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Editorial Note

Regrettably this is the last issue of *Questions Informatica* to appear in its current format. There are a number of reasons for terminating the production of *QI* in printed form. Firstly, the cost of publication has tripled over the last couple of years. Secondly, the interest in the journal has dwindled to the point where one may question the need for a South African publication on the more academic aspects of computing: the readership has not expanded but, more seriously, despite many appeals no contributions are submitted. At present I have only one paper in the pipeline. It appears that the only supply of papers comes from the two-yearly Computer Science Symposium, and this is not sufficient to justify the expense of publishing *QI* in its present form.

Nevertheless, both the Computer Society and the Institute of Computer Scientists feel that a vehicle for publishing the results of research in the field of information technology is required for this country. We propose to continue *QI* in a format similar to that used by *Questions Informatica*. In other words we shall use a photo-reproduction process and a printed cover to continue the publication of *QI*, but at greatly reduced costs. This puts the onus of delivering reproducible copy of the authors, but relieves the printers from the problems experienced with unusual symbols, diagrams, computer printouts, etc.

The journal will continue to accept only papers which have been referred. In fact, we shall try to satisfy all the requirements for being regarded as a publication acceptable under the rules for obtaining university subsidies. It is also intended to guarantee quick publication, say not more than three months after acceptance of a paper. However, the journal can only continue to exist if it receives enough contributions of sufficiently high standard.

Again, I would like to appeal to all engaged in research and development in computer related areas, to consider publishing your results in *QI*.

Finally, it is my pleasure to thank Dick White, of Thomson Publications, for all he has done to produce this journal in its current form.

G WIECHERS,
Editor
On a Generalisation of Cayley Diagrams
W.A. Labuschagne and H.O. van Rooyen
University of South Africa, South Africa

Abstract
A technique for partially representing binary group operations by sets of binary relations was devised by Cayley. This technique can be generalised to permit the representation of arbitrary sets of n-ary relations \( n \geq 2 \) by sets of binary relations without loss of information. Such binary representations have associated diagrams. A simple practical application is partially described.

1. Cayley diagrams
By a binary system we understand a pair \((A, R)\) where \( R \) is some (usually finite) set of binary relations on \( A \). Every binary system can be displayed diagrammatically by plotting members of the underlying set as vertices and inserting an arrow from \( a \) to \( b \) iff \((a, b) \in R\).

The Cayley diagram of a group (see [1] and [2] for a survey of applications) is the diagram of a digraph \((A, \{R\})\) where \( A \) is the underlying set of the group and \( R \) is obtained from the group operation in the fashion exemplified below.

Consider the cyclic group of integers modulo 4. Its Cayley diagram is

```
 1 2 3 4
0 1 2 3
```

where each arrow represents addition by the generating element 1. The group operation may be viewed as a ternary relation, where we write \((x, y, z)\) if \( x + y = z \). The triples \((0, 1, 1)\), \((1, 1, 2)\), \((2, 1, 3)\), \((3, 1, 0)\) have been displayed via a binary relation \( R \) consisting of the pairs \((0, 1)\), \((1, 2)\), \((2, 3)\), \((3, 0)\).

No information is lost because the generator is used to label the arrows.

It is possible to display the entire operation using the general principle that there is a bijection between \( n \)-ary relations \( R \subseteq A^n \) \((n \geq 2)\) and functions \( F : A^n \rightarrow P(A \times A) \), where \( P \) denotes powerset. Given \( R \subseteq A^n \) one simply defines the corresponding \( F \) by, for each \( f \in A^n \), \( F(f) = \{(x, y) \mid (x, f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(n-3), y) \in R\} \). Conversely, it is clear how \( R \) may be recovered from \( F \).

2. Nebulas
By a nebula we understand a pair \(N = (A, F)\) where \( A\) is a set and \( F\) is a function which correlates with every element \( i \) of its domain a function \( F(i) : A^n \rightarrow P(A \times A) \) for some corresponding \( n \geq 2 \).

Nebulas can, like binary systems, be displayed by plotting members of the underlying set as vertices, while arrows and labels are inserted in accordance with the convention that if for some \( i \in \text{dom } F\) and some \( j \in \text{dom } F(i)\), \((x, y) \in F(i)\) then an arrow is inserted from \( x \) to \( y \) and labelled with \( ij \). All relevant labels may be attached to a single arrow from \( x \) to \( y \) in order to avoid the occurrence of multiple arrows. Nebula diagrams were introduced and combinatoric aspects investigated in [3]. More recently explication, development and computer implementation of basic nebula theoretic modelling techniques, with applications in the field of education, have been presented in [4], [5] and [6].

Examples:

2.1 \( \mathbb{Z} \) modulo 4 gives rise to a nebula \((A, F)\) with \( A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \), \( \text{dom } F = \{0\} \) and \( F(0) : A \rightarrow P(A \times A) \) defined by \( F(0)(y) = \{(x, z) \mid x + y = z\} \) for each \( y \in A \).

The corresponding diagram may be viewed as a completion of the Cayley diagram:

```
Since dom \( F \) is a singleton, it suffices to write \( y \) instead of \( iy \).

2.2 Associated with a lattice \((A, \wedge, \vee)\) there is a nebula \((A, F)\) where \( \text{dom } F = \{0, 1\} \), \( F(0) : A \rightarrow P(A \times A) \) is defined by \( F(0)(y) = \{(x, z) \mid x \wedge y = z\} \) and \( F(1) : A \rightarrow P(A \times A) \) is defined by \( F(1)(y) = \{(x, z) \mid x \vee y = z\} \).

2.3 A graph \((V, E)\) suggests a nebula \((A, F)\) with \( A = V \), \( \text{dom } F = \{0\} \), and \( F(0) : A^2 \rightarrow P(A \times A) \) defined by \( F(0)(0) = \{(x, z) \mid x \text{ and } z \text{ are adjacent}\} \).

For instance, the graph with diagram

```
corresponds to a nebula \((A, F)\) having \( A = \{0, 1\} \), \( F(0)(0) = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\} \) and diagram
2.4 Consider a weighted digraph \((V,E,w)\) with vertex set \(V = \{a_1, \ldots , a_{13}\}\), edge set \(E = \{(a_0, a_1), (a_0, a_2), (a_0, a_3), (a_0, a_4), (a_1, a_2), (a_1, a_3), (a_1, a_5), (a_2, a_3), (a_3, a_4), (a_3, a_5), (a_4, a_5), (a_4, a_6), (a_5, a_6), (a_5, a_7), (a_6, a_7), (a_6, a_8), (a_7, a_8), (a_7, a_9), (a_8, a_9), (a_8, a_{10}), (a_9, a_{10}), (a_9, a_{12}), (a_{10}, a_{12}), (a_{10}, a_{13})\}\), weighting function \(w : E \rightarrow \{1, \ldots , 5\}\), and diagram

![Diagram](image)

The corresponding nebula \((A,F)\) has \(A = V \cup \text{ran} \, w\), \(\text{dom} \, F = \{(0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (0,3), (1,0), (1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2)\}\), and \(F(0) : \emptyset\). Assume that \(F(0) : \emptyset\) is defined by \(F(0)(y) = \{(x, z) \mid w(x, z) = y\}\) for \(y \in \text{ran} \, w\).

The nebula diagram is

![Diagram](image)

which differs from the diagram of the digraph only in the five extra (isolated) vertices which represent the weights.

3. An application

Consider the problem of student advice in connection with registration for a university degree. Assume that a degree consists of course units selected from topics a, b, c and d. Assume further that only two forms of restriction need be applied in order to ensure adequate control of curricula, namely prerequisite and parallel conditions. By a prerequisite condition for a course unit \(x\) we mean a list of course units which are not prerequisites for \(x\) but which must be completed before \(x\) can be read. By a parallel condition for a course unit \(x\) we mean a list of courses which are not prerequisites for \(x\) but which must be completed as a necessary part of any degree in which \(x\) is read. Given course units may have several alternative registration conditions. The table gives a coded version of these conditions taken from part of an actual situation, where \(a_0\) is a dummy course unit representing an entrance qualification for topic a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course unit</th>
<th>prerequisite</th>
<th>parallel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a_1)</td>
<td>(a_0)</td>
<td>(a_1, a_2, a_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_2)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_1)</td>
<td>(a_2, c_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_3)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_3)</td>
<td>(a_3, a_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_4)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_4)</td>
<td>(a_4, a_5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_5)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_5)</td>
<td>(a_5, a_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_6)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_6)</td>
<td>(a_6, c_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_7)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_7)</td>
<td>(a_7, c_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_8)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_8)</td>
<td>(a_8, b_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_9)</td>
<td>(a_0, a_9)</td>
<td>(a_9, b_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_{10})</td>
<td>(a_0, a_{10})</td>
<td>(a_{10}, c_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_{11})</td>
<td>(a_0, a_{11})</td>
<td>(a_{11}, c_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_{12})</td>
<td>(a_0, a_{12})</td>
<td>(a_{12}, b_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_{13})</td>
<td>(a_0, a_{13})</td>
<td>(a_{13}, b_2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prerequisite and parallel relationships can be represented by n-tuples of the form \((\alpha_0 x_0, \ldots , x_k, y_0, \ldots , y_m, a_i)\) where \(x_0, x_1, \ldots , x_k\) is a list of prerequisites for \(a_i\) and \(y_0, \ldots , y_m\) is a list of parallels for \(a_i\).

The relevant nebula is \((A,F)\) where \(A = \{a_0, a_{11}, b_0, \ldots , b_{11}, c_0, \ldots , c_3, d_0, \ldots , d_2\}\), \(\text{dom} \, F = \{(0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (0,3), (1,0), (1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2)\}\), and \(F(0)\) is defined below. Note that \(\text{dom} \, F\) has been selected so as to show the number of prerequisites and parallels, respectively, which remain after the outer co-ordinates of an n-tuple \((\alpha_0 x_0, \ldots , x_k, y_0, \ldots , y_m, a_i)\) are suppressed.

Let \(F((0,0)) = F_{00} : A^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A \times A)\) be defined by

\[
F_{00}(x) = \begin{cases} \{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}\} & \text{if } x = \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
F_{00}(x) = \begin{cases} \{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}\} & \text{if } x = \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Finally, \(F_{22} : A^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A \times A)\) is defined by

\[
F_{22}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} \{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}\} & \text{if } (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
Consider the initial registration of a student who wishes to read \( a_n \). How must he plan his degree curriculum? He needs to know which choices of course units lead to \( a_n \). This information can be provided by one or more nebula diagrams. The appropriate nebulae are produced by forming cascades in \( (A,F) \) as described below.

Some preliminary definitions are required.

By a weak subnebula of a nebula \( (D,K) \) we understand a nebula \( (B,G) \) which is a weak subnebula of \( (D,K) \) defined by:

\[
\text{dom } G_i = \text{dom } K, \text{some } j
\]

By a reduct of \( (D,K) \) we understand a nebula \( (B,G) \) such that \( B = D, \text{dom } G = \text{dom } K \) and, for each \( i \in \text{dom } G_i \), each \( j \in B^{\pi_k} \),

\[
G(i)(j) = K(i)(j).
\]

By a weak subreduct of \( (D,K) \) we mean a nebula \( (B,G) \) which is a weak subnebula of \( (D,K) \).

A cascade in a nebula \( (D,K) \) is a sequence of weak subreducts \( \{B_i,G_i \mid i \in I \} \) of weak subreducts \( (B,G) \) of \( (D,K) \) such that if \( i < j \) then \( (B_i,G_i) \) is a weak subreduct of \( (B_j,G_j) \).

For any family \( \{B_i,G_i \mid i \in I \} \) of weak subreductions \( (D,K) \) of \( (D,K) \) the supremum is the weak subreduct \( (\vee B_i, \vee G_i) \) of \( (D,K) \) defined by:

\[
\text{dom } G_i = \text{dom } K_i, \text{dom } G_i = \text{dom } G_i, \text{for each } i \in I \]

\[
\text{dom } G_i, \text{each } k \in \text{dom } G_i, \text{each } j \in B_i^{\pi_j}, (\vee G_i)(k)(j) = \bigcup \text{dom } G_i, \text{for each } i \in I
\]

where \( R_i = G_i(k)(j) \) if \( k \in \text{dom } G_i \) and \( j \in \text{dom } G_i(k) \)

\[
\emptyset \text{ otherwise.}
\]

Given any nebula \( (D,K) \), the reverse adjacency function \( \Gamma^*: D \rightarrow P(D) \) is defined by, for each \( d \in D \), \( \Gamma^*(d) = \{ b \mid \text{for some } i \in \text{dom } K, \text{some } j \in \text{dom } K_i, (b,d) \in K_i(j)(i) \} \cup \{ d \} \).

Given a nebula \( (D,K) \), the reverse context-function \( r^*: D \rightarrow P(D) \) is defined by, for each \( d \in D \), \( r^*(d) = \{ b \mid \text{for some } i \in \text{dom } K, \text{some } j \in \text{dom } K_i, \text{some } c \in \text{dom } D_i, (c,d) \in K_i(j) \} \) and \( b \in \text{ran } j \).

In order to illustrate the formation of cascades, we return to the nebula \( (A,F) \) and define the sequence \( \{ (B_i,G_i) \mid i \in \omega \} \) as follows.

\[
B_0 = \{ a_0 \} \text{ and } G_0 = \emptyset,
\]

\[
B_{i+1} = \Gamma^*(B_i) \cup r^*(B_i), \text{dom } G_{i+1} = \text{dom } F \text{ and, for each } k \in \text{dom } G_{i+1},
\]

\[
G_{i+1}(k) : (B_{i+1})^{\pi_k} \rightarrow P(B_{i+1} \times B_{i+1})\text{ is defined by } G_{i+1}(k)(j) = F(k)(j) \cap (B_{i+1} \times B_{i+1}).
\]

For \( i > 4 \), \( (B_i,G_i) = (B_4,G_4) = (\vee B_i, \vee G_i) \). In particular,

\[
B_4 = \{ a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d_0, d_1, d_2 \}, \text{ and } (G_4(0))_{00}(0) = \{ \{ a_0, a_2 \} \}.
\]

\[
(G_4(0))_{00}(x) = \{ \{ a_0, a_2 \} \} \text{ if } x = c_2
\]

\[
= \{ \{ a_0, a_2 \} \} \text{ if } x = b_1
\]

\[
= \{ \{ a_0, a_2 \} \} \text{ if } x = b_2
\]

\[
= \{ \{ a_0, a_2 \} \} \text{ if } x = c_1
\]

\[
= \emptyset \text{ otherwise.}
\]

\[
(G_4(0))_{00}((x_1,x_2,x_3)) = \emptyset \text{ for all } (x_1,x_2,x_3) \in B_4 \times B_4 \times B_4.
\]

\[
(G_4(10))_{00}(x) = \{ \{ a_0, a_2 \} \} \text{ if } x = c_1
\]

\[
= \emptyset \text{ otherwise.}
\]

\[
(G_4(10))_{00}((x_1,x_2,x_3)) = \emptyset \text{ for all } (x_1,x_2,x_3) \in B_4 \times B_4 \times B_4.
\]

\[
(G_4(10))_{12}((x_1,x_2)) = \emptyset \text{ for all } (x_1,x_2) \in B_4 \times B_4.
\]

\[
(G_4(12))_{12}(x_1) = \emptyset \text{ for all } x_1 \in B_4.
\]

The diagram of \( (A,F) \) is

![Diagram of (A,F)](image)

The diagram displays the various lists of prerequisites and parallels which lead to \( a_n \). Each particular choice can be provided for the student in the form of a diagram produced by defining more limited cascades in either \( (\vee B_i, \vee G_i) \) or \( (A,F) \). Two examples are
References


* In this paper ≤ is used to denote "less than or equal to", "Subnebula of", or "subset of", depending on the context.
New reading

Mathematical Foundations of Programming by Frank S Beckman. Published by Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1980. 443 pages, exercises, chapter references, index.

The phrase 'mathematical maturity' is used to describe a way of thinking that is required not only of computer scientists but also of programmers, not only of systems analysts, but also of managers of programming projects and thus also of dp managers. Very few people would like to attempt a definition of this desirable quality, but one may indicate a relatively painless way of acquiring it: Peruse the book under review.

In its breadth of coverage (with one omission noted below), in the topics dealt with, in the way all topics are related to actual or potential computing practice, the book contributes to the acquisition of the vitally important quality of mathematical maturity and also a quality that can only be termed algorithmic maturity. In other words, this book contributes to the appreciation of what can but also of what cannot be computed, and the various ways in which a computation may be characterised, realised, criticised, and analysed.

The author covers the area variously known as 'theory of computation' or 'meta-theory of computing', in a descriptive, almost intuitive, but mathematically sound fashion. The topics covered include: The concept 'effective'; functions and sets; recursive functions; computability and its limitations; automata and languages; and computational complexity.

In every chapter the author introduces the main topics by examples (so necessary for the development of the 'feel' for a subject) and then progresses through mathematical descriptions to applications. In the process many sidelines are touched upon which in textbooks are mentioned but then tantalisingly ignored. This is thus a book not only to be read but to be dipped into.

The practitioner in computing will find the book worth reading, not only to acquire the aforementioned maturity, but also to gain a background knowledge of the many developments in progress all over the world which go by the name 'fifth generation'. It is rather unfortunate, however, that the predicate logic receives but scant attention in the book since the Japanese fifth-generation R and D is based on Prolog-programming in logic. The research thrust in America, by the MCC, is to be based on a Lisp foundation and various aspects of this functional style are covered in the book.

For students taking a formal course at honours level in one or more topics covered by the author, the book should be recommended reading in order to put their field of study in perspective. For honours students not taking such topics, the book should be required reading.

STEF W POSTMA
Notes for Contributors

The purpose of this Journal will be to publish original papers in any field of computing. Papers submitted may be research articles, review articles, exploratory articles of general interest to readers of the Journal. The preferred languages of the Journal will be the congress languages of IFIP although papers in other languages will not be precluded.

Manuscripts should be submitted in triplicate to: Prof. G. Wiechers at:
Department of Computer Science
University of South Africa
P.O. Box 392
Pretoria 0001
South Africa

Form of manuscript
Manuscripts should be in double-space typing on one side only of sheets of A4 size with wide margins. The original ribbon copy of the typed manuscript should be submitted. Authors should write concisely. The first page should include the article title (which should be brief), the author’s name, and the affiliation and address. Each paper must be accompanied by a summary of less than 200 words which will be printed immediately below the title at the beginning of the paper, together with an appropriate key word list and a list of relevant Computing Review categories.

Tables and figures
Illustrations and tables should not be included in the text, although the author should indicate the desired location of each in the printed text. Tables should be typed on separate sheets and should be numbered consecutively and titled. Illustrations should also be supplied on separate sheets, and each should be clearly identified on the back in pencil with the Author’s name and figure number. Original line drawings (not photoprints) should be submitted and should include all relevant details. Drawings, etc., should be submitted and should include all relevant details. Drawings, etc., should be about twice the final size required and lettering must be clear and “open” and sufficiently large to permit the necessary reduction of size in block-making.

Where photographs are submitted, glossy bromide prints are required. If words or numbers are to appear on a photograph, two prints should be sent, the lettering being clearly indicated on one print only. Computer programs or output should be given on clear original printouts and preferably not on lined paper so that they can be reproduced photographically. Figure legends should be typed on a separate sheet and placed at the end of the manuscript.

Symbols
Mathematical and other symbols may be either handwritten or typewritten. Greek letters and unusual symbols should be identified in the margin. Distinction should be made between capital and lower case letters between the letter O and zero; between the letter l, the number one and prime; between K and kappa.

References
References should be listed at the end of the manuscript in alphabetical order of author’s name, and cited in the text by number in square brackets. Journal references should be arranged thus:


Proofs and reprints
Galley proofs will be sent to the author to ensure that the papers have been correctly set up in type and not for the addition of new material or amendment of texts. Excessive alterations may have to be disallowed or the cost charged against the author. Corrected galley proofs, together with the original typescript, must be returned to the editor within three days to minimize the risk of the author’s contribution having to be held over to a later issue.

Fifty reprints of each article will be supplied free of charge. Additional copies may be purchased on a reprint order form which will accompany the proofs.

Only original papers will be accepted, and copyright in published papers will be vested in the publisher.

Letters
A section of “Letters to the Editor” (each limited to about 500 words) will provide a forum for discussion of recent problems.

Hierdie notas is ook in Afrikaans verkrybaar.
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