

Table 3.1 (Annexure 3.1): Overview of various aspects of empirical work done on sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction

Part 1: Research variables

(Legend appears at the end of Part 1 of the table)

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		B. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Self-descriptions						C. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Ascribed by partner						D. RELATIONSHIP SATISFACTION						E. INSTRUMENT Sex-role identity	F. INSTRUMENT Relationship satisfaction
		husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both		
Findings published up to and including 1995																					
Agarwal & Srivastava	89	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	MAI	
Antill	83	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS	
Antill & Cotton	87	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS	
Apt & Hurlbert	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	√	-	-	-	-	SRI-30	APT/ISS	
Bahr & Day	78	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	Own	
Bailey, Hendrick x2	87	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	BSRI	-	
Baucom & Aiken	84	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	Baucom MF	MAS	
Berger	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	√/√	√/√	-	-	IMS	
Blanchard-F & Friedt	88	√n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS	
Bowen	89b	(√)	(√)	√m-t	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	(√)	-	-	-	Own (trad/mod)	MQS (own)	
Bowen & Orthner	83	-	-	√m-t	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	-	-	SRAS, own	MQS (own)	
Bradbury et al.	95	√M-F	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI, PAQ	MAT	
Cardell et al.	81	√	√	-	√/√	√/√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	√/√	√/√	-	BSRI	DAS (short-own)	
Chassin et al	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	MAT	
Condie & Doan	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	■	->	->	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cooper at al	85	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	(√)	-	-	-	BSRI, PAQ	MAT	
Davidson & Sollie	87	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS	
Dixon	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√s+m	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-item own	
Fowers	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	ENRICH, 1 item	
Frank et al	86	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√sx	-	-	-	-	BSRI	-	
Fuhrmann	89	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	BSRI	G+B scale	
Ganong & Coleman	87	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	BSRI	(SFBL)	
Gerber	91	agency and communion ->						√	√	-	-	-	(●)	given: abused/non-abused						BSRI, Kelly	-
Gerber	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	(●)	Given: happy, unhappy, neutral						BSRI	-
Gerber & Balkin	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	Own	-	
Harrington-Hill	92	√	√	(√)?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	(√)?	-	-	-	?	?	
Hiller & Philliber	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	Own	
House	86	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	-	-	Baucom MF	SMSI	
Johnson & Brems	89	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	BSRI	-(CPI,FIRO-B)	
Juni & Grimm	93	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	SMSI	
Juni & Grimm	94	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	SMSI	
Kurdek & Schmitt	86	√	√	-	√/√	√/√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	√/√	√/√	-	BSRI	Rubin,MSS,DAS	
Lamke et al	94	√	√	-	-	-	- <->	√	√	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	PAQ	RSS	
Lange et al	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	IPOV	

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		B. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Self-descriptions						C. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Ascribed by partner						D. RELATIONSHIP SATISFACTION						E. INSTRUMENT Sex-role identity	F. INSTRUMENT Relationship satisfaction
		husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both		
Langis et al	91	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS
Langis et al	94	√	√	-	-	-	- <->	√	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS
Li & Caldwell	87	-	-	√m-t	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	(√)?	-	-	-	(SRES)	DAS
Lueptow et al	89	-	√t	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	GSS (5-items)	1-item
Lye & Biblarz	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	1-item x3, own
MacDonald et al	87	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√intc	-	-	-	PAQ	intact vs divorced
Manheim	90	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√sex	√sx	-	-	-	-	BSRI	ISS
Martin	85	√	√	√m-t	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√sex	√	√	-	-	-	Own	DAS
McCann et al	90	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√exp	√ex	-	-	-	-	BSRI	?, 1-item
McHale & Crouter	92	√	√e-t	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	(ATWS)	MOQ, AML, RQ
Murstein & Williams	83	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS
Nelson	91	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI,ATWS	MAS
Obstfeld et al	85	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√sex	√sx	-	-	-	-	BSRI	Own
Parmelee	87	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS
Peters & Cantrell	93	-	√	-	√/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI,ATWS	ENRICH
Peterson et al	89	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	Baucom MF	DAS,MAS
Pleck et al	93	(√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√)int	-	-	-	-	-	MRAS,(MRNS)	Own
Pursell et al	81	√id	√id	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√egal	√eg	√eg	-	-	-	BSRI	Own
Radhika & Prakash	87	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	MSS
Ray	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	Own
Ray	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	Own
Rogler & Procidano	89	-	-	(√)e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(●)	-	√	-	-	-	-	Own	1-item x3
Rosenzweig & Dailey	89	√gs	√gs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√sex	√sx	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS, ISS
Sayers & Baucom	91	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√d	√d	√d	-	-	-	Baucom MF	DAS
Schaninger & Buss	86	√m	√m	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	-, own	-
Schreurs	93a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	√/-	√/-	-	-	1-item
Shachar	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	1-item
Shukla & Kapoor	90	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	(√)	-	-	-	BSRI	1-item x2
Siavelis & Lamke	92	√i-e	√i-e	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	PAQ	RAS
Terry & Scott	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	1-item
VanYperen & Buunk	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	■	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Own
Voelz	85	√t-m	√t-m	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(●)	-	-	(√)	-	-	-	-	?
Whitley	87	(√)	(√)	hetero att -> h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√/-)	(√/-)	-	ATWS,ATMRS,PAQ	(SRBS)
Williger	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	BSRI	-
Wyatt & Lyons -Rowe	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	√sx	-	-	-	-	-	-(WSHQ)
Yogev	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	DAS

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		B. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Self-descriptions						C. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Ascribed by partner						D. RELATIONSHIP SATISFACTION						E. INSTRUMENT Sex-role identity	F. INSTRUMENT Relationship satisfaction
		husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both		
Zammichieli et al	88	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	-	-	BSRI	MAS/MAT
No of times topic is covered		39	45	16	3/2	2/2	-	6	6	-	-	-	(28)	49	55	23	5/4	5/4	-	BSRI	33(33/53 known)
As % of 71 studies		55	63	23	4/3	3/3	-	8	8	-	-	-	(39)	69	77	32	7/6	7/6	-	BSRI	46 (62)
* Altrocchi	88	-	-	(t-m)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	1-item
** Frable	89	(n.a.)	(n.a.)	-	-	-	-	(n.a.)	(n.a.)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(BSRI)	-
Findings published since 1996 (including some released late in 1995)																					
Acitelli et al	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	6-items, own
Amato & Rogers	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	-	? (scales by Johnson)
Aube & Koestner	95	(√i-e)	(√i-e)	√(i-e)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	(√)	√	-	-	-	ATWS, PAQ	SRBS, DAS
Aube et al	95	√(+co)	√(+co)	-	-	-	-	√(+co)	√(+co)	-	-	-	-	√(+co)	-(+co)	-	-	-	-	PAQ	SRBS (short), DAS, RIR
Bereczkei & Csanaky	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√(n-cpl)	√	-	-	-	-	-	6-items, own
Blum & Mehrabian	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	MSS(2)
Bodenmann	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	MNSS
Bodenmann et al	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	MNSS
Bodenmann et al	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	PFB
Bograd & Spilka	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√(n-cpl)	√	√	-	-	-	-	MAS
Bollman et al	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	KMSS
Botwin et al	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	7-items, own
Bouchard et al	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	DAS
Bouchard et al	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	DAS
Burgoyne	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●(■)	-	-	-	-/√	-/√	-/√	-	RAM-SCC
Burleson & Denton	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	DAS, PFQ
Byrne & Arias	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	(← as dep. Variable)	-	-	-	-	DAS
Call & Heaton	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	1-item, own
Camp & Ganong	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	MCLI
Cramer	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√(n-cpl)	√	√	-	-	-	-	RAS
Cramer & Westergren	99	√(n-cpl)	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	BSRI, TAT	-
Dillaway & Broman	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	4-items, own
Edelmann & Connolly	96	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ (d)	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	DAS
Fincham et al	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	QMI
Finkenauer & Hazam	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ (or)	√	-	-	-	-	-	MAT
Fowers et al	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	(√)	(√)	√	-	-	-	-	ENRICH
Geist & Gilbert	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	MRI
Gordon et al	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	DAS
Gottman et al	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	MAT
Greeff & De Bruyne	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	ENRICH
Greeff & Le Roux	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	MSS

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		B. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Self-descriptions						C. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Ascribed by partner						D. RELATIONSHIP SATISFACTION						E. INSTRUMENT Sex-role identity	F. INSTRUMENT Relationship satisfaction
		husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both		
Greeff & Malherbe	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	ENRICH
Greenfield & Thelen	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	√/√	√/√	-	1-item own ?
Hendrix	97	(√)	(√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	(√)	-	-	-	-	Ethnographic (role-segregation)	Same (intimacy)
Hojjat	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	DAS
Honeycutt	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	309
Huppé & Cyr	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	QMI
James & Hunsley	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	DAS
Johnson & Booth	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	DAS, KMSS
Jordan & Deluty	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	- (incl n-cpl) →	√/-	√/-	√/-	-	-	Own items
Karney & Bradbury	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	DAS
Kaslow & Robison	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	MAT,QMI,KMSS,SMD
Keelan et al	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	4-item own
Kosek	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	MAT
Kurdek	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	KMSS
Kurdek	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	√/√	√/√	√/√	(MDRCI #) commitment
Kurdek	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	√/√	√/√	√/√	KMSS(+own-intimacy)
Kurdek	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	√	-	-	√/√	DAS, KMSS
Meeks et al	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	RAS
Meyer	97	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	Unknown
Mitchell & Gee	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	1-item own
Montgomery & Sorell	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	RAS
Moore et al	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√+ n-cpl	√	√	-	-	-	DAS
Murray et al	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	4-item own
Myers & Booth	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	-	(√)	-	-	-	Unknown (own?)
Negy & Snyder	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	MSI-R
Nemechek & Olson	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	√	-	-	-	DAS
Noller et al (2 studies)	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√+n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	QMI, MSI
Norton	95	√ n-cpl	√	-	√/√	√/√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI
Norris, Snyder & Rice	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√+n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	MSI
Oppenheim et al	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	DAS
Pléchaty	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√+n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	MAS
Rankin-Esquer et al	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	DAS
Reynolds et al	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	KMSS
Richmond	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	4-item own

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		B. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Self-descriptions						C. SEX-ROLE IDENTITY Ascribed by partner						D. RELATIONSHIP SATISFACTION						E. INSTRUMENT Sex-role identity	F. INSTRUMENT Relationship satisfaction
		husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both	husb	wife	cong	l/h	l/h	both		
Ridge & Feeney	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√ n-cpl	√	-	√/√	√/√	-	-	QMI
Robins et al	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	14-item own
Rowan et al	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	DAS
Ruvolo & Veroff	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	5-item own
Ryles	95	(√ n-cpl	√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	√ n-cpl	√	-	-	-	-	BSRI	(Fear of Intimacy Scale)
Sacco & Phares	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	310
Schreurs & Buunk	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	√/-	√/-	-	-	QMI
Schumm et al	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	(√)	(√)	√	-	-	-	-	RS
Shackelford & Buss	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	1-item own
Sokolski & Hendrick	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	3-item own
Sprecher et al	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	RAS
Stevens et al	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	2-item own
Street et al	95	(√ n-cpl	√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	SRTI	DAS (7 items only)
Sugihara & Katsurada	99	(√ n-cpl	√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	BSRI	-
Sussman & Alexander	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	DAS
Teichner & Farnden-Lyster	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	DAS
Todt-Stockman	00	(√ n-cpl	√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	PAQ	-
Watson et al	00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	MAT, QMI, SRQ, DAS
Weigel et Ballard-Reich	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	KMSS
Weingourt	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	√	-	-	√/-	-	-	IMS
Wilkie et al	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	2-item own
Williams et al	99	(√ n-cpl	√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	-	-	-	-	-	Adjective Checklist (ACL)	-
Wilson et al	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	DAS
Zak & McDonald	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	●	-	√	(both n-cpl)	√/-	-	-	-	RAS (shortened)
No of times topic is covered		12	12	1	1/1	1/1	-	1	1	-	-	-	(82)	73	73	26	6/5	8/5	4/4	BSRI	5 (5/12 known)
As % of 89 studies		13	13	1	1/1	1/1	-	1	1	-	-	-	(92)	82	82	29	7/6	9/6	4/4	BSRI	6 (42)
																					DAS 24(24/82 known)
																					DAS 27 (29)

* Added later, for interesting point on traditional *vis-à-vis* companionship couples, but not analysed as part of the initial 71 pre-1996 studies.

** Added later, for link between sex-role identity and attitudes, but not analysed as part of the initial 71 pre-1996 studies.

Legend

Sex-role identity:

att = attitudes
hetero = heterosexual

cong = congruence
husb = husband

e = egalitarian
i-e = instrumental/expressive

F = femininity
id = ideal mates

gs = global and sexual
l = lesbian

h = homosexual
m = modern

M = masculinity n-cpl = non-couples t = traditional

Relationship satisfaction:

d = distress eg/egal = egalitarian ex/exp = expected int = intimacy intc = intact l = lesbian
m = marital n-cpl = non-couples s/sx/sex = sexual

Instrument - sex-role identity:

ATMRS = Attitudes Toward the Male's Role Scale (Doyle & Moore, 78)
Baucom MF = Baucom Personality Scales (Baucom, 76)
GSS = General Social Survey
mod = modern
MRNS = Male Role Norm Scale (Thompson & Pleck, 86)
SRAS = Sex-Role Attitude Scale (modern/traditional)
SRI-30 = Sex-Role Ideology Scale (attitudes)
TAT = Thematic Apperception Test

ATWS = Attitudes Toward Women Scale (Spence & Helmreich, 72)
BSRI = Bem Sex Role Inventory (Bem, nn)
Kelly = Negative Masc. & Fem. Scales (Kelly, 71) (BSRI)
MRAS = Male Role Attitudes Scale (adapted from MRNS)
PAQ = Personal Attributes Questionnaire (Spence, Helmreich and Stapp, 74)
SRES = Sex-Role Egalitarianism Scale (Beere et al, 84)
SRTI = Sex Role Trait Inventory (Street & Meek, 80)
trad = traditional

311

Instrument - relationship satisfaction:

AML = Aspects of Married Life (Huston, McHale & Crouter, 86)
CPI = California Personality Inventory
ENRICH = (Olson, Fournier & Druckman, 83)
G+B scale = Gilford and Bengtson scale (79)
IPOV = Interactionele Probleem Oplossings Vragenlijst (Lange, 83)
KMSS = Kansas Marital Satisfaction Scale (Schumm et al, 86; Touliatos et al, 90)
MAS = Marital Adjustment Scale (Locke & Wallace, 59)
MCLI = Marital Comparison Level Index (Sabatelli, 84)
MOQ = Marriage Opinion Questionnaire (Huston, McHale & Crouter, 86)
MRI = Marital Relationship Inventory (Locke & Williamson, 58)
MSS = Marital Satisfaction Scale (Raach, Frazier & Bowden, 81, 87)
PFB = *Partnerschaftsfragebogen* (Partnership Questionnaire) (Hahlweg, 96)
QMI = Quality Marital Index (Norton, 83)
RAS = Relationship Assessment Scale / short (Hendrick, 88 / Roach, 81)
RIS = Relationship Interaction Satisfaction (Buunk, 90)
RSS = Relationship Satisfaction Scale (Hendrick, 88)
SFBL = Scale of Feelings and Behaviours of Love (Swenson, 61)
SMSI = Snyder Marital Satisfaction Inventory (81)
SRQ = SMU Relationship Questionnaire ## (Assenheimer & Watson, 91)

APT = Affectionate Partners Test
DAS = Dyadic Adjustment Scale (Spanier, 76)
FIRO-B = Fundamental Interpersonal Relations Orientation - Behavior (Schutz)
IMS = Index of Marital Satisfaction (Hudson, 81)
ISS = Index of Sexual Satisfaction (Hudson, 82)
MAI = Marital Adjustment Inventory
MAT = Marital Adjustment Test(s) (Locke & Wallace, 59; Krokoff, 87)
MNSS = Marital Needs Satisfaction Scale (Stinnet, Collins & Montgomery, 70)
MQS = Marital Quality Scale (Bowen, 89b) - derived from DAS
MSI(-R) = Marital Satisfaction Inventory (Snyder, 79) (- Revised, Snyder, 97)
MSS(2) = Marital Satisfaction Scale (Blum & Mehrabian, 99)
PFQ = Positive Feeling Questionnaire (O'Leary, Fincham & Turkewitz, 83)
RAM-SCC = Relationship Assessment Measure for Same-Sex Couples
RIR = Rochester Interaction Record (Wheeler & Nezlek, 77)
RQ = Relationship Questionnaire (Braiker & Kelly, 79)
Rubin = Love and Liking Scales
SMD = Semantic (Marriage) Differential (Osgood, Suci & Tannenbaum, 57)
SRBS = Sex-Role Behavior Scale (Orlofsky, Ramsden & Cohen, 82)
WSHQ = Wyatt Sex History Questionnaire

MDRCI = Multidimensional Determinants of Relationship Commitment Inventory (Kurdek, 97)

SRQ comprises *Intimacy* and *Conflict* scales

Own 1-item or limited-item scales:

Would you marry the same partner again if you had the chance?
Do you think you'll be satisfied with your marriage?
Have you contemplated divorce as an end to your marriage?
I am happy when I'm with my partner. (Agree? / Disagree?)
Rate your marital happiness/success on a ten-point scale.

How satisfied are you with (do you feel about) your marriage?
How would you describe your marriage? Would you say that (3-point scale)?
During the previous year, did you consider your marriage to be in trouble?
How satisfied / happy / equitable / stable do you feel your marriage is?
There is a great deal of love and affection expressed in our marriage. (4-point scale)

I enjoy the time I spend with my spouse. (5-point scale)
I sometimes think of divorcing or separating from my spouse. (4-point scale)
I am extremely happy with my current relationship.
Are you happy in your marriage?
How do you get on with your partner?
Thinking about things altogether, how would you say you feel about your marriage?

My spouse doesn't treat me as well as I deserve to be treated. (4-point scale)
There have been things that have happened in our marriage that I can never forgive. (4-point scale)
I do not feel that my current relationship is successful.
Are you satisfied with your partner?
Things go well between us.
(Should you decide to break off ...) To what extent would you be giving up a very satisfying relationship?

Other:

Johnson and colleagues' (around 1986) multi-dimensional scales for marital happiness, quality and conflict

Table 3.1 (continued)

Part 2: Other variables and information

(Legend appears at the end of Part 2 of the table)

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		G. TYPE OF RESPONDENT				H. INTRA-PERSONAL						I. EXTRA-PERSONAL					J. SAMPLE (n)		K. COUNTRY LONGITUDINAL	L. STATIST. TECHNIQUE		
		Simul	Pre-mar	Mar	Non-mar	Cogn	Emo	Att	Prs	Com	Prc	Age	Sex	SES	Fam	Job	Hlt	Stud			Non-stud	
Findings published up to and including 1995																						
Antill	83	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	√	√	rl/ed	-	√	(216)	Australia	regr,ANOVA	
Antill & Cotton	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	√	√	-	-	√	(216)	Australia	stpwr,ANOVA	
Apt & Hurlbert	93	-	-	√	-	-	-	√sex	-	-	√	-	√	√	√	rce	-	√	(120)	-	t,r,ANOVA	
Agarwal & Srivastava	89	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ed	-	√	(300)	India	X-square	
Bahr & Day	78	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	√	√	√	ed	-	-	(1080)	US (Mormons)	mult regr	
Bailey, Hendrick x2	87	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-		US Texas	r,ANOVA	
Baucom & Aiken	84	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√		US S-W	r,stpwdiscr	
Berger	90	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	√		US	R	
Blanchard-F & Friedt	88	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	√		US S-E	r,MANOVA,rg	
Bowen	89b	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(1856)	Military	regr,r	
Bowen & Orthner	83	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	√	(662)	Military	ANOVA	
Bradbury et al.	95	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√		US (Lngt-1 yr)	r,mult regr	
Cardell et al.	81	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	(30)	-	T	
Chassin et al	85	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	√		US	dsa,cnr,ANOVA	
Condie & Doan	78	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	RS<-	√rls	-	ed	-	√	(336)	US (Mormons)	T	
Cooper at al	85	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	√	-	√		US	MANOVA,F	
Davidson & Sollie	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	√		US S-W	t,plnd comp	
Dixon	85	-	-	√bi-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	√	-	-	√	(100)	US white	T	
Fowers	91	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	√	√	√	-	√	ed	√	(7261)	US national	r,t,alp,(M)ANOVA	
Frank et al	86	-	- 1/2	√un	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	rl	√	√	(155)	US Florida	MANOVA
Fuhrmann	89	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√55	-	-	√55	-	-	-	√	(120)	US S-California	Regression	
Ganong & Coleman	87	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	(141)	US Midwest	(M)ANOVA	
Gerber	91	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	(96)	US East	fct an,ANOVA	
Gerber	87	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(120)	US	X-sq,ANOVA	
Gerber & Balkin	77	√(un)	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(168)	US	X-sq,ANOVA	
Harrington-Hill	92	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	(√)?		US? Houston	Lin models	
Hiller & Philliber	86	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	√	√	-	-	√	(978)	US Midwest	fr,%,mult regr	
House	86	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	ed	-	√		US ?	ANCOVA	
Johnson & Brems	89	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(140)	Alaska	mult regr	
Juni & Grimm	93	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	√	-	ed	-	√	(300)	US New York	M,SD,r	
Juni & Grimm	94	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(96)	US New York	M,SD,r,ANVA	
Kurdek & Schmitt	86	-	-	√co	√	-	√	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(370)	US	MNVA,st m r	
Lamke et al	94	-	√	-	-	-	√?	-	√?	√	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	√		US Alabama	LISREL	
Lange et al	90	-	-	√co	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	√		Netherlands	t,ANOVA	
Langis et al	91	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√		Montreal	pol m rg, r	

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		G. TYPE OF RESPONDENT				H. INTRA-PERSONAL					I. EXTRA-PERSONAL						J. SAMPLE (n)		K. COUNTRY	L. STATIST.		
		Simul	Pre-mar	Mar	Non-mar	Cogn	Emo	Att	Prs	Com	Prc	Age	Sex	SES	Fam	Job	Hlt	Stud	Non-stud	LONGITUDINAL	TECHNIQUE	
Langis et al	94	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√		Montreal	pol m rg, r
Li & Caldwell	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	ed	-	√	(134)	US Midwest	mult regr
Lueptow et al	89	-	-	√dv	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	ed	-	√		US national	r,F-ratios
Lye & Biblarz	93	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	(2800)	US national	M,SD,r,ANCV
MacDo nald et al	87	-	-	√dv	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	√	√	√	√	-	-	√	(270)	US	F,X-sq,ANVA
Manheim	90	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√		US	r,MANOVA
Martin	85	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(206)	US Mississippi	hier regr
McCann et al	90	√-->		(√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	-	-	√	-	(94)	US Alberta Univ	ANOVA
McHale & Crouter	92	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	√	(306)	US - L 1-3 yrs	ANOVA
Murstein & Williams	83	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(164)	US Rhode Island	ANOVA,t
Nelson	91	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√m>f	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	(34)	US	mult regr
Obstfeld et al	85	-	-	√co	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(122)	US Memphis	r,MANOVA
Parmelee	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√j	-	ed	-	√	(220)	US California	(M)ANOVA
Peters & Cantrell	93	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	√	(78)	US S-E	X-sq
Peterson et al	89	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(568)	US Texas N-Car	X-sq,MNOVA
Pleck et al	93	-	(√)	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	-	(1800)	US National	M,SD
Pursell et al	81	√-->		(√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-		US Midwest	ANOVA
Radhika & Prakash	87	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	(120)	India	X-sq
Ray	88	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√		US West	part r
Ray	90	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	√	-	√	√	√	ed	-	√		US West	r,t
Rogler & Procidano	89	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	√	(400)	Puerto Rico	R
Rosenzweig & Dailey	89	-	-	√co	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(299)	US Midwest	ANOVA
Sayers & Baucom	91	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(120)	US N-Carol	t,r
Schaninger & Buss	86	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	ed	-	√		US Chicago	fact,r,LISREL
Schreurs	93a	-	-	√co	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√		Netherlands	t,r,MANOVA
Shachar	91	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	√	√	√	√	rl	-	√	(412)	Israel	pt an, LISRL
Shukla & Kapoor	90	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√m	-	√	-	-	√		India	X-sq,r
Siavelis & Lamke	92	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	(393)	US South	r,mult regr
Terry & Scott	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	√		Australia	T
VanYperen & Buunk	91	-	-	√co	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	√	(580)	Netherlands	r,(M)ANOVA
Voelz	85	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(80)	US Louisiana	?
Whitley	87	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-		US Indiana	t,r, regr
Williger	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(246)	US Saint Louis	?
Wyatt & Lyons-Rowe	90	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	ed	-	√		LA (Africn Amer)	r,factor an
Yogev	87	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	(478)	US Chicago	r,mult regr
Zammichieli	88	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√		US Maryland	ANOVA
																	*ed=13(18%)		*not reported = 26(37%)			

*ed=13(18%)

*not reported = 26(37%)

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		G. TYPE OF RESPONDENT				H. INTRA-PERSONAL						I. EXTRA-PERSONAL						J. SAMPLE (n)			K. COUNTRY LONGITUDINAL		L. STATIST. TECHNIQUE
		Simul	Pre-mar	Mar	Non-mar	Cogn	Emo	Att	Prs	Com	Prc	Age	Sex	SES	Fam	Job	Hlt	Stud	Non-stud				
No of times topic is covered		8	5	58	5	2	6	19	14	11	7	15	21	17	30	29	1*	19	55 (<150)	17*	53 (=US)	-	
As % of 71 studies		11	7	82	7	3	8	27	20	15	10	21	30	24	42	41	1*	27	77	24*	75	-	
314																							
* Altrocchi	88	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	√	-	rl	-	√	(84/44)	US (West) L 4 yrs	fr, qualitative	
** Frable	89	-	(n.a.)	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(192)	US Illinois	MANOVA	
Findings published since 1996 (including some released late in 1995)																							
Acitelli et al	97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	√	-	√	-	√	-	rce	-	√	(438)	US Michigan L=3yrs	mult regr	
Amato & Rogers	99	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	(√)	√	-	√	(√)	rce,ed	-	√	(1291/1032)	US national L=8yrs	str eq mod	
Aube & Koestner	95	-	-	√(co)	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	(√)	ed	?	√	(186/92)	Canada L=1yr	r,MNVA,mult regr	
Aube et al	95	-	-	√(co)	-	-	√	√	(√)	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	(56)	(Canada?)	t, r, mult regr	
Bereczkei & Csanaky	96	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	ed	-	√	(1831)	Hungary	M, SD, t, r	
Blum & Mehrabian	99	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	√	√	√	√	ed	-	√	(332)	US Los Angeles	t, r, regr	
Bodenmann	95	(√)	-	√ (co)	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(140/76)	Germany? L=1yr	ds a, MNVA, m l r	
Bodenmann et al	97	-	-	√(co)	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	(√)	-	-	-	-	-	√	(80)	Swiss	r, ANOVA	
Bodenmann et al	98	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	(426)	German, Swiss	r	
Bograd & Spilka	96	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	(125)	US Colorado	r, MANOVA	
Bollman et al	97	-	-	√	-	-	-	(√)	-	-	(√)	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	√	(362)	US Kansas	r, ANOVA	
Botwin et al	97	-	(√)1	√2	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	(√)	-	ed,rl	-	√	(214)	US Michigan	r,M,hier mult regr	
Bouchard et al	99	-	-	√(co)	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(892)	Canada (French)	t,r,MNVA,hier regr	
Bouchard et al	98	-	-	√(co)	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(1012/190/216)	Same L=4&18 mths	t,r,MNVA,pol m rg	
Burgoyne	01	-	-	-	√ h	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(70/64)	Canada	M, SD, t	
Burleson & Denton	97	-	-	√	-	(√)	√	-	-	√	(√)	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(120)	US (Purdue Univ.)	M,r,ANVA,MNVA	
Byrne & Arias	97	-	-	√	-	(√)	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(132)	US Georgia	r, mult regr	
Call & Heaton	97	-	-	√ (co)	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	√	ed,rl	-	√	(4587)	US national	X-sq, log regr	
Camp & Ganong	97	-	-	√	-	(√)	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(274)	US Midwest	ANOVA	
Cramer	00	(√)	(√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	(95)	England	part r	
Cramer & Westergren	99	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	(60)	US?	ANOVA	
Dillaway & Broman	01	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	√	√	√	√	ed,	-	√	(492)	US (from national)	regr	
Edelmann & Connolly	96	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	(√)	-	-	√	(255)	England	M, F	
Fincham et al	00	-	-	√	-	√	-	(√)	(√)	√	(√)	-	√	-	√	(√)	-	-	√	(260-216)	US Midwest L=6-18mths	str eq mod, LISRL	
Finkenauer & Hazam	00	-	-	√	-	-	√	(√)	-	√	(√)	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	(73)	Belgium	hier mult regr	
Fowers et al	96	-	(√)	√	-	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	rl	-	√	(786)	US (Texas/Florida?)	cluster an,	

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE		G. TYPE OF RESPONDENT				H. INTRA-PERSONAL						I. EXTRA-PERSONAL						J. SAMPLE (n)		K. COUNTRY LONGITUDINAL	L. STATIST. TECHNIQUE	
		Simul	Pre-mar	Mar	Non-mar	Cogn	Emo	Att	Prs	Com	Prc	Age	Sex	SES	Fam	Job	Hlt	Stud	Non-stud			
Geist & Gilbert	96	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(112)	US Florida	ANVA
Gordon et al	99	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(774)	US North Carolina	r, t regr
Gottman et al	98	√	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(358/260)	US Washingt L=6yrs	ANCOVA
Greeff & De Bruyne	00	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	(√)	-	- (rl)	-	√	(114)	South Africa	M, SD, Wilk's ē
Greeff & Le Roux	98	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	(√)	-	-	-	√	(62)	South Africa	r, t
Greeff & Malherbe	01	-	-	√	-	-	(√)	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	(114)	South Africa	r, t, ANOVA
Greenfield & Thelen	97	-	-	-	√ hl	-	√	-	√	(√)	-	√	√	-	-	-	ed	-	√	(97)	US Missouri	part r, ANCOVA
Hendrix	97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(?)	186 societies	Regr
Hojjat	00	-	-	√(co)	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(120+84)	US Massachusetts	r, mult regr
Honeycutt	99	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	(√)	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(144)	US Louisiana	315 regr,ds a,cn r,X- sq
Huppé & Cyr	97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	√	(230)	Canada	M/ANOVA, mult regr
James & Hunsley	95	-	-	√(co)	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(209+187)	Canada	regr, p t a
Johnson & Booth	98	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(138)	US national L=12yrs	r, regr, t
Jordan & Deluty	00	-	-	-	√ l	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	(305)	US Illinois	hier mult regr, pt an
Karney & Bradbury	97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(120)	US California L=4yrs	HLM, gca, t, X- sq
Kaslow & Robison	96	-	-	√	-	-	-	(√)	(√)	(√)	√	(√)	(√)	(√)	(√)	(√rl,ed)	-	-	√	(114)	US Florida	%, fr
Keelan et al	98	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	(165)	Canada	ANOVA, t
Kosek	96	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(214)	US Maryland	R
Kurdek	95	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(310+206)	US (Dayton) L=2yrs	r, hier mult regr
Kurdek	97	-	-	√	√ l/h	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	√	√	√	-	-ed	-	√	(310)	US (Dayton)	r, hier mult(-l) regr
Kurdek	98	-	-	√	√ l/h	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	√	√	√	-	-ed	-	√	(476+234)	US (Dayton) L=2yrs	r, hier lin regr, X-sq
Kurdek	01	-	-	√	√ l/h	-	-	-	√	√	-	√	-	√	√	-	-ed	-	√	(450)	US (Dayton)	HLM
Meeks et al	98	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	(280)	US South-West	r,ANOVA,hier regr
Meyer	97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	(192)	US Missouri	mult regr
Mitchell & Gee	96	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	√	-	√	(172)	Canada	r, X-sq, log regr
Montgomery & Sorell	97	-	√	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	(√)	√	-	√	-	ed,rl	-	√	(250)	US Texas	r,ANOVA,ANCOVA
Moore et al	01	-	√	√(co)	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	(174)	Australia	r, MANOVA
Murray et al	01	-	√	√(co)	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	(242/210)	US New York	regr,X-sq,str eq mod
Myers & Booth	96	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	√	(1520)	US national L=12yrs	fct an, regr
Negy & Snyder	00	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	rce	-	√	(426)	US Southwest	(mult)ANCOVA
Nemechek & Olson	96	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	(198)	US	chi-square

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE	G. TYPE OF RESPONDENT				H. INTRA-PERSONAL						I. EXTRA-PERSONAL						J. SAMPLE (n)		K. COUNTRY LONGITUDINAL	L. STATIST. TECHNIQUE
	Simul	Pre-mar	Mar	Non-mar	Cogn	Emo	Att	Prs	Com	Prc	Age	Sex	SES	Fam	Job	Hlt	Stud	Non-stud		
Noller et al (2 studies) 97	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	√	√	-	√	-	ed,rl	√	√ (355/168)	Australia	fact, r, mult /hier regr
Norton 95	-	-	√	√ l/h	-	(√)	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (62)	US Massachusetts	(r ?)
Norris, Snyder & Rice 97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√ (3140)	US Texas +?	ANOVA
Oppenheim et al 96	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (98)	Israel & US? L=2yrs	M, SD, r
Pléchaty 96	-	-	√(co)	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	√ (92)	Canada	r, hier regr
Rankin-Esquer et al 97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (282)	US Northeast	t, r, regr
Reynolds et al 96	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (135)	US Kentucky	ANVA,X-sq,hier regr
Richmond 95	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	- US West Virginia	r, regr, ANOVA
Ridge & Feeney 98	-	-	-	√ l/h	-	√	(√)	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	(√) (327)	Australia	ds a, (M)ANOVA
Robins et al 00	-	√	√(co)	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (720)	England	r, mult reg
Rowan et al 95	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√ (60)	US Southeast	r, hier regr
Ruvolo & Veroff 97	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	rce	-	√ (746/616)	US Michigan L=2yrs	t, r, (mult) regr
Ryles 95	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	ed,rce	√	- (210)	US California	r
Sacco & Phares 01	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (198)	US Flo rida	r,hier regr,ANOVA
Schreurs & Buunk 96	-	-	-	√ l	-	√	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√ (238)	Netherlands	r, mult regr, MNVA
Schumm et al 98	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (10548)	US national	t (paired)
Shackelford & Buss 00	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (214)	US Midwest	r
Sokolski & Hendrick 99	-	-	√	-	-	-	(√)	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	- (320)	US Southwest	r 316
Sprecher et al 95	-	√	√(co)	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	- (188)	US Midwest	r, hier/mult regr
Stevens et al 01	-	-	√(co)	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	(√)	-	-	√ (312)	US Rocky Mount.	r, regr, pt an
Street et al 95	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	-	-	-	-	√	- (2990)	US Florida	M,SD,fct an, ANVA
Sugihara & Katsurada99	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	-	-	-	-	√	- (265)	Japan	M, SD, t, fct an
Sussman & Alexander99	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	rl,rce	-	√ (170)	US (Indiana?)	r, mult regr
Teichner & Farnden-Lyster97	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√ (98)	US Florida	r, F (ANOVA)
Todt-Stockman 00	-	√	(√)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	√	√ (757)	US Texas	fr, M
Watson et al 00	-	√	√	-	-	√	-	√	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√ (420)	US Iowa	r, hier mult regr
Weigel et Ballard-Reich 99	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	(√)	-	-	-	-	-	√ (258)	US West	str eq mod
Weingourt 98	-	-	√	√ l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√ (94)	US Massachusetts	X-square
Williams et al 99	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(√)	-	-	-	-	√	- (±2500)	25 nations	M, %, (M)ANOVA
Wilkie 98	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	-	√	√	√	√	ed	-	√ (764)	US Connecticut	pt an, regr, F
Wilson et al 97	-	-	√	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	√	√	-	-	-	-	-	√ (204)	US Southeast	r, hier mult regr
Zak & McDonald 97	-	-	-	√ l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	√	- (100)	US Northeast	ANOVA
*ed=16(18%) *not reported = 2(2%)																				
No of times topic is covered	5	13	75	11	6	21	16	26	39	15	25	75	8	28	14	3*	19	77 (<150)29*	60 (=US)	-

A. AUTHOR(S) & DATE	G. TYPE OF RESPONDENT				H. INTRA-PERSONAL						I. EXTRA-PERSONAL						J. SAMPLE (n)			K. COUNTRY	L. STATIST.
	Simul	Pre-mar	Mar	Non-mar	Cogn	Emo	Att	Prs	Com	Prc	Age	Sex	SES	Fam	Job	Hlt	Stud	Non-stud	LONGITUDINAL	TECHNIQUE	
As % of 89 studies	6	15	84	12	7	24	18	29	44	17	28	84	9	31	16	3*	21	87	33*	67	-

* Added later, for interesting point on traditional *vis-à-vis* companionship couples, but not analysed as part of the initial 71 pre-1996 studies.

** Added later, for link between sex-role identity and attitudes, but not analysed as part of the initial 71 pre-1996 studies.

Legend

Type of respondent:

bi- = bi-sexual
Pre-mar = pre-marital

co = cohabiting
Simul = simulation

dv = divorced
un = unmarried

Mar = marital

Non-mar = non-marital

Intra-personal:

Att = attitudes
Prs = personality

Cog = cognition

Com = communication

Emo = emotions

Prc = perceptions

Extra-personal:

55 = 55 years and older
Job = career status
rls = roles

ed = educational level
m = middle level
RS = relationship satisfaction

Fam = family stage
m>f = males more than females
SES = socio-economic status

Hlt = health status
rce = race

j = newly weds
rl = religion

Sample:

n = number of respondents

stud = student

317

Country/longitudinal:

Africn Amer = African American
N = North

E = East
S = South

L/Lngt = length (duration) of longitudinal research
W = West

Statistical technique:

% = percentages
cn = canonical
gca = growth curve analysis
log = logistic
m l r = mult lin regr
pt an = path analysis
st m r = stepwise mult regr
X-sq/square = chi-square

alp = alpha coefficient
ds a = discriminant analysis
hier = hierarchical
M = mean
lpart = partial
r = correlation
stpwdiscr = stepwise ds a

an = analysis
F = F-test
HLM = hier lin modelling
MANOVA/MNOVA/MNVA = multiple analysis of variance
plnd comp = planned comparisons
regr = regression
stpwr = stepwise regression

ANCOVA/ANCV = an of covariance
fact/fct an = factor analysis
lin = linear
ANOVA/ANVA = analysis of variance
fr = frequencies
LISRL – LISREL
mult(-l) = multiple (including multi-level)
p t a = polynomial trends analysis
SD = standard deviation
t = t-test

ANOVA/ANVA = analysis of variance
fr = frequencies
LISRL – LISREL
mult(-l) = multiple (including multi-level)
p t a = polynomial trends analysis
SD = standard deviation
t = t-test

