## FOSSILISATION IN THE WRITTEN ENGLISH OF XHOSA - SPEAKING STUDENTS DURING THE FET PHASE

by

#### KAYA GIVEUS MALIWA

submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

# MASTER OF ARTS – WITH SPECIALISATION IN TESOL (TEACHING ENGLISH TO SPEAKERS OF OTHER LANGUAGES)

at the

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA

SUPERVISOR: MR P G SOUTHEY

JANUARY 2005

Student number: 570 -957 -1

I declare that FOSSILISATION IN THE WRITTEN ENGLISH OF XHOSA SPEAKING STUDENTS DURING THE FET PHASE is my own work and that all the sources that I have used or quoted have been indicated and acknowledged by means of complete references.

.....

SIGNATURE DATE

(MR K G MALIWA)

**SUMMARY** 

This study investigates error fossilisation in the written English of Xhosa - speaking

students. It is hypothesised that there is no statistically significant difference in the

language errors of two groups of Grade 10 and Grade 12 students.

Two randomly selected groups of 30 Grade 10 and 30 Grade 12 students in a rural

senior secondary school in the Eastern Cape province were required to write two

essays, of which the first two hundred words of each essay were marked. A

frequency count of errors was done and comparisons were made.

The findings indicate that the Grade 12s consistently made fewer errors. However,

the difference is only statistically significant in the case of prepositions and concord,

and is insignificant in tenses, pronouns and articles. The findings also show evidence

of fossilisation given the persistence of some of the errors. Certain features in the

student's language were not eradicated by the additional two years exposure to

English.

**Key terms** 

Fossilisation; Interlanguage; Errors; Transfer; Overgeneralization; Backsliding;

Stabilization; Second language; Mother tongue; Interference.

:::

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I sincerely acknowledge my indebtedness for the completion of this dissertation to my supervisor, Mr P. G. Southey who painstakingly guided me, raised many issues and encouraged me to soldier on.

I would like to thank the following people for the inestimable role they played in helping me conduct this research.

- ❖ The late Mr Madoda Nkani for the support and resources he generously provided.
- ❖ Mr Vuyisile Nkonki for the assistance he gave particularly with the statistical component of the study.
- \* The students who participated in the study.

Finally, I particularly thank my long suffering family for their support and understanding.

This study is dedicated to my children, Qaqamba, Lungisa and Thandolwethu.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### 1. CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND RATIONALE FOR STUDY

1.0	Introduction	1			
1.1	Need for the study				
1.2	The research problem	1			
1.3	Context and setting of the study	3			
1.4	Aims and objectives of the study	7			
1.5.	Definition of types of errors	7			
1.5.1	Concord	7			
1.5.2	Pronoun	7			
1.5.3	Articles	8			
1.5.4	Tenses	8			
1.5.5	Prepositions	8			
1.6	Hypotheses	8			
1.7	Research method	9			
1.7.1	The corpus	9			
1.7.2	Statistical procedure	10			
1.8	Structure of the study	10			
CHAPTER 2: INTERLANGUAGE AND FOSSILISATION: A LITERATURE					
SURVEY					
2.0	Introduction	12			
2.1	The Interlanguage Hypothesis	12			
2.2	Definitions and descriptions of interlanguage	12			
2.2.1	Selinker's definition of interlanguage	13			
2.2.2	Nemser's definition of interlanguage	14			
2.2.3	Corder's definition of interlanguage	14			
2.2.4	Descriptions of interlanguage	15			
2.3	The influence of the mother tongue	18			
2.4	Other influences	20			

..

2.5	Latent language structure	21
2.6	The relationship between an interlanguage and the target language	22
2.7	Fossilisation	23
2.7.1	Definitions and descriptions of fossilisation	23
2.7.2	Stephen Krashen's view of fossilisation	25
2.7.3	Other views on fossilisation	28
2.8	Research findings in second language	30
2.8.1	Grammatical features in L <sub>2</sub>	32
2.8.1.1	Articles	32
2.8.1.2	Prepositions	32
2.8.1.3	Pronouns	33
2.8.1.4	Concord	33
2.8.1.5	Tenses	33
2.8.1.6	The infinitive	33
2.9	Conclusion	34
	TER 3: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	25
3.0	Introduction	35
3.1	Research design	35
3.2	Rationale for hypotheses	36
3.3	Sampling design and techniques	37
3.3.1	The study population	37
3.3.2	Sampling	37
3.3.3	Description of subjects	38
3.4	Data collection procedures	38
3.4.1	The corpus	38
3.4.2	Procedure	39
3.4.3	Degree of explicitness	40
3.4.4	Reliability	40
3.4.5	Validity	41
3.5	Data capturing	42
3.6	Data analysis	42
3.7	Shortcomings	42

#### **CHAPTER 4: THE RESULTS**

4.0	Introduction	44
4.1	Statistical data	45
4.1.1	Error frequencies	45
4.1.2	Discussion of error frequencies	46
4.1.3	Measures of the statistical significance of differences	47
4.1.4	Discussion of the statistical significance of differences	48
4.1.5	The practical significance of the statistical significance	
	of differences between Grade 10 and Grade 12 errors	48
4.2	Analysis of the errors themselves	49
4.2.1	Tense errors	49
4.2.2	Concord errors	53
4.2.3	Article errors	54
4.2.4	Preposition errors	56
4.2.5	Pronoun errors	57
4.3	Correlation analysis	57
4.4	Concluding interpretations and discussion of the findings	61
CHAI	PTER 5: CONCLUSION	
5.0	Introduction	66
5.1	Review	66
5.1.1	Summary of major findings	67
5.1.2	Contribution of the study	68
5.1.3	Limitations of the study	69
5.2	Implications for classroom teaching	70
5.3	Implications for further research	71
5.4	Conclusion	71
BIBL	IOGRAPHY	72

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Error frequencies for Grade 10	45
Table 2	Error frequencies for Grade 12	45
Table 3	Mann – Whitney U- test for various errors	47
Table 4	Mann - Whitney U -test for total errors	47
Table 5	Percentage improvement and D-values	49
Table 6	Correlations for Grade 10 and Grade 12	59
<b>LIST OF FI</b> Figure 1.	GURES  Graphic presentation of error frequencies	46
Figure 2.	Scatter diagrams for Grade 10 and 12 correlations	60
APPENDIC	ES	79
Appendix 1	Samples of Grade 10 essays	79
Appendix 2	Samples of Grade 12 essays	90