch occupation in 1652 was commemorated throughout South Africa.xciii From all corners of the Union of South Africa, an appraised member of the British Commonwealth, ceremonial coaches took to the main roads to Cape Town. During March and in the first week of April 1952, the “mother city” staged the culmination of the festivities. Exhibitions, displays, cultural festivals and two great pageants portrayed the development of South Africa as a nation. In the Van Riebeeck Stadium, specially shipped from Britain and constructed in Cape Town, approximately 100 000 people saw the spectacular main pageant on 5 April.xciv The pageant included representations of Martin Luther (1517), the Synod of Dordt (1618–1619), the flight of the French Huguenots (1685) and the arrival of Scottish ministers in South Africa (1822).xcv These were powerful expressions of how the interpretation and commemoration were conceptualised in subordination to strong religious and ecclesiastical tendencies.xcvii

In the commemoration book, *Drie eeuw: die verhaal van ons vaderland*, Anna Boëseken,xcviii in accounting the significance and government of Van Riebeeck, S van der Stel and WA van der Stel, refers to the arrival of the Huguenots, and remarks that “sons of South Africa’s soil stood shoulder to shoulder with their Dutch and French compatriots in the struggle against Van der Stel. The success with which their first joint action was crowned was a guarantee for future unity and nationhood”.xcvi She follows the traditional historical interpretation and assessment of the Huguenots.

As the “oldest and original church” of the country, the Dutch Reformed Church played a leading role in the celebrations.xcvii To commemorate the event, a *Gedenkboek by ons derde eeufees* was published. In his contribution on “Our church in the Cape”, Potgieter links the Huguenots to the Providence of God, notes their integration into Cape society and observes that the blood of the Beggar of the Sea and Huguenot blended to give birth to a new people hallmarkd by a pure Calvinistic religion.xcviii From the shadows of the stake and scaffold they brought into the Dutch Reformed Church the purifying strength of assurance of faith, JCG Kotzé, another leading theologian of the Dutch Reformed Church remarked.xcix

In contrast to his conclusion in the 1920s, Engelbrecht now, in a revised edition of his *Geskiedenis van die Nederduitsch Hervormde Kerk van Afrika*, viewed the Dutch as the proper bearers of the culture of the Afrikaner, and not the French Huguenots. Their influence, he observes, is often exaggerated.xcix He provides a historical explanation: With John Barrow’s *Travels into the interior of Southern Africa* (1801)cv began the attempt to engender deprecation among the Afrikaners of their Dutch descent. The aim was to turn them away from the Dutch heritage in order to further the British absorption process. This was the reason why the cultural and religious significance of the Huguenots were largely embroidered, Engelbrecht elucidates. This orientation provided no danger as far as the process of Anglicisation was concerned.xcxi

The Van Riebeeck Festival and commemoration (in summary) obviously strengthened the Dutch affinity at the expense of that of the French Huguenots.

**Within the context of insistence on historical re-interpretation 1961**

A decade later, the political and national context again changed. South Africa became (in 1961) a Republic under the leadership of the National Party. The new dispensation was heralded amid rising tension and opposition from black political organisations such as the Pan-Africanist Congress and the African National Congress. Insistence on the reinterpretation of the (church) history of South Africa was debated and advocated.xcii Did this development impact on or change the historical and popular image, assumptions and presuppositions of the French Huguenots? Up until then the South African Huguenots were linked to colonial and politically ulterior aims, to the edification of an Afrikaner historical image with its nationalistic assumptions and theological preferences (the Providence of God, Calvinism), as well as a theory to explain the racial attitudes in South Africa.

In demarcating the development of the political thoughts of the Afrikaner, GD Scholtz valued the arrival of the French Huguenots as of “enormous significance” for the Afrikaner people.xciii FA van Jaarsveldx also linked the arrival of the French Huguenots (1688), being reformed and, like the Dutch, adherents to the “Calvinistic faith”, to the establishment and origin of the Afrikaner people, portrayed as a fusion and birth of a new identity.xciv However, some fundamental Dutch legacies, such as Calvinism with its doctrine of the sovereignty of God, the idea of election and calling, the belief in the freedom of the individual and the principle of revolt, lived on and became a deep-rooted persuasion.
Why did the whites, being always a minority group, continue to exist as a separate group? Van Jaarsveld finds the answer in their religion: the “Protestant Calvinism.” cxiii This was the most powerful instrument for the maintenance of their separateness and differentiated development. Of significance is that he does not link the origin of this Calvinism to the French Huguenots, or their influence, but to the Dutch in the early Cape history. cxiii Van Jaarsveld’s “Calvinism” theory is reminiscent of that of MacCrone (though with an argumentation of its own) and represents the tendency to elucidate the course of history in South Africa in terms of religious factors. His theory met with criticism in the 1990s, when it was challenged on grounds of the lack of evidence from primary sources. cxiv

In The Oxford history of South Africa, published in 1969, Katzen observes that the rapid assimilation of the French refugees facilitated the legislative policy at the Cape. cxv Nothing more. CFJ Muller edited another History of South Africa and published it in 1982. cxvi In this History Anna Boëseken treated the settlement and incorporation of the French Huguenots at the Cape. cxvii She gave a detailed report of the struggle between WA van der Stel and the free-burghers, without assigning any special value to the role the French played in it. cxviii

It seems thus that the insistence on reinterpretating South Africa’s history did not influence the established view on the French Huguenots. No new or critical questions arose. The preceding survey of both the church as well as general historiography reveal that the knowledge and conceptualisation of the French Huguenots in South Africa’s history were by now too fixed and unquestionably standardised. cxix

A church-historical investigation and theological-critical questioning 1976

The first wide-ranging church-historical investigation of the French Huguenots was finished as a doctoral dissertation in 1976 by Pieter Coertzen at the University of Stellenbosch. cxx For the purpose of this essay Coertzen’s study is obviously of key importance. Would he offer new questions, a fresh development and a theological-critical exposition?

The structure of Coertzen’s study is rather traditional: Part I: France 1509–1685, (based on secondary literature, 100 pages); Part II: The Netherlands (40 pages, founded on secondary literature); and Part III: South Africa (secondary and primary sources, 150 pages). The important (and revealing) question of their contribution and influence also appealed to him. They were, like the Dutch, the bearers of “Calvinism”, he argues. Their relationship with Calvin however, was more direct. Calvin provided the French churches with a fixed organisation, confession and reformed view of life. This was also the legacy – and almost the only possession – they brought with them to South Africa. Through them a direct cognitive link was established between South Africa and Calvin. cxxi Here, the reformed faith was strengthened and the principles of the reformed church polity could take effect. cxxi Here a new nation was formed, in which the Calvinistic and reformed faith played a decisive role. cxxii He concludes: “The fact that certain characteristics of the South African national life point to a Calvinistic disposition belongs to the wonders of our history and pleads for the sustainable persistence of the reformed faith.” cxxiii

The tri-centenary commemoration of the arrival of the French Huguenots 12 years later offered the opportunity to publish a somewhat supplemented edition of the dissertation as a memorial book Die Hugenote van Suid-Afrika 1688–1988, in co-operation with Charles Fencham. cxxiv Coertzen again concludes that the French Huguenots added a distinctive chapter to the history of South Africa. Through them “we are inseparably linked to John Calvin, France and the reformation in Europe”. They were the bearers of the reformed faith and the Calvinistic worldview. cxxv Coertzen portrayed in 1988 the same picture and exposition of the French refugees.

The Third South African Congress on Calvin Research was convened in Stellenbosch in July 1988, and discussed the appropriate theme Calvin–France–South Africa. cxxvi Coertzen read a paper on “The Huguenots of South Africa, Calvinists in a new Fatherland: Their religious and cultural contribution.” cxxvii He maintained that the French Huguenots were the direct link between Calvinism in South Africa and John Calvin, “more directly so than the link which was established via the Dutch”. cxxviii Brown considered “The French refugees in South Africa and the historiography of the 19th century.” cxxix He noticed that the influence of the French refugees – in 19th century historiography – “was idealized within the context of distinctive situations, a historical awareness and a historical development”. cxxix He warned that historical images and generalisations are misleading and inhibit critical and theological investigation. Britz cxxx and D’Assonville cxxxiii in following the approach of Brown, later argued theological-critically against the accepted and previously unchallenged reformed character and Calvinism of the French refugees.

Earlier in the decade, Kriel was of opinion that the arrival of the French Huguenots was of fundamental significance for the expansion of the church. For his “coloured” reading circle he adds that...
they, by their example, their confession and witness (e.g. family prayers) exercised a beneficial influence on those who lived and worked with them. Loff and Adonis (both church historians that associated themselves with the radical schools of historiography of South Africa) did not find it necessary to reflect on the French Huguenots. Hofmeyr and Pillay, in their account of the French Huguenots (1994) also did not argue or interpret the event in terms of the church of Christ and the subsequent theological-critical questioning. They kept to the traditional pattern.

**Another theory and the urge to be cautious with historical assumptions 1991-2003**

In his exposition and explanation of the rising identification of Christian and white in colonial South Africa, JN Gerstner corresponds with the theoretical ideas of MacCrone and Van Jaarsveld that religion contributed greatly to the formation of a distinctive identity among the white settlers, and to their conviction of superiority with regard to indigenous peoples and slaves. In particular, he links it to the reformed covenant theology. The frontier had maintained a baptismal practice much closer to the “thousand generation” idea of the Netherlands in which all Europeans and only Europeans were baptised, and this was a reaction against the inclusive policy of baptising “pure heathens” at the Cape. Gerstner reaches the conclusion that the Dutch Continuing Reformation and its view of conversion as a prerequisite to true Christianity, along with the “French Reformed factor”, actually supported the frontier views, although for different reasons. Gerstner’s assumptions and historical errors in this regard were noted by Biewenga, exposing the dilemmas and unreliability of historical theories.

Before we end our survey, a quick glance at the portrayal of the French Huguenots in the most contemporary historiography is worthwhile. In their analysis of the early social patterns that shaped Cape society, Hermann Gilliomee and Richard Elphick conclude that there are serious problems with the argument that Calvinism played a role in the structuring of Cape society. It has not been shown what aspects of Calvinist doctrine permeated what regions and classes at the Cape, in what forms, and in what eras. It has not been shown that Calvinism was influential at all. In addition, they observe, this also applies for the Huguenots fleeing France to preserve their religion. Consequently the French Huguenots are seen as part of the white settler community.

In 1991 Van Aswegen also portrayed a more careful approach. After discussing the arrival and settlement of the French Huguenots, he warned that historians should be cautious about judge the motives of the burghers in the struggle against Van der Stel, and in determining the historical significance of the events.

In the past historians were inclined to view this as a liberation struggle to promote democratic ideals, or to typify it as an important event in the development of the Afrikaner nation. These historians have read too much into this struggle … The struggle was too limited, and its basis too economic to regard it as being an expression of nationalism.

Boucher and Marilet Siennaert-van Reenen represented the traditional uncritical view of the French Huguenots. Cameron and Spies referred to the Huguenots in so far as they influenced the economic development of the Cape.

In 2003 Hermann Gilliomee’s The Afrikaners: biography of a people was published in Cape Town. He considered the French Huguenots and is of the opinion that they did much to stabilize the free burgher population. Without a fatherland to return to, they had to take root or disappear. Religious persecution had made them more determined and more prepared to overcome obstacles. Descendants of the Huguenots were to establish positions of leadership in Afrikaner society out of all proportion to the numbers of the original immigrants.

Herewith our survey of the French Huguenots in 20th century South African (church) historiography has to be ended. We have arrived at the most contemporary renderings. A few concluding remarks remain.

**Conclusion**

In surveying the topic French refugees/Huguenots in 20th century South African (church) historiography, one is struck by the positive evaluation and acceptance it has received. The collection and study of primary sources, however, did not prevent contextualised presumptions, suppositions, images and unreliable sociohistorical and religious theories. The Calvinism/Calvinistic/Calvin...
paradigm especially requires meticulous investigation of primary sources, since it is also connected to
the question of Calvin’s direct influence in South Africa. This is a subject that has been presented in the
past in terms of popular misleading assumptions, based on (questionable) secondary sources.\textsuperscript{civ}

It appears that some critical, and in particular, theological-critical work still has to be done in
order to let the historical reality of the French refugees be fully realised in the context of primary
sources. In this regard we have to ask: Can we investigate the real influence of the French Huguenots in
South Africa’s history without considering the (church) historiography of the 20th century? Does its
complicated story help us to reach a better understanding of their influence and role? This story cannot
be ignored. It reveals too much of the history of the 20th century itself. This account was told within
distinctive situations, related to different historical awarenesses, and linked to religious-sociological
theories. It expresses and conceptualises an idealised historical development and movement, condi-
tioned by an assumed theological significance and ideological presuppositions. It is a diverse account,
and impressive enough to provoke further research. But, this can no longer be executed – and this is the
point of the article – within the range of limited and traditional questions and presuppositions.
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