



The South African Institute of Computer Scientists  
and  
Information Technologists

# **Proceedings**

**of the**

**1996 National Research and  
Development Conference**

**Industry meets Academia**

Interaction Conference Centre, University of Natal,  
Durban .  
26 & 27 September

**Edited by  
Vevek Ram**

©1996 Copyrights reside with the original authors who may be contacted directly

**ISBN 0-620-20568-7**

Cover printed by Natal Printers (Pty) Ltd, Pietermaritzburg

Copying by the Multicopy Centre, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg

Binding by Library Technical Services, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg

The views expressed in this book are those of the individual authors

## FOREWORD

This book is a collection of papers presented at the National Research and Development Conference of the Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists, held on 26 & 27 September, at the Interaction Conference Centre, University of Natal, Durban. The Conference was organised by the Department of Computer Science and Information Systems of The University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg.

The papers contained herein range from serious technical research to work-in-progress reports of current research to industry and commercial practice and experience. It has been a difficult task maintaining an adequate and representative spread of interests and a high standard of scholarship at the same time. Nevertheless, the conference boasts a wide range of high quality papers. The program committee decided not only to accept papers that are publishable in their present form, but also papers which reflect this potential in order to encourage young researchers and to involve practitioners from commerce and industry.

The organisers would like to thank IBM South Africa for their generous sponsorship and all the members of the organising and program committees, and the referees for making the conference a success. The organisers are indebted to the Computer Society of South Africa (Natal Chapter) for promoting the conference among its members and also to the staff and management of the Interaction Conference Centre for their contribution to the success of the conference.

On behalf of the Organising Committee

Vevek Ram

Editor and Program Chair

Pietermaritzburg, September 1996

## **Organising Committee**

### **Conference General Chairs**

Mr Rob Dempster and Prof Peter Warren (UNP)

### **Organising Chair**

Dr Don Petkov (UNP)

### **Secretariat**

Mrs Jenny Wilson

### **Program Chair**

Prof Vevek Ram (UNP)

### **Program Committee**

Prof Peter Wentworth, Rhodes  
Dr Milan Hajek, UDW  
Prof Derek Smith, UCT  
Prof Anthony Krzesinski, Stellenbosch  
Dr Don Petkov, UNP  
Mr Rob Dempster, UNP  
Prof Peter Warren, UNP

# Table of Contents

Foreword	i
Organising Committee	ii
List of Contributors	vi
<b>Keynote Speaker</b>	
<i>The Role of Formalism in Engineering Interactive Systems</i> M D Harrison and D J Duke	1
<b>Plenary</b>	
<i>Industry-Academic-Government Cooperation to boost Technological Innovation and People Development in South Africa</i> Tjaart J Van Der Walt	15
<i>Checklist support for ISO 9001 audits of Software Quality Management Systems</i> A J Walker	17
<i>The IS Workers, they are a-changin'</i> Derek Smith	29
<b>Research</b>	
<i>Examination Timetabling</i> E Parkinson and P R Warren	35
<i>Generating Compilers from Formal Semantics</i> H Venter	43
<i>Efficient State-exploration</i> J. Geldenhuys	63
<i>A Validation Model of the VMTP Transport Level Protocol</i> H.N. Roux and P.J.A. de Villiers	75
<b>Intelligent Systems</b>	
<i>Automated Network Management using Artificial Intelligence</i> M Watzelboeck	87
<i>A framework for executing multiple computational intelligent programs using a computational network</i> H L Viktor and I Cloete	89
<i>A Script-Based prototype for Dynamic Deadlock Avoidance</i> C N Blewett and G J Erwin	95
<i>Parallelism: an effective Genetic Programming implementation on low-powered Mathematica workstations</i> H. Suleman and M. Hajek	107
<i>Feature Extraction Preprocessors in Neural Networks for Image Recognition</i> D Moodley and V Ram	113

## **Real-Time Systems**

- The real-time control system model - an Holistic Approach to System Design* 119  
T Considine
- Neural networks for process parameter identification and assisted controller tuning for control loops* 127  
M McLeod and VB Bajic
- Reference Model for the Process Control Domain of Application* 137  
N Dhevcharran, A L Steenkamp and V Ram

## **Database Systems**

- The Pearl Algorithm as a method to extract information out of a database* 145  
J W Kruger
- Theory meets Practice: Using Smith's Normalization in Complex Systems* 151  
A van der Merwe and W Labuschagne
- A Comparison on Transaction Management Schemes in Multidatabase Systems* 159  
K Renaud and P Kotze

## **Education**

- Computer-based applications for engineering education* 171  
A C Hansen and P W L Lyne
- Software Engineering Development Methodologies applied to Computer-Aided Instruction* 179  
R de Villiers and P Kotze
- COBIE: A Cobol Integrated Environment* 187  
N Pillay
- The Design and Usage of a new Southern African Information Systems Textbook* 195  
G J Erwin and C N Blewett
- Teaching a first course in Compilers with a simple Compiler Construction Toolkit* 211  
G Ganchev
- Teaching Turing Machines: Luxury or Necessity?* 219  
Y Velinov

## **Practice and Experience**

- Lessons learnt from using C++ and the Object Oriented Approach to Software Development* 227  
R Mazhindu-Shumba
- Parallel hierarchical algorithm for identification of large-scale industrial systems* 235  
B Jankovic and VB Bajic

## **Information Technology and Organizational Issues**

<i>A cultural perspective on IT/End user relationships</i> A C Leonard	243
<i>Information Security Management: The Second Generation</i> R Von Solms	257
<i>Project Management in Practice</i> M le Roux	267
<i>A Case-Study of Internet Publishing</i> A Morris	271
<i>The Role of IT in Business Process Reengineering</i> C Blewett, J Cansfield and L Gibson	285

## **Abstracts**

<i>On Total Systems Intervention as a Systemic Framework for the Organisation of the Model Base of a Decision Support Systems Generator</i> D Petkov and O Petkova	299
<i>Modular Neural Networks Subroutines for Knowledge Extraction</i> A Vahed and I Cloete	300
<i>Low-Cost Medical Records System: A Model</i> O A Daini and T Seipone	301
<i>A Methodology for Integrating Legacy Systems with the Client/Server Environment</i> M Redelinghuys and A L Steenkamp	302
<i>Information Systems Outsourcing and Organisational Structure</i> M Hart and Kvavatzandis	303
<i>The relational organisation model</i> B Laauwen	304
<i>The Practical Application of a New Class of Non-Linear Smoothers for Digital Image Processing</i> E Cloete	305
<i>A Technology Reference Model for Client/Server Software Development</i> R C Nienaber	306
<i>The Feasibility Problem in the Simplex Algorithm</i> T G Scott, J M Hattingh and T Steyn	307
<b>Author Index</b>	309

## List of Contributors

**Vladimir B Bajic**  
Centre for Engineering Research,  
Technikon Natal,  
P O Box 953  
Durban 4000

**C N Blewett**  
Department of Accounting  
University of Natal  
King George V Avenue  
Durban 4001

**Justin Cansfield**  
Department of Accounting  
University of Natal  
King George V Avenue  
Durban 4001

**Tom Considine**  
Apron Services (Pty) Ltd  
P O Johannesburg  
International Airport  
1600

**Eric Cloete**  
School of Electrical Engineering  
Cape Technikon  
Box 652  
Cape Town

**I Cloete**  
Computer Science Department  
University of Stellenbosch  
Stellenbosch  
7600

**O A Daini**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Botswana  
Gaborone  
Botswana

**Nirvani Devcharan**  
Umgeni Water  
Box 9  
Pietermaritzburg  
3200

**P J A de Villiers**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Stellenbosch  
Stellenbosch  
7700

**Ruth de Villiers**  
Department of Computer Science and  
Information Systems  
UNISA  
Box 392, Pretoria, 0001

**G J Erwin**  
Business Information Systems  
University of Durban-Westville  
Private Bag X54001  
Durban 4000

**G Ganchev**  
Computer Science Department  
University of Botswana  
PBag 0022  
Gaberone, Botswana

**J Geldenhuys**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Stellenbosch  
Stellenbosch  
7700

**Louise Gibson**  
BIS, Dept Accounting & Finance  
University of Durban  
Pvt Bag X10  
Dalbridge 4014

**Mike Hart**  
Department of Information Systems  
University of Cape Town  
Rondebosch  
7700

**M. Hajek**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Durban-Westville  
Pvt Bag X54001  
Durban 4000

**A C Hansen**  
Dept of Agricultural Engineering  
University of Natal  
Private Bag X01  
Scottsville 3209

**J M Hattingh**  
Department of Computer Science  
Potchefstroom University for CHE  
Potchefstroom 2520



**Boris Jankovic**  
Centre for Engineering Research  
Technikon Natal  
P O Box 953,  
Durban 4000

**Paula Kotze**  
Department of Computer Science and  
Information Systems  
UNISA  
Box 392  
Pretoria, 0001

**J W Kruger**  
Vista University  
Soweto Campus  
Box 359  
Westhoven 2124

**A C Leonard**  
Dept of Informatics  
University of Pretoria  
Pretoria  
2000

**Ben Laauwen**  
Laauwen and Associates  
P O Box 13773  
Sinoville  
0129

**Mari Le Roux**  
Information technology, development: project  
leader  
Telkom IT 1015  
Box 2753  
Pretoria 0001

**P W L Lyne**  
Dept of Agricultural Engineering  
University of Natal  
Private Bag X01  
Scottsville 3209

**Rose Mazhindu-Shumba**  
Computer Science Department  
University of Zimbabwe  
Box MP167  
Harare, Zimbabwe

**Meredith McLeod**  
Centre for Engineering Research,  
Technikon Natal,  
P O Box 953  
Durban 4000

**D Moodley**  
Computer Management Systems  
Box 451  
Umhlanga Rocks  
4320

**Andrew Morris**  
P O Box 34200  
Rhodes Gift  
7707

**R C Nienaber**  
Technikon Pretoria  
Dept of Information Technology  
Private Bag X680  
Pretoria 0001

**E Parkinson**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Port Elizabeth  
Box 1600  
Port Elizabeth 6000

**Don Petkov**  
Department of Computer Science and  
Information Systems  
University of Natal  
PBag x01  
Scottsville 3209

**Olga Petkov**  
Technikon Natal  
Box 11078  
Dorpspruit 3206  
Pietermaritzburg

**N Pillay**  
Technikon Natal  
Box 11078  
Dorpspruit 3206  
Pietermaritzburg

**V Ram**  
Department of Computer Science and  
Information Systems  
University of Natal  
PBag x01  
Scottsville 3209

**Melinda Redelinghuys**  
Department of Computer Science and  
Information Systems  
UNISA  
Box 392  
Pretoria, 0001

**Karen Renaud**  
Computer Science and Information Systems  
UNISA  
Box 392  
Pretoria, 0001

**H N Roux**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Stellenbosch  
Stellenbosch  
7700

**T G Scott**  
Department of Computer Science  
Potchefstroom University for CHE  
Potchefstroom  
2520

**T Seipone**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Botswana  
Gaborone  
Botswana

**Derek Smith**  
Department of Information Systems  
University of Cape Town  
Rondebosch  
7700

**Anette L Steenkamp**  
Department of Computer Science and  
Information Systems  
UNISA  
Box 392  
Pretoria, 0001

**T Steyn**  
Department of Computer Science  
Potchefstroom University for CHE  
Potchefstroom 2520

**H. Suleman**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Durban-Westville  
Pvt Bag X54001  
Durban 4000

**A Vahed**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Western Cape  
Private Bag X17  
Bellville 7530

**A Van der Merwe**  
Computer science and Informations Systems  
UNISA  
P O Box 392  
Pretoria,0001

**Tjaart J Van Der Walt**  
Foundation for Research and Development  
Box 2600  
Pretoria, 0001

**K Vavatzandis**  
Department of Information Systems  
University of Cape Town  
Rondebosch  
7700

**Y Velinov**  
Dept Computer Science  
University of Natal  
Private Bag X01  
Scottsville 3209

**H Venter**  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Port Elizabeth  
Box 1600  
Port Elizabeth 6000

**H L Viktor**  
Computer Science Department  
University of Stellenbosch  
Stellenbosch  
7600

**R Von Solms**  
Department of Information Technology  
Port Elizabeth Technikon  
Private Bag X6011  
Port Elizabeth 6000

**A J Walker**  
Software Engineering Applications  
Laboratory  
Electrical Engineering  
University of Witwatersrand  
Johannesburg

**Max Watzenboeck**  
University of Botswana  
Private Bag 0022  
Gaberone  
Botswana

**P Warren**  
Computer Science Department  
University of Natal  
P/Bag X01  
Scottsville 3209

# TEACHING A FIRST COURSE IN COMPILERS WITH A SIMPLE COMPILER CONSTRUCTION TOOLKIT

Dr. G. F. Ganchev  
Computer Science Dept., University of Botswana  
P. Bag 0022, Gaborone, Botswana  
Phone: (267) 308221, E-mail: ganchev@noka.ub.bw

## Abstract

We describe the use of a toolkit designed to support the Compiler Construction course in the University of Botswana. The toolkit is based upon the principles of simplicity, modularity and flexibility. Its educational goal is to maintain a balance between theoretical material and the practical presentation of concepts. We view the students as active participants in Computer Aided Learning. They actively explore and control the interactions and monitor the data and control flow in the compilers they build. The feedback provided by the toolkit's interface helps the students understand where they are in the compilation process.

## Keywords

Computer Aided Learning, Compiler Construction, Scanning, Parsing, Grammar, Context Analysis, Code Generation, Stack Machine

## 1. Introduction

In many universities Compiler Construction is no longer a compulsory undergraduate Computer Science course. Perhaps one of the reasons is the general perception that learning compilers can be complex, while only a small percentage of graduates will be involved in compiler writing in their careers. Nevertheless the subject area "Programming Languages" of the ACM Computing Curricula [ACM-91] includes at least four main knowledge units that are closely related to understanding compilers. These knowledge units are Representation of Data Types, Sequence Control, Run-Time Storage Management, and Language Translation Systems.

Our experience indicates that the difficulty in learning compilers does not necessarily lie in the complexity of the topic itself. The cause is really two-fold: one can be characterized as a problem of foundation, the other as a problem of presentation:

- Students often lack real understanding of some fundamental concepts that are prerequisite to the topic. They are capable of obtaining good test scores, but fall apart when asked to apply their knowledge in practice. Modern compilers are syntax driven. Learning compilers requires some ease with formal languages and automata. Students should have some initial experience in the theory in order to understand the current syntax analysis methods, and their impact on the other compiler components.
- The available introductory textbooks either concentrate on one particular compilation model and even one example programming language, and then explain their model in a great detail but miss the general state of the art picture, or have an encyclopedia-like approach covering a range of methods and techniques, but lacking concrete guidelines for their integration and implementation.

As a first step in addressing these issues, in the UB curriculum we have a separate course in Languages and Automata. Still, in the Compilers course we try to rely on as simple a set of concepts as possible. We organized our course with a focus on the concepts that differentiate one compilation model from the other, and included a closed laboratory component intended to allow the students to

rapidly build components of compilers for languages that they define. We encourage the students to explore and choose particular models as described below for each of their compiler components.

## 2. The Course Contents

The course is designed for undergraduate single major Computer Science students. Our goal was to maintain a balance between theoretical material and the practical presentation of concepts. The students taking the course have passed a course in Formal Languages and Automata and a course in Programming Languages.

After surveying a number of textbooks, analyzing the advanced material in sources as [Hol-90], and considering the algorithms of several models, we developed our course from the themes listed below. We were convinced that a set of tools designed to support these themes would provide the students a meaningful and lasting learning experience not only in compiler construction, but also more generally in building large software systems.

Our course starts with an introduction to language translation systems encompassing the range from assemblers to compilers and interpreters with emphasis on syntax directed translation. Then we present the main parsing, translation, and code generation techniques in use today with many examples. We recommend two texts for the course - [Wat-93] and [Aho-86], however none of them is strictly followed, and the lectures contain a lot of material that binds the concepts being presented.

During the first half-semester we cover scanning (case-type and with finite automata) and parsing. Two bottom-up and two top-down parsing techniques are discussed: mixed precedence, LR(k), Recursive Descent and LL(k). All are supported by examples and exercises. The second half of the course concentrates on semantic analysis, run-time storage management (including routines and data types representation), and code generation (including expression evaluation and sequence control). The modern emphasis on compiler construction tools is underlined. The horarium is three lecture hours and one two-hour guided laboratory per week. A laboratory assistant helps the students learn the toolkit in closed laboratory sessions.

## 3. The UB Compiler Construction Toolkit

The University of Botswana toolkit differs from other similar systems [Ben-90,Hol-90] by the intentional stress on simpler concepts. In [Mar-95] M. Maredi and H.J. Oosthuizen point out the problems associated with the poor mathematical background of a large group of South African students. We have to overcome a similar obstacle. Many of our students experience difficulties in understanding automata based models, attribute-value flow, semantic specifications. In contrast, more mechanistic concepts are easily understood. For example, the students understand better the construction of a case-type scanner than building a finite automaton from a regular expression. They understand more easily the construction of precedence-based shift-reduce parsers than LR(k) parsers. They prefer building translation schemes to defining semantic functions. Fig. 1 shows the model of a compiler adopted in our course.

We made a fundamental decision that our tool will be used primarily by the individual students rather than by the instructor in the classroom (although it could certainly be used for classroom demonstrations). Everyone knows the importance of the student role in the learning process. It has been stressed by several authors [Cou-93,Sch-93] that one of the most important ingredients of a successful learning tool in science and engineering education is its flexibility and its ability to be adapted according to the student's needs and personal ideas. This is why our toolkit offers the students differing alternative models to probe their understanding of different parts of compilers they build. We have included the following:

- two scanner generators. One of them generates case-type scanners from a BNF description of the source language syntax. Some conventions must be observed for the generated scanner to be immediately ready for use. Its main advantage is its very simple structure which students are able



- two parser generators - a top-down (LL(1)) and a bottom-up (mixed precedence). Only the bottom-up parser generator was operational in the last academic year, however the students practiced top-down parsing by building hand-written recursive descent parsers from extended BNF descriptions of the source languages.
- an almost empty "skeleton" routine for semantic analysis and synthesis. The students try their semantic actions interactively before supplying the code to fill in the "skeleton" routine. The different options that they could explore originate from the different possibilities for defining the concrete syntax and linking it with the semantics of the source language.
- a set of primitive code generation routines that generate assembly code for the TAM virtual machine (see below). The students call these routines from their own semantic programs.
- an error handler, a major part of which is an adjustable syntax error recovery routine implementing "panic" mode of recovery [1]. In addition students are encouraged to implement and explore recovery by replacement and error productions [1].
- a stack-machine emulator. We have adopted the TAM virtual machine described in [9], and have developed an interactive user interface and an assembler for it.
- utility programs. At the time being these include symbol-table, stack and tree manipulating routines.
- a standard interactive user interface that allows prototyping and debugging of compilers being built by the students.

Below we shortly discuss the last three components.

### 3.1. Defining Symbol Tables

Understanding symbol-table manipulation is a key point in learning contextual analysis and synthesis. Our goal was to implement a reusable and easily adjustable component that would conveniently allow visualization. We chose to implement the symbol table as a heterogeneous C++ class having objects of another class as an attribute. Each symbol table entry consists of a scope level number, a name and an attribute pointer. In this way all symbol-table operations were implemented in advance, while the structure of the attribute was left open. In a minimalist scenario, the students are only expected to specify the structure of their attribute. This was the case in our closed laboratories. In order to make the exercises simpler and to provide a standard visual image of the table, we used attributes with a fixed structure, however the heterogeneous class approach allows much more flexibility. Not only can the attribute be different for different compilation exercises, not only can it be arbitrarily complex (for example, a tree structure), but also each symbol-table entry can have a different structure for its attribute. This could be useful for more advanced cases or optimal utilization of memory.

An interesting side effect of the flexible implementation of the symbol table was the active interest of several of our students in object-oriented programming.

### 3.2. Defining and Manipulating Trees

Parse trees and abstract syntax trees are popular and well understood intermediate representations, but it would be unrealistic to expect that the students will have the time to implement tree structures in the time scheduled for our course. Instead we implemented a C++ class that allows flexible tree manipulation compatible with the one described in [Wat-93]. We eliminated the limitation of [Wat-93] on the arity of nodes. For visualization we chose a two-dimensional representation of trees as more appropriate for our educational goals, instead of the widely used linear representation.

### 3.3. The Stack Machine

The code generation part of a compiler is very much dependent on the target machine. Different machines have different addressing conventions and register configurations. A virtual stack target machine has many advantages for a first course in compilers, like ours. Firstly, using a stack target machine eliminates the problem of intermediate storage and register allocation for expression evaluation. Secondly, the stack is the natural run-time storage organization for languages with nested scopes and recursive routines. Both texts [Wat-93] and [Aho-86] refer to virtual stack machines, but the presentation in [Wat-93] is tightly bound to such a machine. We found this presentation very useful and easily understandable by the students.

The TAM stack machine [9] has two separate stores for code and data. The data store accommodates a stack and a heap growing in opposite directions. All evaluation takes place on the stack. Primitive arithmetic, logical and other operations are treated uniformly with pre-programmed functions and procedures. An important advantage for our course is the fact that the procedure call and return conventions are implemented at the TAM machine level. This simplifies code generation, while still allowing students to observe the adopted run-time storage organization. A number of registers are dedicated to specific purposes.

Our implementation of the TAM stack machine includes a loader and an interpreter. Each of them illustrates a topic in our course. The interpreter can be run in a step-by-step mode, thus allowing students to observe the execution of their compiled programs. Fig. 2 is a snapshot of a screen showing our user interface to the TAM interpreter. The current instruction is at address 132 of the code store (pointed by the register CP). The program is stored from location 0 (register CB) to location 199 (register CT). Next available location in the stack is 21 (register ST), the stack base is 0

Registers											
CB	CT	PB	PT	LB	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	
0	199	1024	1052	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CP	SB	ST	HB	HT	Current Instruction						
132	0	21	1024	1024	[ 6, 2, 0, 20]						

  

Data Store - The Stack												
1	7	0	8	9	12	1	26	4	6	4	100	0
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	1	0	0	5	4	0	1					
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20					

  

Code Store			
129:	6	2	0 10
130:	6	2	0 8
131:	1	4	0 0
=> 132:	6	2	0 20
133:	6	2	0 8
134:	0	4	1 14
135:	3	0	0 1
136:	6	2	0 8

  

M - Mode No-Tracing
C - Code
D - Data
<Enter> - Continue

Fig. 2. A snapshot showing the TAM interpreter interface



(register SB). The heap is empty ( $HB = HT = 1024$ ). We are executing the global part of the program ( $LB=0$ , and all link registers - L1 to L6 contain zero). The primitive routines addresses are stored from location 1024 (PB) to location 1052 (PT).

We also implemented an assembler for the TAM machine. There were several reasons for this implementation.

- Our syllabus includes coverage of assemblers as simple translation systems. The forward reference problem and its solution via backpatching are illustrated on the example of assemblers. We also use assemblers to illustrate languages with monolithic structure.
- We cover assemblers before code generation, and use the TAM assembler to introduce the TAM machine itself.
- Our code generation routines generate mnemonic TAM assembler code rather than numerical TAM machine code. This greatly increases the readability of the generated code and helps the students understand the code generation templates for control structures and expression evaluation, as well as identify the possibilities for optimization. As forward references are dealt with by the assembler, this also simplifies the contextual analysis and allows the students to concentrate separately on its other aspects - identification for nested scopes and type checking.

As a bonus, the TAM assembler and interpreter will be used for illustrations in two other courses - Machine Organization and Assembler Programming.

### **3.4. The Compiler User Interface**

As mentioned above, our decision was to make a learning, rather than teaching toolkit. The process of learning compiler construction involves prototyping the behaviour of the compiler, as well as locating and repairing errors in compilation. The location of a defect may not be obvious from the generated target code. The user interface must allow the student to study the behaviour of the compiler in order to find the source of a defect.

Our toolkit views the student as the active and only participant in the learning process. It provides a platform from which the student can actively explore and control the interactions and monitor the data and control flow in the compiler being built. The student can interact with the various parts of the compiler, examine and change data values at any time. The feedback provided by the interface helps the student understand where he is in the compilation process. There are several paths of interaction between the toolkit and the student:

- step by step output of the canonical parse on the screen and in a listing file. This includes the production applied and the current sentential form at each step of the parse.
- screen output of the contents of all stacks. The students can change the stack values at each parse step. This is particularly useful when prototyping semantic analysis and synthesis routines which manipulate stacks parallel to the syntax stack.
- screen output of the symbol table. After examining its contents, the students can change it as appropriate.
- direct emission of code or comments in the object file. Students can enter the code to be emitted from the keyboard.
- screen output of the generated code and data. At each step of compilation the students can view and if necessary change the code and/or the data generated so far.

## **4. The Laboratory Component of the Course**

Designing the laboratory component for a course is not that different from designing the course itself. You need to have in mind who you are teaching, what you are teaching, and how you will teach it. The stress in our course is on simpler concepts that can be mastered in the limited scheduled time. We take into account the difficulties our students have with advanced theoretical material. We try to support the lectures with numerous examples, case studies and practical work.

There are 14 closed practical exercises included in the course. Here we will mention only the most important of them. An essential assumption for the success of each laboratory session is that students know in advance their tasks and do some minimal theoretical preparation for them.

### **4.1. Scanning with Case-Type Scanners**

This is the first scanning exercise. Its purpose is to familiarize the students with the standard scanner interface and with the structure of the case-type generated scanners. They define a language in BNF, construct a scanner for it, and interface the scanner to a main program (e.g. to output each source line in reverse order, search for given words, print the source program with indentation depending on certain tokens etc.). The students design their own main program or choose its functionality among several suggested ideas.

### **4.2. Canonical Parse and Synthesis**

This exercise is done in the fifth week of the semester. It resembles an example done in class. The students study a very simplified compiler that still generates meaningful code. The purpose is to illustrate the syntax driven nature of the compiler and to show how using an additional stack the compiler can keep track of the run-time location of operands and intermediate results in expressions. The corresponding ideas are central in the course. They are introduced in the preceding lectures and recur and are further developed throughout the semester.

### **4.3. Assemblers. Simple Languages with Monolithic Block Structure. Backpatching**

The TAM assembler is a second example of a simple translation system built with our toolkit. The students study its structure, follow the backpatching process, and get acquainted with the TAM abstract machine and its user interface. Sample assembler programs for searching and sorting arrays are provided, but students are expected to write their own examples.

### **4.4. Syntax Error Recovery with Top-Down and Bottom-Up Parsing**

This is an important exercise in which the students try to limit the impact of source program syntax errors on the syntax analysis. They design sets of stop-symbols for so called "panic" error recovery and experiment with them. They verify the statement that the set of stop-symbols is very much language dependent and blindly including too many stop-symbols has an equally negative effect as including too few of them. Students are encouraged to use the second half of the scheduled time to include in the syntax of their language error productions or to experiment substituting an expected symbol for an erroneous one.

### **4.5. Semantic (Contextual) Analysis**

This exercise illustrates the concepts of identification and type checking introduced in the lectures. A skeleton structure for the compiler is provided, as well as sample identification and type checking routines, and hints how to use them. After constructing their semantic analyzer, the students are expected to test it with correct source programs and with programs containing some typical errors, such as undeclared identifiers, double declarations in the same scope, type mismatch in an assignment, type mismatch in an arithmetic or boolean expression, missing return statement in a function body, parameter type mismatch in a function call, etc.

#### **4.6. Run-Time Storage Organization for Languages with Nested Block Structure**

Two exercises are devoted to this topic. The source language has only declarations and assignment statements with no arithmetic or other operations. The purpose is to concentrate on run-time representation of different data types, stack storage allocation, and parameter passing protocols. The students experiment with the compilation process and observe the behaviour of their compiled programs on the TAM stack machine.

#### **4.7. Code Generation**

There are two exercises for this topic. The first one uses a simple language with monolithic structure, expressions with arithmetic and logical operations, assignments, branch and loop statements. Auxiliary routines for building code templates are provided. The students are expected to incorporate these in the compiler. The second code generation exercise is the last for the course. It integrates the code generation with all the other techniques learned during the semester. The students use a simple, but full featured source language.

### **5. Conclusion**

There are several factors of Computer Science education that make the application of our toolkit feasible. The most important one is perhaps the necessity of supporting the theoretical considerations in the concrete subject area with numerous practical examples. These make the specific knowledge more digestible for students and give them the feeling of quantitative evaluation of theoretical considerations. The other factor is the need for the students to apply the Software Engineering knowledge acquired in a course that is taught just a semester before the Compilers course. Building a complete compiler for a non-trivial language can constitute a term project for a team of students and certainly provides an exiting software engineering experience. It is worth mentioning that our toolkit itself is being implemented mainly through individual and group student projects.

We have recently obtained several tutor suggestions for extensions of our toolkit. The main ones are: a log of the student interactions with the system and a help dialogue when no interactions are made. We intend to use a weighted approach to assess the useability of the toolkit's components and decide on the further directions for improvement.

### **6. References**

- [ACM-91] Computing Curricula 1991. Report of the ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Curriculum Task Force. ACM Press, IEEE Computer Society Press 1991
- [Aho-96] Aho, A.V., R. Sethi, J.D.Ullman, Compilers. Addison Wesley 1986
- [Ben-90] Bennet, J.P., Introduction to Compiling Techniques, McGraw Hill 1990
- [Cou-93] de Coulon, F., What Can We Really Expect from Computer Aided Learning in Engineering Education. In: Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Aided Education CAEE 93, Bucharest 1993. Ed. D. Ioan, p.3-8
- [Hol-90] Holub, A. I., Compiler Design in C. Prentice Hall 1990
- [Mar-95] Mareti M., H.J.Oosthuizen, A Problem Solving CAI - Factor Q. Computers and Education, Vol. 25, No 4, December 1995
- [Sch-93] Scherbakov N., in oral contribution at the International Conference on Computer Based Learning in Science, CBLIS 93, Vienna, 18-21 December 1993