COMMUNITY GROUP ENVIRONMENT FOR PEOPLE PARTICIPATION AND EMPOWERMENT

by

NKGODI RACE DIALE

submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in the subject

DEVELOPMENT STUDIES

at the

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA

SUPERVISOR: PROF H J SWANEPOEL

NOVEMBER 2009

ABSTRACT

This study explores participatory development methods which may be engaged with a smaller community interest group to create an environment conducive to free and effective participation towards empowering more participants in rural communities. The study was conducted in Makhuduthamaga Municipal area in Sekhukhune District of Limpopo Province. The data was collected through participants observation during application of Participatory Rural Appraisal techniques, community groups' documents reviews, and individual interviews. The study looked into groups and participation procedures and pattern during Participatory Rural Appraisal techniques, groups' institutionalisation and internal participation environment. The study also conducted comparative analysis between community interest groups and organisational linkage structures, in order to establish how free and conducive the groups' internal environments are between the cases.

The study found that: interest groups are more common, accessible and empowering in rural communities than organisational linkage structures. People participate and attain self-esteem and self-reliance, making them participate and develop a sense of responsibility and ownership. The findings will therefore inform development agents in government departments and NGOs about the elements to consider in the implementation of development programmes, and to make appropriate choice of participatory models for effective participation and empowerment. The study's theoretical contribution is in sustenance participation theory, which argues that for people to effectively participate in their development, they should be made to participate by being given responsibilities which may motivate them to participate in finding solutions, own the outcomes, and participate towards sustaining them.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With the deepest humility, I would like to thank my promoter, Professor H. J. Swanepoel for the immense great academic support and guidance he offered me during my study. Had it not been for his assistance, I wouldn't have carried a task of this magnitude.

My heartily gratitude goes to my dear parents, "Monare le Mogošadi" for their role in my education. I extend my sincere gratitude to my family, Makobatšatši Bruce, Nkope Debra and Maputle Collen, for the support and encouragement they gave me during my studies. I am particularly indebted to my wife Raesibe Pinkie who stood by me through all the way, for her constant encouragement, motivation and material support she unreservedly extended to me during my study period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF FIGURES	X
LIST	OF PHOTOGRAPHS	xii
LIST	OF TABLES	xiii
LIST	OF APPENDICES	ΧV
ABB	REVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	xvi
СНА	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	OVERVIEW	1
1.2	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	3
1.3	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	4
1.4	THE RATIONALE FOR THE STUDY	5
1.5	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	6
1.6	CHAPTER LAYOUT	7
1.7	DEFINITION OF CONCEPTS	7
CILA	PTER 2: VIEWS ON PEOPLE	
СПА		1.0
	PARTICIPATION AND EMPOWERMENT	10
2.1	INTRODUCTION	10
2.2	CONCEPT OF DEVELOPMENT	11
2.3	PARTICIPATORY DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT	18
2.4	PARTICIPATORY DEVELOPMENT THEORIES	
	IN PEOPLE EMPOWERMENT	23
2.4.1	The approach of empowering through	
	participation in community development	26
2 4 2	Conscientisation for community empowerment	3.2

2.4.3	Humanistic approach towards people empowerment	36
2.4.4	empowering through people-centred development	
	theory	37
2.5	EFFECTIVENESS OF PARTICIPATORY	
	DEVELOPMENT METHODS IN COMMUNITY	
	DEVELOPMENT AND PEOPLE	
	EMPOWERMENT	39
2.5.1	Participatory research methods as	
	empowering tools in community development	40
2.5.2	Facilitation method in enhancing people	
	participation and empowerment	47
2.6	COMMUNITY GROUPS' INTERNAL	
	ENVIRONMENT FOR PEOPLE PARTICIPATION	
	AND EMPOWERMENT	50
2.6.1	Interest group in community development	54
2.6.2	Community organisational linkage structures	
	in community development	59
2.6.3	Leadership in community groups	60
2.7	SOUTH AFRICAN RESEARCH ON PEOPLE	
	PARTICIPATION	62
2.8	PEOPLE PARTICIPATION INITIATIVES IN	
	SOUTH AFRICA	68
2.9	CONCLUSION	72
СНА	PTER 3: FIELD RESEARCH:	
	PEOPLE PARTICIPATION IN GROUP	
	ENVIRONMENT	74
3.1	INTRODUCTION	74
3.2	RESEARCH DESIGN	74
3.3	AREA OF STUDY	75
3.4	STUDY TARGET GROUP	78
3.5	SAMPLING METHOD	80

3.6	DATA COLLECTION METHOD
3.6.1	Participants observation
3.6.2	Group interviews
3.6.3	Document study
3.6.4	Individual interviews
3.7	DATA ANALYSIS
3.8	CONCLUSION
CILA	DEED 4 DECENTATION OF THE
СНА	PTER 4: PRESENTATION OF THE
	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1	INTRODUCTION
4.2	FINDINGS FROM OBSERVATION OF
	PARTICIPANTS IN COMMUNITY INTEREST
	GROUPS
4.2.1	Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)
	on people participation
4.2.2	The responsibility and ownership factors on people
	participation in community development
4.2.3	Community group's meeting environment and
	participation
4.3	FINDINGS FROM INTERVIEWS OF COMMUNITY
	GROUPS
4.3.1	Group structural setup for participation of the
	group members
4.3.2	Membership sizes and composition of community
	groups for free people participation
4.3.3	Organisational meeting procedures in groups for
	free people participation
4.3.4	Observance of organisational statutes for
	participatory group environment
4.3.5	Empowerment of the participants through
	group participation

4.3.6	Collective sense of responsibility and	
	ownership among participants in the groups	13
4.4	FINDINGS FROM THE REVIEW OF COMMUNITY	
	GROUPS' ORGANISATIONAL DOCUMENTS	14
4.4.1	Community group dynamics and participation for	
	people empowerment	14
4.4.2	Participation as an end in community groups	14
4.4.3	Community groups' mechanisms for enhancement	
	of people participation	1 4
4.4.4	Responsibility and ownership of development	
	in community groups	14
4.5	FINDINGS FROM INTERVIEWS OF	
	INDIVIDUAL GROUP MEMBERS	1:
4.5.1	Community group membership variables	1:
4.5.2	Reason for participating in groups	1:
4.5.3	Responsibility, ownership and participation in	
	community groups	1
4.5.4	Organisational meeting environment for free	
	participation in decision making processes	1
4.5.5	Leadership's role and participation environment	
	in community groups	1
4.5.6	Self esteem derived from group participation	1
4.6	CONCLUSION	1
СНА	PTER 5: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND	
	RECOMMENDATIONS	1
5.1	INTRODUCTION	1
5.2	SUMMARY	1
5.2.1	Participation as an end of development in	
	community groups	1
5.2.2	Participation and sense of responsibility	1
5 2 3	Participation and sense of ownership	1

	Community group dynamics and participation
	environment
5.2.5	The role of culture on participation environment
5.2.6	Participatory method for enhancement of people
	participation and empowerment
5.2.7	The findings' response to the research question
5.2.8	Theoretical contribution
5.2.9	Limitation of the study
5.3	CONCLUSION
5.4	RECOMMENDATIONS
5.4.1	Creating free and conducive participation
	Environment
5.4.2	Practical application of the findings
5.4.3	Area of further research

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES

3.1	Sekhukhune District in Limpopo Province	
	(Municipal Demarcation Board 2004:1)	76
3.2	Sekhukhune District locality map	77
3.3	Makhuduthamaga geographic position in	
	Sekhukhune District	78
4.1	The village and the proposed broiler	
	project site mapped by youth task group	
	number 1	112
4.2	The village and the proposed broiler project site	
	mapped by youth task group number 2	113
4.3	The village and the proposed broiler project site	
	mapped by task group number 3	114
4.4	The breakdown of the groups in percentages	130
4.5	Illustration of group sizes according to	
	farming commodities	131
4.6	Illustration on participation in farming	
	commodity groups	131
4.7	Membership sizes and composition of community	
	groups between community interest groups and	
	organisational linkage structures	133
4.8	A highlight from the statute of Sekhukhune	
	Association for the Care of Disabled on	
	constitutional provisions for commitment on	
	free participation and equality among the group	
	members	143
4.9	A highlight from the statute of Matsogo ga a	
	Bewe Agri-Business: Egg production	144

4.10	An example of an application form reflecting	
	provision for reasons to join a group	146
4.11a	An example of community group's minutes	150
4.118	Page 2 of the example of community group	
	minutes	151
4.12	Illustration on age variations of group members	154
4.13	Breakdown of gender composition in	
	community groups	155
4.14	Illustration on participants' level of literacy	156
4.15	Illustration on number of years in community	
	group participation	157
4.16	Gender breakdown in community groups'	
	leadership	164
4.17	Illustration of the participants' period of years in	
	leadership of community groups	165
5.1	Sustenance participation theory	184
5.2	Flow line diagram on sustenance participation	
	theory	184

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPHS

3.1	One part of the youth group on transect walk	88
4.1	Youth task group 1 during the mapping session	109
4.2	Youth participant from task group 1 presenting	
	their mapping outcomes	110
4.3	Youth participant from task group 3 presenting	
	the outcomes of their mapping exercise	111
4.4	Circular seating pattern in the youth group	
	during task group discussion sessions	122
4.5	Circular seating pattern in the elderly group	
	meeting	123
4.6	Separation between men and women in the elderly	
	group meeting	124
4.7	The elderly group's meeting with women in	
	small sections	125

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES

3.1	Sample breakdown with sampling and data	
	collection methods	;
3.2	Analytic tabulation of data from participants	
	observation on meeting procedures	
	and participation	
3.3	Breakdown of data from groups' interviews	
	according to group categories	
3.4	A comparative analysis of data from groups'	
	interviews on membership sizes and gender	
	composition	
3.5	Community group sizes per farming commodities	
3.6	Analytic tabulation of data from community groups'	
	interviews on meeting procedures and	
	participation	
3.7	Analytic tabulation of data from community	
	groups' document reviews on meeting procedures	
	and participation	
3.8	An analytic tabulation of demographic data from	
	individual members	
3.9	The motives for people to participate in community	
	groups	
3.10	Number of years of participation in community	
	groups according to farming commodities	
3.11	Tabulation of data from individual members'	
	interviews on leadership in community groups	
3.12	Analytic tabulation of data from individual	
	group members' interviews on meeting procedures	
	and participation	

3.13	Triangulation of data from four data sources	105
4.1	Presentation of factors between elderly and	
	youth group participation environment	127
4.2	Presentation of factors on organisational practices	152
4.3	Summary of reasons for participating in	
	community groups	160
4.4	Presentation of factors on participation and	
	empowerment between community interest	
	groups and organisational linkage structures	168
4.5	Presentation of factors between member community	
	interest groups and the interest group's	
	individual representatives in organisational	
	linkage structures	168

LIST OF APPENDICES

1	Observation schedule for community groups	205
2	Questionnaire for community group interviews	209
3	Questionnaire for community group members	
	development workers	216
4	List of sorghum groups	224
5	List of maize farmers associations	225
6	List of irrigation schemes	226
7	List of broiler groups	227
8	List of egg production groups	228
9	Statute of Mahodiheng Farmers Association	229

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BASED -Broadening Agricultural Services and Extension

Delivery

CAN -Church Aid in Need

CPA -Communal Property Association

GtZ -Deutsche Gesellschaft für Zuzammenarbeit

DFR -Durban Functional Region

EMYUO -Ellias Motswaledi Youth Umbrella Organisation

FFS -Farmer Field School

IDP -Integrated Development Planning

LDA -Limpopo Department of Agriculture

MASOPA - Makhuduthamaga Sorghum Producers Association

MEC -Member of Executive Council

NGO -Non-governmental Organisation

PAR -Participatory Action Research

PEA -Participatory Extension Approach

PLA -Participatory Learning and Action

PPF -Peace Parks Foundation

PRA -Participatory Rural Appraisal

PRAP -Participatory Rural Appraisal and Planning

RDP -Reconstruction and Development Programme

RDPOM -Rangel District Popular Organisational Movement

RMC -Resource Management Committees

SANParks - South African National Parks

SFG -Small farmer Groups

SFGA -Small farmer Group Association

TFCA -Transfrontier Conservation Area

UNDP -United Nations Development Programme