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ABSTRACT

An information management system with a semantic data model interface is currently being developed at UCT. This system provides high-level, non-procedural access to information and enforces powerful integrity and security constraints on stored data. The definition of tasks, routines which can subsequently be invoked by application programs, is included with the definition of the data itself.

KEY WORDS: information management, semantic model, database management system, integrity

1. INTRODUCTION

SDMS (the Semantic Data Management System) is being developed to improve database programmer productivity and to provide semantic data model facilities that capture more of the meaning of data in its definition and usage. This paper describes the data definition and manipulation facilities provided by SDMS and gives an outline of its implementation. In conclusion the system is evaluated and some suggestions for future work are made.

2. THE SDMS SCHEMA

An SDMS schema comprises a list of objects optionally followed by a list of tasks. Objects are entities of interest about which information will be stored; tasks define special operations to be performed when manipulating these objects and are based on a similar concept in the theoretical language TAXIS [9]. Properties can be specified for objects to describe their characteristics and behaviour. They can denote attributes (e.g. name of a person), relationship (e.g. courses taken by students), actions (e.g. calculate average mark) or tests (e.g. to ensure employed persons are of reasonable age.

To increase the semantic content of the schema, the following features of object properties are supported. They can be designated “id-properties” if their value uniquely distinguishes occurrences of that object. They can be declared “class” [5] if they describe a class of object rather than particular occurrences of an object. (Examples: average-course-size and maximum-course-length). Properties can be optional or mandatory, changeable or unchangeable (e.g. birthdate), single- or multivalued and weak or independent. A weak property is one whose existence depends on that of some other object: marks would be weak properties of students because a mark is of no interest if the student who attained it is deleted — when this occurs the student’s marks must be deleted as well. Every property of objects has an associated valueclass [5] which indicates what type of value(s) that property will assume. A property can thus be seen as mapping from the object it describes to its valueclass. Name is a mapping from person to strings, courses-taken is a mapping from students to courses, and so on. This mapping can be defined as partial or total to indicate whether all objects of the valueclass must participate in such a mapping, or can optionally be exempt from this. From this it can be seen that for any property, both the mapping and its inverse are clearly described. Where applicable, i.e. where the valueclass is “string”, “real” or “integer”, properties can have their exact format (types and sizes) declared. It should be note that properties such as name represent ordinary printable attributes of an object; while properties such as course-taken represent a relationship between two types of object. One of the major reasons for the simplicity inherent in SDMS is its uniform treatment of attributes and associations.

The semantic richness of the schema is especially evident in its facilities for defining integrity constraints and data derivations. The latter enable a property to be defined as the sum, minimum, average, maximum, count, union, intersection, subset or difference of other (multi-valued) properties. Examples: students-passed would be a “SUBSET OF students-registered
WHERE average-percent >= 50"; students failed could be “SUBTRACT students-passed FROM students-registered”. Alternatively a derivation can specify an arithmetic expression or formula by which a single-valued property is to be computed from other single-valued properties. Integrity constraints allow for the declaration of any logical expression which must hold between properties and/or constants. Examples are “age <= 65 && age >= 16” and “tax <= 0.5 * salary”. Finally it should be stressed that redundancy in the data description is encouraged in SDMS, as this increases the chances of obtaining a complete data definition and enhances its semantic content. To handle this “inverse” and “match” must be specified wherever one property represents the exact opposite, or exact same information as another property, respectively.

Security constraints can be specified for properties, objects or tasks and are enforced by means of passwords. Those defined for properties and objects can further specify the operation(s) for which that password is required. Comments can also be defined for any property, object or task to clarify its exact meaning or purpose.

Object definitions can also include semantic information in the form of isa-hierarchies [11], groupings [5], functional dependencies between properties of an object [4] and list of the tasks that apply to each object. If “lecturer isa person” lecturers inherit all the properties of the object person in addition to the stated properties of their own. This facility considerably reduces the number of property declarations that have to be given; it also enables special constraints to be enforced when an inherited property is redefined for the specialised object to restrict its range of values (e.g. person property age might be redefined for lecturers to enforce “age > 21”). A predicate can be defined when an “isa” association is declared, to indicate what condition an object must satisfy to be classed as that special type of object. The predicate for lecturer would probably be based on the value of its career property. A grouping allows an object to be defined as a collection of other objects. Thus committee could be defined as a grouping of students and lecturers. A predicate defining how groupings are to be formed is also supported.

To provide database administrators with some control over the physical storage of the data, objects can have access methods defined for them, along with any keys to use. The options available are isam, hash, heap, compressed isam, compressed hash, compressed heap and truncated. These access methods are totally transparent to the programmer.

Tasks can be one of two types: base and auxiliary. The name of a base task is of the form operation_ object or operation_ object_property. This implies that the task must be invoked when the specified operation is performed on that particular object or object-property, as some additional processing has to be carried out as specified in the task body. Auxiliary tasks are analogous to program modules; they can be called from any other task in the system. All tasks comprise actions optionally interspersed with tests. An action can be any SDMS or C [7] statement, or a task invocation. A test has associated with it some predicate which, if it evaluates to false, will raise an error and abort the task. Tests can optionally specify the name of an exception-handling (auxiliary) task to deal with the error.

3. THE DATA MANIPULATION LANGUAGE (DML)

The SDMS data manipulation language is described in detail in a companion paper [2]. To ensure ease of use there are few, simple commands. The language is non-procedural, relationally complete [4] and provides associative retrieval (viz. data is accessed by conditions on any of its properties). The SDMS data manipulation commands are GET, CREATE, DELETE, UPDATE, NULLIFY, INSERT and remove. NULLIFY allows some (or all) of the values of a multivalued property to be erased. REMOVE and INSERT permit de-/classification of objects in a specialised object type or grouping. File input/output, aggregate functions (minimum, maximum, average, sum, count) and “mappings” [5] are supported. A mapping enables properties of properties to be referenced directly, as in “Thesis.Supervisor.Name”. Any number of properties of different objects can be specified in any order in the commands, all of which operate on collections of objects rather than one at a time.

4. IMPLEMENTATION

SDMS comprises three major subsystems: a schema processor, a DML processor and a browsing facility. It was decided to implement SDMS on top of a relational database system (viz. Ingres [10]) as this greatly simplified the development of an access method. A relation scheme is therefore designed from the given SDMS data definition and SDMS operations are executed by
5. CONCLUSION

SDMS enables information systems to be designed and used with less effort than would be the case using conventional database or filing systems. It should thus substantially improve programmer productivity. The non-procedurality of the access language provides navigational problems and its high-level primitives avoid the complexity of relational joins. The security and integrity of data is enhanced because of the rich semantic content of the data description. Complete data independence is achieved since the user’s view of the information simply comprises a collection of objects and their properties and no internal data structuring. Maintaining tasks along with the data definition increases the semantic content as well as ease of use. The project has opened several avenues for future research. Incorporation of subschemas, database design tools, historic data and automatic program- and documentation- generation could be investigated.

APPENDIX - (PARTIAL) SCHEMA EXAMPLE

```
DATABASE uct
OBJECT person
ACCESS hash USING idnumber
   ID-PROPERTY
      firstname : STRING C12 M:1 unchangeable compulsory;
      initials  : STRING A6  M:1 unchangeable;
      surname   : STRING C24 M:1 compulsory;
      birthdate : date       M:1 unchangeable;
   ID-PROPERTY
      idnumber : STRING D13 1:1 unchangeable compulsory;
   PROPERTIES
      sex       : personsex   M:1 unchangeable compulsory;
      medicalaid: boolean     M:1;
      pensionfund: boolean    M:1
      marital   : maritalstatus M:1;
      children  : person      2:M
      numdependents : INTEGER  M:1 count children;
OBJECT lecturer ISA person
   LOCK DELETE : "*(&%"; UPDATE  : "3y28#";
   PROPERTIES
      position : academicstatus M:1 compulsory;
```
dep : department M:1 compulsory;
office : STRING C4 2:1 compulsory; /* max 2 per office */
telnos : telephonenum 4:2 total; /* max 2 phones per chap */
salary : money M:1 compulsory
supervises : student 1:M match with supervisor of project;
lectures : course M:M compulsory total inverse of
course-lecturers
contacts : student M:M union of supervises and
lectures.attendants
subsidy : boolean M:1;
FDS telno -> office;
SPECIAL-TASKS
DELETE_lecturer; UPDATE_lecturer_marital;
... ...
TASKS
DELETE_lecturer
    precondition : TEST COUNT (supervises) == 0;
    actiondone : DELETE lecturer
    /\ add his position to "posts" - a multivalued property: */
    officefreed : UPDATE dept (freerooms = freerooms + 1;
    posts = lecturer.position) WHERE
    name == lecturer.dep.name;
    phonefreed : UPDATE university(freephones=freephones+COUNT(telnos));
    postcondition : TEST COUNT(dep.posts) !=dep.numposts ERROR emptydep();
END
... ...
UPDATE_lecturer_marital
    /\ a married female cannot legally be subsidised! */
execute : UPDATE person;
    checksubsidy : TEST sex == "F" && marital == "M" && subsidy == "Y"
    ERROR illegalsubsidy ();
END
... ...
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