Old Testament spirituality in the gospel of John

The majority of early Christian documents are saturated with Jewish thought. Although Second-Temple Judaism did include a certain amount of diversity, when the Gospel of John was written in different phases during the latter half of the 1st century, the written Torah was a fixed part of Jewish Scripture. In this research, I endeavour to point out how Torah themes saturate the Prologue of the Gospel of John and also how these themes create a certain spirituality amongst its readers. A positive feature of Old Testament imagery and themes is that they are polysemic, which made it easy for the writers of New Testament documents to reinterpret the Old Testament in the light of Jesus Christ. The author of the Gospel of John also made use of significant characters, themes and imagery, all taken from the Torah. In doing so, he created new spiritualities amongst the readers of the Gospel of John to endorse the identity, reality and a certain image and experience of the unseen God (1:18) of the Old Testament through Jesus Christ. The spirituality in the Gospel of John is bound up with a real God interacting with real people in real situations.

Introduction

One of the major tasks of biblical theology is to understand the Old Testament as foundational to New Testament proclamation.1 The writers of the New Testament never felt free to withdraw from the heritage of faith in the Scriptures of the Hebrews.2 Instead, they asserted that the Scriptures of the Hebrews had to be reinterpreted in the light of Jesus Christ.3 In doing so, they discovered the basic foundations of their proclamation and a new (Christian) spirituality which they also tried to establish amongst their readers (cf. Morgan 1957:155).

The Gospel of John is saturated with themes and concepts coming from the Torah,4 so much so that it is impossible to explore all this in a single essay. I therefore had to select which particular themes and concepts to discuss. Although the title of this research is Old Testament spirituality in the Gospel of John, my intention is to take a demarcated text from the Prologue (1:14–18) of the Gospel of John and try to explore the spirituality in it that relates closely to parallel themes in the Torah.5 The purpose of this exercise is to point out how the message of the Gospel of John is embedded in the Torah and how the fourth evangelist attempts to let his readers re-experience Torah spirituality. However, this spirituality is now in a new vestment, in the resurrected Christ. The aim of this argument is to point out that, in Christ, a new epoch has begun.

The reason for choosing this topic is that early Christian spirituality is a relatively new academic discipline that adds new perspectives, meaning and understanding to New Testament texts. It adds, in the process of the reading and interpreting of the Scriptures, a new (Christian) spirituality which they also tried to establish amongst their readers (cf. Morgan 1957:155).

5. This is due to space constraints. The Gospel of John is saturated with Old Testament themes. The vast majority of these themes come from the Pentateuch. See the works of Morgan (1957:155–165), Hanson (1991:95–97), Wolfgang (1987:6–29), Kanagaraj (2001:33–60), Casselli (1997:15–41). Cf. also Sanders (1975:372–390), Hengel (1990:19–41), Wright (2005:302–305). Reasons for such a saturation are the following: most of the Gospel was probably written prior to 70 CE (in Jerusalem to Jews) but was finally edited in Ephesus by the end of the 1st century. Early Christianity was very much embedded in Judaism (see Dunn 2003) and can therefore be regarded as a mutation of Judaism.
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Christian writers. These writers also want their readers to re-experience in their lives the lived experiences of the early Christians regarding the resurrected Jesus and the God of the Old Testament. These religious lived experiences they tried to be re-experienced in the lives of their readers. Their faith in God and the resurrected Christ and their experiences of the resurrected Christ constitute a new spirituality in the early Christians writers that must have influenced their writing of the early Christian documents. Despite this, very little research has been done on this aspect of the early Church. My purpose in this essay, therefore, is to point out how the fourth evangelist incorporates into the Johannine Prologue the spirituality experienced when reading the Torah.

In this essay, I start by defining the meaning and determining the content and the extent of the influence of Torah in Second-Temple Judaism to reflect on how it is understood in Judaism in the 1st century CE and how I shall use it in this essay. I then discuss Toraic themes that emerge from the selected text and explain how the spiritualities that emerge from reading the Torah influenced the fourth evangelist.

The Torah in Second-Temple Judaism

What is the Torah?

For the Jews at the time of the New Testament, the ‘Heilige Schrift war für sie an erster Stelle die Tora. Darauf folgten die Propheten’ (Wucherpfennig 2003:486). Canonically, the Torah is a balanced combination of story and law: story and law belong together, and Torah therefore means both. Sanders (1975:372) explains this according to the diagram in Figure 1.

Torah may simply refer to the Pentateuch. Torah may also have the extended meaning of divine revelation generally (Sanders 1975:380). It is a symbol for the identity of Jews (just as Christ has symbolic meaning for the identity of Christians). Torah never lost or loses the dual character of muthos-ethos (Sanders 1975:381) noted above (Sanders 1975:373). Chilton and Neusner (1995) argue:

The Torah is the literal word of God in all details, so that, therefore, all who wish to be ‘Israel’ must keep the Torah precisely as it is worted. The Torah expresses God’s will and purpose for humanity. (p. 1)

Content – fixed in Second-Temple Judaism

The canonisation of the Old Testament was a historical process that took place in the early believing communities over a period in time between the 6th century BCE and the 2nd century CE. A good part of the Pentateuch and the Former and Latter Prophets seems to have been in existence and accepted as authoritative in some circles by as early as the end of the Persian period (Grabbe 2003:162–163). According to Hegg (2007:2), the tradition of public Torah

Torah


Ethos – laws – ethics – life style – halachah

FIGURE 1: Torah configuration.

reading derives from the earliest times of the history of Israel. In the historical account of the return of the exiles from Babylon, a detailed account is given of the public reading of the Torah (Neh 8:1–8). This makes the reading of the Torah and prophets in the 1st-century synagogues a certainty. The Torah was also probably read according to some fixed schedule. It is therefore reasonable to deduce that the Torah was fixed (Grabbe 2003:157) by the time that the Gospel of John was written (in phases over a period of time) (Du Rand 1997:103–107) during the latter half of the 1st century.

Diversity in Second-Temple Judaism

Second-Temple Judaism was not a monolithic religion. Between the last century BCE and the 1st century CE, it was an extremely heterogeneous, diversified religion. Some Jewish parties in Second-Temple Judaism emphasised the muthos and others the ethos aspect of Torah. However, only two Jewish parties survived after the destruction of Jerusalem and the temple in 70 CE: the Pharisees, who went on to establish what became known as Rabbinic Judaism, and the Christians of the early church. Both these parties may be regarded as ‘two daughters’ of the ‘mother faith’ of Second-Temple Judaism. After 70 CE, the two parties diverged and went in quite different directions (Sanders 1975:373).

These different directions make particular sense in the light of the Figure 1. ‘Rabbinic Judaism, following the emphasis of Pharisaism, stressed the ethos or halachah aspect of Torah’ (Sanders 1975:373). Christianity emphasised the muthos or haggadah aspect. Neither, however, emphasised or absolutised one to the exclusion of the other. The Torah was for both a combination of gospel and law (Sanders 1975:374).

The haggadic-story aspect of Torah provided early Christians with a strong argument for their claim regarding the authority of Christ and his place in the work of God. According to Sanders, this is the basic reason why Torah as Heilsgeschichte plays a more prominent role in the New Testament than does Torah as law (Sanders 1975:374). Chilton and Neusner (1995:4, 5) states that, for at least the 1st century of Christianity, their only revealed Scripture was the same Torah that the Hebrews had received and which the Hebrews regarded as the revealed teaching of God. As far as possible, both Jews and Christians appealed to the Torah to

6. Hurtado (2005:183) points out in a lengthy discussion that New Testament scholarship tends to ignore or give little attention to religious experiences in describing and analysing the features of Jesus and earliest Christianity.

7. See Keener (2003:364–369), who relates the pre-existence of the Torah with that of the Logos.

8. Hegge surveyed numerous historical materials to give us a picture of how the Torah and prophets were read during 1st-century synagogue services.

9. CE Sanders (1975:375). See also Chilton and Neusner (1995:1, 2, 5) and Penttiuc (2003:38–42). In another of his publications, Sanders (1989:66) again agrees that diversity existed in Rabbinic Judaism but that ‘the differences had been overemphasized in previous scholarship’.

10. Jewish pluralism in the period of the Second Temple is well attested in the early Jewish literary complex represented by the Apocrypha, Pseudepigrapha, Dead Sea Scrolls, Elephantine Papyri, Tannaitic literature and others (Sanders 1975:373). Hengel (1973:1–12) contends that, from the middle of the 3rd century BCE, all of Judaism was Hellenistic to a greater or lesser extent with stirrings of clear opposition in Ben Sira, Wisdom speculation, the Hasidic movement and perhaps the Essenes.
validate and strengthen their faith. They both also studied the Torah to explain it.  

If we focus on the Gospel of John, it becomes evident that, for the fourth evangelist, the reading of the Torah had certain implications for the early Christian believer. Whatever implications may be drawn from the observations made in this essay, we can say that, for the fourth evangelist, Jesus Christ is the embodiment of the Torah and is in this sense its telos. This is certainly evident in the Prologue of John.

Finally, if the fourth evangelist is in fact reflecting on and reinterpreting the Torah, his method certainly has implications for his existential circumstances as well as for our own contemporary hermeneutical approach. His reading of the Torah is consistently and thoroughly Christocentric. He is aware of the interpretive traditions that grew up around the Old Testament in the light of the person, ministry, death and resurrection of Jesus as the Messiah (cf. Casselli 1997:17–18).

In this subsection, I tried to argue that, in spite of diversity in Second-Temple Judaism, the Torah was already fixed by the middle of the 1st century CE. The early Christians were very much acquainted with the content and meaning of the Torah. The early Christians therefore knew the Torah and would recall the detail of the Torahic events and teaching as well as the spirituality (lived experience) it evoked when they heard or read it in the Gospel of John.  

**Spiritualities evoked by the Torah and the Johannine Prologue**

**Spirituality**

Before we explore the spiritualities evoked by the reading of the Torah in the Johannine Prologue, we first have to define spirituality, which paved the way for the veneration of Jesus.

A lived experience

The term spirituality, as used in this essay, refers to the lived experiences of the early Christians (Weltzen 2011:47).

11. The involvement of the early Christians in practices in the Synagogue during the 1st century becomes evident from the three texts in the Gospel of John which reflect their excommunication (ὡς εκκολουθεῖς [from the synagogues]) (9:22; 12:42; 16:2).

12. It is also vital for an understanding of the Jewish background to the Gospel of John to remember that the fourth evangelist, more than any other New Testament writer, was directly influenced by the Masoretic text (and not the LXX) as can be seen in his quotations from the Old Testament. Where the LXX and Hebrew text disagree (six times), he favours the Masoretic text in rendering the Old Testament quotation. His use of the Old Testament at these crucial moments in our Lord's life and his marked preference for the Masoretic text decisively testify to his conviction that the life of Jesus was according to Scripture (Morgan 1957:157; cf. also Roth 1987:7).

13. According to Sherry Brown (2010:245), the traditional Judaism of the 1st century CE is the principal background for Johannine thought. The Old Testament is the essential literary backdrop for the fourth evangelist. Old Testament references and themes are woven into both the structure and the words and deeds of Jesus, even when explicit Old Testament citations are lacking (see Hanson 1991:234–253). For Raimond Brown (1975:59–60), some of the background of the thought of Jesus can be found in the theology of the Pharisees of his time as is evident from the later rabbinic writings. He adds that the thought of Jesus in the Gospel of John is also ‘expressed in a peculiar theological vocabulary and outlook’ that is consonant with the Jewish Qumran group in Palestine. Hence, behind the theological conceptualisation of the fourth evangelist as well as the context of the Johannine community lies a complex combination of various forms of religious thinking and expression that were current in Judaism and Palestine during the life of Jesus and the generation after his death.

14. The verb veneration (of Jesus) is used in this essay with the semantic denotation of worship, adoration and honour.

15. Schneiders (2000:252, 254) defines spirituality as ‘the experience of consciously striving to integrate one’s life in terms of isolation and self-absorption, but of self-transcendence toward the ultimate value one perceives’. For the Christian believer, this ultimate value is God. According to Almond (1982:166–167), who has undertaken an investigation of mystical experiences, one’s religious experience and ‘the content that informs it’ are connected. Almond (1982:168) also emphasises the fact that we must allow for ‘those experiences which goes beyond and are at odds with the received context’. He explicitly points to powerful religious experiences that ‘may lead to the creative transformation of a religious tradition’ and that ‘are capable of generating new interpretations of the tradition’ (Almond 1982:168). Later in his research, he discerns that, although previously held religious beliefs may well shape the nature of mystical experiences, it is also true that such experiences may be decisive in the formulation or revision of doctrinal frameworks (Almond 1982:183). Thus, the cognitive content of religious revelations can probably be a reformulation or reconfiguring of religious convictions (Hurtado 2005:186).

This phenomenon is evident in the fourth evangelist’s use of extraordinary vocabulary in the second half of the Prologue (1:14–18), a vocabulary that gives new and extended Torahic meanings to the following words and phrases: word, dwell, grace and truth, grace upon grace, one and only, reveal, no one has seen God. By giving Christological meaning to Torahic phenomena and events, the fourth evangelist tries to transform and transfer the spirituality experienced when reading the Torah into Christian spirituality. This attempt comes to fruition in 1:14–18, in the fourth evangelist’s veneration of Jesus.

The veneration of Jesus – the Torah redifined

Hurtado (2005) is convinced that powerful revelatory religious experiences were crucial causative factors that produced significant religious innovations that mark early Christianity. The initial step in this phenomenon was the emergence of firm convictions that the crucified Jesus had been raised from the dead and exalted to heavenly glory and rule. These convictions are already evident in the earliest Christian writings. In these documents (of which the Gospel of John is part), these convictions are treated as a sacred tradition that goes back to the foundation of the Christian movement (Hurtado 2005:192). If we consider the resurrection appearances as crucial in generating earliest Christian assertions, these experiences must have involved unusual and specific elements that helped shape the exceptional convictions that mark the early Christian proclamation (Hurtado 2005:193). As historical sources,
these narratives seem eager ‘to affirm the continuity of the Jesus encountered in the appearances with the Jesus who died’ (Hurtado 2005:194). There are good reasons to think that, whatever the details, the primary effect upon those who experienced these encounters was an inherent sense that the crucified Jesus had been clothed with divine glory (17:5, 24) (cf. Hurtado 2005:194).

The most striking innovation in earliest Christianity, therefore, is the treatment of the glorified Jesus as an object of cultic devotion and veneration in ways and terms that seemed otherwise reserved only for the God of Israel (Hurtado 2005:197). The fourth evangelist therefore uses the opportunity to attach his lived experiences of the resurrected Christ to the Torah in order to venerate him.17

The above discussion explains how the lived experiences of the early Christians of the resurrected Christ led to his veneration. Both the lived experiences and veneration of the resurrected Christ contributed to the reformulation or reconfiguring of religious convictions regarding the Torah and Jesus Christ (λόγος [word]). The spirituality experienced when reading the Torah is renewed and redefined in Christ. God is no more to be found in the Torah. According to the fourth evangelist, God is now to be found only in Jesus Christ, as I shall point out in the following section. In this section, the distinction and recreation of spiritualities will be postulated by comparing John 1:14–18 with Exodus 33–34.

Toraic spirituality in the Johannine Prologue

Toraisy themes in the Johannine Prologue

In this sub-section, I want to explore how the fourth evangelist uses Toraic themes in the Johannine Prologue in order to create related, though different, spiritualities. The spiritualities originally experienced in the real historical circumstances during the Hebrew’s wandering in the desert are now recalled in a different context. Roth (1987) argues the following:

The work of John is a selective and inverted, narrative re-writing of ‘The Law’ and ‘The Prophets’ of the Hebrew Bible, climax ed in the portrayal of the new creation through the gift of the Spirit by the risen Jesus. (p. 7)

Before exploring the text, it is necessary briefly to explain the historical Toraic events which the fourth evangelist had in mind when he wrote the Gospel.

Introduction – events in Exodus 33–34: When reading the Prologue (especially 1:14, 18), one becomes aware that it is saturated with Toraic themes18 which the fourth evangelist has formed into a coherent unit.19 Boismard convincingly points to the fitting together of John 1:14–18 and Exodus 33–34.20 Before comparing these two texts, it is appropriate to consider the episodes recounted in Exodus 33–34 because these would be the events recalled by those who were thoroughly familiar with the history of the Hebrews when they heard or read certain Toraic vocabulary in the text of John 1:14–18.

The historical events leading to what happened in Exodus 33–34 are the following:21 God had concluded with the Hebrews a covenant which he sealed by the gift of the Law (Ex 19–20). Unfortunately, the Hebrews broke the covenant. They gave themselves up to idolatry in building the golden calf. This consequently led to Moses breaking the table of the Law (Ex 32). God rejected these unfaithful people. God then ordered them to continue on their way to the promised Land although he would not accompany them because of their unfaithfulness (Ex 33:1–5). Moses insists that God should rethink his decision. His presence is indispensable to protect Israel against their enemies whom they will meet along the way (33:15–16). God agrees to do so, but Moses insists on visible proof of his presence: Moses then asks to see God (33:17–18). God consequently answers Moses that it is impossible for a human being to see God (33:19–23). Nevertheless, God agrees to Moses’ request as far as possible. Moses will not see God’s face. He will only see him from behind. In the course of this theophany, God reveals to Moses his name, that is, who he is (34:1–7). Finally, God dictates the Law a second time to Moses, who puts it down in writing (34:10–28). After Moses had come down from the mountain, he conversed with God in the tent at times. At other times, he returned to the Hebrews to tell them everything which God has commanded them (34:29–34) (Boismard 1993:94).

From this brief analysis, the following comparable events, events that occur both in John 1:14–18 and Exodus 33–34, will be investigated: Jesus and Moses, divine presence, glory, grace and truth and, finally, revelation.

Jesus and Moses:22 Given that Moses plays a highly significant role in the Torah, especially in the events recounted in Exodus 33–34, and given that, in the Gospel of John, Moses is explicitly compared with Jesus, it is reasonable to introduce a comparison between Jesus and Moses, as reflected in 1:17, with the assumption that, in the Gospel of John, Jesus is a prophet like Moses. Although a direct citation from Deuteronomy 18:18–19, ‘I will raise up for them a prophet …’, does not occur in the Gospel of John, this theme of Jesus as the new Moses runs through the Gospel of John.

It is evoked first by the title Prophet which is given to Jesus

17 Such devotion to and veneration of Jesus caused the followers of Jesus to encounter tensions concerning their faith. The earliest direct evidence comes from Paul himself, who was once vigorously involved in opposing the new movement of Jewish Christians (Hurtado 2005:68). See also Hultgren (1976:97–111).

18. Tabernacle, glory of God, full of grace and truth, law, Moses, nobody has seen God, son of God, revelation.


22. For Malone (2007:319), whether or not ‘John intends a (positive) comparison or a (negative) contrast between Moses and Jesus, he is clearly proclaiming the superiority of the glory, grace and revelation now made available through the Son’. In my judgement, the fourth evangelist also wants to express the presence of God through Jesus. These four issues, which are derived from the text (1:14–18), will be explored briefly in terms of lived experiences.

23. The quotations in this essay come from the New Revised Standard Version (NRSV).
24. In the Gospel of John, there are 13 references to Moses: 1:17, 45; 3:14; 5:45, 46; 6:31; 7:19, 22, 23; 8:5, 9:28, 29. This shows the importance of Moses for the fourth evangelist. In most of these texts (7 times), Jesus is compared to Moses.


26. Schnackenburg (1968:277), for example, says, ‘Thus John sees no absolute contradiction between Moses who gave the law (at God’s command), and Jesus Christ who brought grace and truth.’ Brown (1975:16) adds the understanding that ‘the gift of the Law through Moses is an instance of hesed and ‘emet, an understanding that truly reflects the Old Testament outlook. The theory that 1:17 contrasts the absence of enduring love in the Law with presence of enduring love in Jesus Christ does not seem to do justice to John’s hermeneutic reference to Moses’ (145, lii, x, 46). Carson (1991:32) and Borchert (2001:23) agree with Schnackenburg and Brown.

27. This fulfilment-and-replacement theme is developed further in the fourth gospel (cf. Jn 1:14; 7:19–21; 4:21–26; see also Lincoln 2005:104).


29. The verb ἐπισκέπτομαι can be translated as ‘live, dwell (temporarily); literally live or camp in a tent; figuratively in the NT dwell, take up one’s residence, come to reside (among)’ (Jn 1:14) (Frieberg, Frierberg & Miller 2000:350). Danker (2000:929) translates it as ‘an expression of continuity with God’s “tenting” in Israel’.

(6:14; 7:40, 52; cf. also 1:21). Jesus is not any prophet, but the Prophet par excellence who must come into the world (6:14). This Prophet can only be ‘the one of whom Moses has written in the law’ (1:45; 5:46). He is a prophet like Moses24, and God has promised in Deuteronomy 18:15–22 that he would be sent (Boismard 1993:66–67).

As early as the Prologue of John (1:17), the fourth evangelist associates Jesus with Moses: The law indeed was given through Moses; grace and truth came through Jesus Christ.25 I want to use this verse to lay the table for further exploration. Although there is certainly some sort of implied contrast at work in this verse, the precise nature of the contrast is ambivalent. There is nothing in the formal structure of the passage that demands an antithetical relationship.26 The fourth evangelist finds in Jesus not a contrast to Moses but the eschatological fulfilment in Jesus of all that Moses represented. According to the miracle (σημεῖον [sign]) narratives incorporated in the gospel, Jesus is more than orthodox Judaism (the Jewish tradition [2:1–11] and religion [2:13–22]), more than heterodox Judaism (Jn 4), more than man (equal to God, Jn 5), more than Moses (Jn 6, 9) and, finally, more than death (Jn 11). This characterisation of Jesus (more than) by the fourth evangelist contextualises his comparison of Jesus with Moses.

In the fourth gospel, an epochal shift in understanding occurs in the attachment of the Torah (via Moses) to the person of Jesus Christ. The old order, including the centrality of the Torah, is giving way to the new order with a new person who is the incarnation of all Torah, and a person who is both promised and expected (Casselli 1997:37). Carson (1991) (see also Casselli 1997:38) notes that one of the characteristic features of the way in which the gospel of John alludes to the Old Testament:

... is the manner in which Jesus is assumed to replace Old Testament figures and institutions. He is the one of whom Moses wrote, the true bread from heaven, the true Son, the genuine vine, the tabernacle, the serpent in the wilderness, the Passover.

(p. 98)

Casselli (1997:38) summarises the above discussion well in his statement ‘that Jesus is also Torah itself, Torah incarnate’.

In conclusion, comparing Jesus with Moses is not a matter of contrast but a matter of substitution and fulfilment.27 The fourth evangelist presents Jesus in a way that is consistent with the Judaisms28 of his day, which were profoundly Torah centred (Casselli 1997:16). Comparing Jesus with Moses in 1:17 plays a key role in the way in which Jesus is characterised as λόγος [word], μονογενός [one and only] and Ἰησοῦς Χριστός [Jesus Christ] and the spirituality this evokes. This variety of characteristics used to describe Jesus links up with important thematic threads in the spirituality of John – threads that can be characterised as the Johannine spirituality of salvation and revelation (cf. Weltzen 2011:26). In this comparison, the fourth evangelist assumes basic Jewish perspectives without question, and yet he reshapes them for his own theological purpose to create new lived experiences (spiritualities) with his readers that relate and recall the spiritualities of the Torah (Casselli 1997:17). By comparing Jesus to Moses, the fourth evangelist wants to reform the spiritualities evoked when reading the Torah in order to find new lived experiences in Jesus.

Experienced divine presence: One of the main themes that underscore the Exodus account is that of divine presence (Ex 33:15–16; 34:9). God accompanies his people during their wandering in the desert. As the Hebrews lived in tents, Moses, at the order of God, had a tent built which would be the dwelling of God during the Exodus (Ex 36:8–19). On completion, God took possession of this tent: ‘Then the cloud covered the tent of meeting, and the glory of the Lord filled the tabernacle’ (Ex 40:34) (Boismard 1993:94–95). In this tent, Moses was to accommodate the two tablets of the covenant document. Moses was not able to enter the tent of meeting because the cloud had settled upon it, and the glory of the Lord filled the tabernacle (Boismard 1993:95). For the Hebrews, this scene evoked the spirituality of God’s presence amongst them (cf. Ex 33:14, 15; 40:34, 35).

In John 1:14, the Prologue describes the way in which God makes himself present in a new era through a new intermediary: ‘And the Word became flesh and lived among us’ (1:14). In 1:1, it is written that this Word is God. It is therefore God who comes to dwell amongst humans by becoming flesh. When the fourth evangelist refers to dwelt, he uses the verb ἐσκήνωσεν, derived from the noun σκήνη, which means tent (cf. Louw & Nida 1993:7.9, 83, 7.17). One can then translate the verse thus: ‘He pitched His tent amongst us.’29 The Logos dwells amongst the Johannine believers as God (Yahweh) dwelt in the midst of his people during the Exodus (Boismard 1993:95–96).

In conclusion: Jesus’ relation with ἐσκήνωσεν [dwell] is a matter of presence. God became physically present through Jesus just as he was physically present through the tabernacle (according to the Torah). The ἐσκήνωσεν ἐν ἡμῖν [dwell amongst us] will later be replaced by the Paraclete. In John 14–16, Jesus promises his disciples that he will not leave them comfortless. He promises them the Paraclete. According to...
have seen his glory: by the resurrected Christ (Logos). In a new mode of existence, he will be present amongst his followers in future.

Have seen his glory: In the Exodus story, God agrees to journey with his people, but Moses asks for proof of his presence (cf. Ex 24:15–17). He asks God to show him his glory (33:18). God refuses this request by saying: ‘you cannot see My face; for no one shall see Me and live’ (Ex 33:20). Then God nonetheless agrees (up to a point) to carry out Moses’ request (Ex 33:21–23) (Boismard 1993:95). Although God does indeed come to Moses in a theophany, what he gives to Moses is quite specifically not the sight of his beauty, his glory or his Presence. What he gives to Moses is a description, not of what he looks like but of who he is.38 Although Moses was not allowed to see the glory of God, the Hebrews have seen the glory of God in Moses, whose face shone after he came down from the mountain where he had been talking with God (34:29–35) and where he had received the law.

What was impossible for Moses became possible for those who lived during the time (and after) of Jesus because the Logos became incarnate. The fourth evangelist therefore says of the incarnate Logos: καὶ ἐθεασάμεθα τὴν δόξαν αὐτοῦ [and we have seen his glory] (1:14). This is glory which he holds from the Father. As in Exodus, the Word came to God’s people. According to the fourth evangelist, when the Logos tabernacles amongst his people, his glory is revealed (Keener 2003:405). The fourth evangelist regards various acts of self-revelation as evidence of Jesus’ glory. Jesus’ signs reflected his glory (2:11; 11:4, 40).31 However, the ultimate expression of glory is the complex of Jesus’ death (12:16, 23, 28; 13:31–32), resurrection and exaltation (cf. 7:39; 12:16; 17:1, 5) (Cook 1984:295). Jewish readers, with such a complex of concepts, would have known that glory refers to a revelation of God’s identity as implied in Exodus 33–34 (Keener 2003:410).32

The Greek verb ἐθανάτωμηθείς [see] relates semantically to ‘the light that shines (φαίνεται) in the darkness’ (1:5). Although it has the sense of luminosity according to 1:14, it is employed literally as befitting the only Son (μονογενής) of the Father and can be understood here in terms of the physical and spiritual senses.33

- Physical senses: They were the witnesses of his work on earth and particularly of the signs whereby he revealed his glory (cf. 1:10f.; 2:11; 11:40; 17:4).
- Spiritual senses: Spiritual senses are constituted through the Paraclete (3:3, 5; 14:26; 15:26; 16:13, 14) and faith (see and/or hear).

... where the glory of God at Sinai and linked it to the glory of Christ who reflects the glory of God. Thus, in the glory of Jesus, they would have re-experienced (ἐθανάτωμηθείς [see]) the glory of God.

Experience of God’s redemptive act – grace and truth: Why did God forgive the unfaithful Hebrews at Sinai? In Exodus 33:19, God promised Moses to proclaim his Name before Moses – to reveal to Moses his character. He does this during the theophany narrated in Exodus 34:6. When God passed near Moses, who was hiding himself in the cleft of a rock, he proclaimed that he was ‘The LORD, the LORD, a God merciful and gracious, slow to anger, and abounding in steadfast love and faithfulness’ (Boismard 1993:96).34

In this Exodus narrative, the meaning of this formula would be that God was bound to show himself as good toward his people on account of the covenant that he had made with them. The Hebrews rebelled against God when they broke the covenant by making the Golden Calf. Because God is faithful, he, in his love, agreed to renew the covenant. The faithfulness of God is inseparable from his willingness to forgive the faults and the unfaithfulness of the Hebrews (cf. Mi 7:18–20). Hence, the Hebrews experienced at Sinai the salvation of God which was simultaneously an act of revelation of his character. The readers of the Gospel of John experienced in the comparison between Jesus and Moses the sensibility of their salvation through Jesus Christ (λόγος [word] and μονογενὸς [only Son]) and the revelation of who God really is.

The repetition of the two themes χάρις καὶ ἀληθείας [grace and truth] (1:14, 17) and the emphasis on χάριν (χάριν ἀντὶ χάριν [grace upon grace], 1:16), focus readers’ attention on the active role that God played through Jesus Christ. It is because of God’s initiative that Jesus was incarnated and that η χάρις καὶ η ἀλήθεια δι’ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ἐγένετο [grace and truth came through Jesus Christ]. The lived experience of God’s involvement (merciful and gracious … faithfulness) with the Hebrews is now extended to the early church through η χάρις καὶ η ἀλήθεια [grace and truth] that came (ἐγένετο) through...


33. Friberg et al. (2000:95) defines it as ‘see, look at, behold; a verb of seeing, generally with special meanings: (1) with attentive regard beholds, look at, look over, see (Mt 22:11; 1 Jn 1:3); (2) with a supernatural impression watch, behold, gaze on’ (Jn 1:14, 32). Louw and Nida (1989:278) indicates that ‘to observe something with continuity and attention, often with the implication that what is observed is something unusual—“to observe, to be a spectator of, to look at”’.

34. See Ridderbos (1991:54); also Boismard 1993:96; Durham 1987:452) for a discussion on the relatedness between the Hebrew [Ex 34:6] and Greek (Jn 1:14, 17) texts.

35. In his covenant with the Hebrews, God has established his relationship of χάρις καὶ ἀληθεία [grace and truth]. It is God’s compassion and grace that forgive sin, and it is his δικαιοσύνη [grace and truth] that characterise his relationship with those forgiven.
Jesus Christ. These words are more than concepts: they refer to the faithful and redemptive act of God as demonstrated in Christ (cf. Kuyper 1964:39).

In conclusion, the χάριτος καὶ ἀληθείας [grace and truth] that came through Jesus is a matter of character. The grace and truth of God as narrated in the Torah, which God showed to the Hebrews, have been personified in Jesus Christ. It reflects the character of God in Exodus 34:6 and John 1:14, 17, a God who liberates people and who reveals himself through Jesus Christ. When God proclaimed his identity to Moses, it moved Moses to worship God (Ex 34:8; cf. also 33:10). Moses’ lived experience of worshipping God is equally expressed by the fourth evangelist in his acknowledgement: ἐξηγήσατο τὴν δόξαν αὐτοῦ, δόξαν ὡς μονογενοῦς παρὰ πατρός, πλήρης χάριτος καὶ ἀληθείας [we have seen his glory, the glory as of a father’s only son, full of grace and truth]. In the resurrected Christ, the Johannine community experienced God’s glory, God’s mercy and God’s grace.

No one has ever seen God versus has made him known: The readers of the Gospel would have known that the Torah speaks of seeing God in different ways (cf. Ex 33:11, 20; Nm 12:8; Dt 18:16). Its main point, however, is that God cannot be an object of direct observation for anybody, not even for Moses (Ex 33:19–23). The human person cannot even continue to exist in the unveiled presence of God. Moses’ experience of God at Sinai therefore resonates with the statement in John 1:18 that ‘no one has ever seen God’. However, this has now been changed in Jesus Christ.

In 1:14, the presence of the divine and in 1:18 the revelation or explanation (ἐξηγήσατο [reveal]) of the divine is attributed to the only (Son) of the Father (μονογενός παρὰ πατρός, 1:14 μονογενής θεός, 1:18), who is in the bosom of the Father and who was with (πρὸς, 1:2) the Father. The extent of the perfect revelation of the Father by the Son is inferred in the participial phrase, ‘ὁ ὄν εἰς τὸν κόσμον τοῦ πατρὸς ἐκάκοις ἐξηγήσατο’ (1:18, see also 3:11–13). Here the fourth evangelist employs figurative language to emphasise the absolute intimacy between the Father and the Son (cf. Keener 2003:424; Newman & Nida 1993:27; Carson 1991:135; Köstenberger 2004:49). Holding an object to one’s bosom declares the specialness of that object, and indicates an intimate connection. The intimate connection between the Father and Son is not only relational but also exists in terms of their shared nature and similar role. The Prologue thus culminates in a rehearsal of Jesus’ deity, closing an inclusio that began with 1:1 (Keener 2003:425).

The ambiguous verb ἐξηγήσατο [reveal] is therefore used to refer to the way in which the Son revealed the Father in the world. Elsewhere in the New Testament, it means ‘to rehearse fact’ or ‘to recount a narrative’ (Lk 24:35; Ac 10:8; 15:12, 14; 21:19) (Carson 1991:135). The fourth evangelist uses a verb, which is almost a terminus technicus in Greek literature, for the declaration of divine secrets by an oracle or a priest (Barrett 1978:141; Brown 1975:18; Lindars 1981:99). This would imply that, through his life and exaltation, Jesus has shown us the way to God. The Light has revealed God. He unveils the character of God absolutely. This verb falls into the semantic category of light, visibility, seeing, revealing, making known, et cetera. The verb ἐξηγήσατο [reveal] suggests that the Son fully interprets the Father. The fourth evangelist can therefore proclaim that the only Son of the Father can explain him.

In conclusion, the ἐξηγήσατο [reveal] of God that came through Jesus is a matter of revelation. The spirituality created by the fourth evangelist through this statement is related but opposite to the spirituality that Moses experienced and the Hebrews read about in the Torah, namely, that no one has or can ever see God. This spirituality of seeing God could only be experienced when, in a relationship, the Light (1:3) enlightened the believer. However, it still remains true that no one can see the face of God. Just as God did not allow Moses to see his face, so the Johannine believer can only see God through the lens of his own Son.

In the new connections of Jesus with the Toraic themes in the Prologue, the fourth evangelist communicates his lived experiences of the resurrected Christ with his readers. Here we see how his powerful religious experiences led to the creative transformation of the Torah tradition and spiritualities and consequently generated new interpretations of that tradition and spiritualities. His spirituality (lived experience) of the new mode of the presence of the resurrected Christ (17:26) in the community was decisive for the reformulation of the practices of the Johannine community in its lived faith.
and revision of Torah doctrinal frameworks. The fourth evangelist’s religious experiences, which influenced and changed his religious convictions, contributed to a reformulation or reconfiguration of his cognitive content, and this reformulation finds expression in the Prologue.

**Conclusion**

As I said at the beginning of this article, most early Christian documents are saturated with Jewish thought. In this research essay, I discussed how the Gospel of John is saturated by the spiritualities that were evoked when the Torah was read. The fourth evangelist has taken Old Testament imagery and themes from the Torah to create a related but new spirituality with a view to endorsing the identity, reality and a certain image and experience of the unseen God (1:18) of the Old Testament through Jesus Christ, the μονογενής θεός [God the only (Son)].

With these allusions, the fourth evangelist gives his experiences a typical deep meaning hidden below the surface of the text. The prologue tells the story of the life of Jesus as a new written Torah. He thus gives the reader a key for the understanding of the Gospel. In the Torah, Moses is described as the one who received the word of God at Sinai. In the Prologue, the fourth evangelist reported the Word of God as being carnally tangible in Jesus Christ, newly revealed to human beings. In Jesus Christ, the Torah (Word of God) is renewed in a unique way. The fourth evangelist, who wanted to generate new lived experiences of the divine, brought together ὁ λόγος, μονογενὴς θεός with Ἱησοῦ Χριστοῦ [the Word, God the only (Son) with Jesus Christ] within the literary environment of the Torah.

Two fundamental questions that remain are whether the spirituality in John gave rise to the integration of the Torah in the Prologue, or is this rather a case of pre-understanding? Did the pre-understanding of the Torah contribute to the birth of this spirituality in the Gospel of John as the result – impact – of a spiritual reading process of the Torah? Conceivably, both possibilities are valid. If this is true, we have an example of a circular interactive process in which the fourth evangelist (and the implied reader) attributed meaning to the text whilst, at the same time, the text has had a transforming spiritual impact and lived experience on the reader (cf. Weltzen 2011:34).

**Acknowledgements**

**Competing interests**

The author declares that he has no financial or personal relationship(s) that may have inappropriately influenced him in writing this article.

**References**

Almond, P.C., 1982, Mystical experience and religious doctrine: An investigation of the study of mysticism in world religions, Mouton, Berlin. PMCid:PMC2040617


Hurtado, W., 2005, How on earth did Jesus become a God?: Historical questions about earliest devotion to Jesus, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids.


Westermann, C., 1968, *Das Alte Testament und Jesus Christus*, Calwer Verlag, Stuttgart. PMCID:PMC1703513


