

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1:	Terminology used to refer to sex-role identity type and trait configurations	20
Table 2.2:	Terminology related to the analysis / etiology of the sex-role identity dynamics underlying relationship satisfaction	21
Table 2.3:	Sex-role identity components or traits of psychological gender	44
Table 2.4:	Symbols for four possible sex-role identity types that individuals can hold	45
Table 2.5:	Homo-gender sex-role identity relationships	45
Table 2.6:	Hetero-gender sex-role identity relationships	45
Table 2.7:	Mixed sex-role identity relationships: homo-gender masculinity and hetero-gender femininity	45
Table 2.8:	Mixed sex-role identity relationships: homo-gender femininity and hetero-gender masculinity	45
Table 3.1:	Overview of various aspects of empirical work done on sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction (Reported as Annexure 3.1)	320
Table 3.2:	Marital adjustment scores of both spouses for 16 sex-role identity type configurations	59
Table 8.1:	Number of respondents and couples in each target group (sub-sample)	204
Table 8.2:	Number of respondents according to relationship status by target group (sub-sample)	205
Table 8.3:	Number of male and female respondents by target group (sub-sample)	205
Table 8.4:	Number of respondents according to family or relationship stage by target group (sub-sample)	205
Table 8.5:	Number of children living with couples (partners) by target group (sub-sample)	206
Table 8.6:	Number of years that partners spent in their relationship by target group (sub-sample)	206
Table 8.7:	Number of partners according to age at previous birthday by target group (sub-sample)	206
Table 8.8:	Number of partners in each population group by target group (sub-sample)	207
Table 8.9:	Number of partners according to mother tongue by target group (sub-sample)	207
Table 8.10:	Number of partners according to living area by target group (sub-sample)	207
Table 8.11:	Number of partners according to qualification level by target group (sub-sample)	208
Table 8.12:	Number of partners according to personal gross income by target group (sub-sample)	208
Table 9.1:	Respondents' score distribution on the <i>DAS</i> as measure of relationship satisfaction by target group (sub-sample)	212
Table 9.2:	Respondents' mean scores on the <i>DAS</i> sub-scales by target group (sub-sample)	213
Table 9.3:	Respondents' score distribution on the self-constructed three-item relationship satisfaction scale by target group (sub-sample)	214
Table 9.4:	Correlation matrix for scores of respondents on the <i>DAS</i> and its sub-scales	215
Table 9.5:	Correlation matrix for scores of respondents on the three-item relationship satisfaction scale and its items	215
Table 9.6:	Correlation coefficients for link between the three-item relationship satisfaction scores and	215

those on the *DAS* and its sub-scales

Table 9.7:	Correlation matrix for the three items of the short relationship satisfaction scale and the <i>DAS</i> and its sub-scales	216
Table 9.8:	Correlation matrix for the three items and total score of the short relationship satisfaction scale and selected <i>DAS</i> items	216
Table 9.9:	Respondents' score distribution for self-rated and ascribed masculinity as measured on the <i>BSRI</i> by target group (sub-sample)	217
Table 9.10:	Respondents' score distribution for self-rated and ascribed femininity as measured on the <i>BSRI</i> by target group (sub-sample)	218
Table 9.11:	Respondents' score distribution for self-rated and ascribed masculinity as measured on the <i>ACL-SRI</i> by target group (sub-sample)	219
Table 9.12:	Respondents' score distribution for self-rated and ascribed femininity as measured on the <i>ACL-SRI</i> by target group (sub-sample)	220
Table 9.13:	Correlation matrix for the scores of respondents on the self-report masculinity and femininity scales of the <i>BSRI</i> and <i>ACL-SRI</i>	221
Table 9.14:	Correlation matrix for the scores of respondents on the ascribed masculinity and femininity scales of the <i>BSRI</i> and <i>ACL-SRI</i>	222
Table 9.15:	Correlation matrix comparing respondents' self-reported and ascribed masculinity and femininity scores within and across the <i>BSRI</i> and <i>ACL-SRI</i>	222
Table 9.16:	Correlation matrix comparing all <i>ACL-SRI</i> scale and sub-scale scores	222
Table 9.17:	Correlation matrix comparing respondents' sex-role identity types calculated from their responses to the <i>BSRI</i> and <i>ACL-SRI</i>	223
Table 9.18:	Frequency distribution of respondents' sex-role identity types calculated from their responses to the <i>BSRI</i> and <i>ACL-SRI</i> by technique applied	223
Table 9.19:	Response distribution for the construct of moodiness or depression by target group (sub-sample)	224
Table 9.20:	Response distribution for the construct of emotional stability by target group (sub-sample)	224
Table 9.21:	Response distribution reflecting respondents' feelings about sex by target group (sub-sample)	224
Table 9.22:	Response distribution reflecting respondents' life satisfaction by target group (sub-sample)	225
Table 9.23:	Response distribution reflecting respondents' job satisfaction by target group (sub-sample)	225
Table 9.24:	Response distribution for the construct of extraversion by target group (sub-sample)	225
Table 9.25:	Response distribution for the construct of independence by target group (sub-sample)	225
Table 9.26:	Response distribution for the construct of problem solving through communication by target group (sub-sample)	226
Table 9.27:	Response distribution reflecting respondents' preference for relationship type by target group (sub-sample)	226
Table 9.28:	Response distribution reflecting the relationship type of the parents of respondents by target group (sub-sample)	226
Table 9.29:	Response distribution reflecting the extent of relationship satisfaction experienced by the	227

fathers of respondents by target group (sub-sample)

Table 9.30:	Response distribution reflecting the extent of relationship satisfaction experienced by the mothers of respondents by target group (sub-sample)	227
Table 9.31:	Response distribution reflecting respondents' health status by target group (sub-sample)	228
Table 9.32:	Frequencies of respondents according to the classification of couples in terms of relationship satisfaction category as measured with the <i>DAS</i> by target group (sub-sample)	230
Table 9.33:	Frequencies of respondents according to the classification of couples in terms of relationship satisfaction category as measured with the short relationship satisfaction scale by target group (sub-sample)	230
Table 9.34:	Frequencies of respondents according to their classification in terms of the sex-role identity type combinations of couples based on self-rated and ascribed <i>BSRI</i> measurements by target group (sub-sample)	230
Table 9.35:	Frequencies of respondents according to their classification in terms of the sex-role identity type combinations of couples based on self-rated and ascribed <i>ACL-SRI</i> measurements by target group (sub-sample)	231
Table 9.36:	Frequencies of respondents according to the highest adaptive sex-role identity type available in either partner of a couple based on self-rated and ascribed <i>BSRI</i> measurements by target group (sub-sample)	231
Table 9.37:	Frequencies of respondents according to the highest adaptive sex-role identity type available in either partner of a couple based on self-rated and ascribed <i>ACL-SRI</i> measurements by target group (sub-sample)	232
Table 9.38:	Cross-tabulated frequencies showing the relationship between identical and non-identical sex-role identity traits and type and relationship satisfaction among couples (n=80 couples)	233
Table 9.39:	Mean scores for couple averages on relationship satisfaction by dyadic sex-role identity combination as measured on the various instruments	235
Table 9.40:	Mean scores for partners on average dyadic relationship satisfaction by sex-role identity trait combination as measured on the <i>DAS</i> and <i>BSRI</i> (self-ratings) by target group (sub-sample)	235
Table 9.41:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples in which both sex-role identity traits and only femininity are identical between partners by sub-sample	238
Table 9.42:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples in which both sex-role identity traits and only masculinity are identical between partners by sub-sample	238
Table 9.43:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples in which partners have identical and non-identical sex-role identity types by sub-sample	239
Table 9.44:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples in which partners have either identical femininity or identical masculinity sex-role identity traits by sub-sample	240
Table 9.45:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples in which partners have an identical femininity trait and non-identical sex-role identity types by sub-sample	240
Table 9.46:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples in which partners have an identical masculinity trait and non-identical sex-role identity types by sub-sample	241
Table 9.47:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where one partner has androgyny or femininity as highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sub-sample	242
Table 9.48:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where one partner has androgyny or masculinity as highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sub-sample	242

Table 9.49:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners are androgynous or undifferentiated as the highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sub-sample	243
Table 9.50:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where one partner has femininity or masculinity as highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sub-sample	243
Table 9.51:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners are feminine or undifferentiated as the highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sub-sample	244
Table 9.52:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners are masculine or undifferentiated as the highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sub-sample	244
Table 9.53:	Frequency distribution of number of couples in which partners have an identical sex-role identity type by sub-sample	245
Table 9.54:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners have identical androgynous or feminine sex-role identity types by sub-sample	245
Table 9.55:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners have identical androgynous or masculine sex-role identity types by sub-sample	246
Table 9.56:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners have identical androgynous or undifferentiated sex-role identity types by sub-sample	246
Table 9.57:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners have identical feminine or masculine sex-role identity types by sub-sample	247
Table 9.58:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners have identical feminine or undifferentiated sex-role identity types by sub-sample	247
Table 9.59:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between couples where partners have identical masculine or undifferentiated sex-role identity types by sub-sample	248
Table 9.60:	Summary of findings on testing the central hypotheses about the dyadic relationship between sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction by sub-sample	251
Table 9.61:	Cross-tabulated frequencies showing the relationship between (non-)identical sex-role identity type among partners and individual relationship satisfaction	256
Table 9.62:	Mean scores for respondents on relationship satisfaction by dyads' sex-role identity type combinations as measured on the various instruments	257
Table 9.63:	Mean scores for respondents on relationship satisfaction by sex-role identity type combination as measured on the <i>DAS</i> and <i>BSRI</i> (self-ratings) by sex and target group (sub-sample)	258
Table 9.64:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between partners in which both sex-role identity traits and only femininity are identical by sex and sub-sample	260
Table 9.65:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between partners in which both sex-role identity traits and only masculinity are identical by sex and sub-sample	260
Table 9.66:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between partners in which sex-role identity types are identical and non-identical by sex and sub-sample	260
Table 9.67:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between partners in which only the femininity and only the masculinity sex-role identity trait is similar by sex and sub-sample	261
Table 9.68:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between partners with identical femininity traits and non-identical sex-role identity types by sex and sub-sample	261
Table 9.69:	Comparison of relationship satisfaction scores between partners with identical masculinity traits and non-identical sex-role identity types by sex and sub-sample	262

Table 9.70:	Cross-tabulated frequencies showing the relationship between partners' highest adaptive sex-role identity type and personal relationship satisfaction	262
Table 9.71:	Mean scores for respondents on relationship satisfaction by the highest adaptive sex-role identity type present in either partner of a dyad as measured on the various instruments	263
Table 9.72:	Mean individual relationship satisfaction scores by highest adaptive dyadic sex-role identity type as measured on the <i>DAS</i> and <i>BSRI</i> (self-ratings) by sex and target group (sub-sample)	264
Table 9.73:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores with either partner in a couple having androgyny or femininity as highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	264
Table 9.74:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores with either partner in a couple having androgyny or masculinity as highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	265
Table 9.75:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores with either partner in a couple having androgyny or both an undifferentiated sex-role identity type as the highest adaptive combination by sex and sub-sample	265
Table 9.76:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores with either partner in a couple having femininity or masculinity as highest adaptive sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	266
Table 9.77:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores with either partner in a couple having femininity or both an undifferentiated sex-role identity type as the highest adaptive combination by sex and sub-sample	266
Table 9.78:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores with either partner in a couple having masculinity or both an undifferentiated sex-role identity type as the highest adaptive combination by sex and sub-sample	267
Table 9.79:	Cross-tabulated frequencies showing the relationship between partners' identical sex-role identity types and personal relationship satisfaction	267
Table 9.80:	Mean scores for respondents on relationship satisfaction according to identical sex-role identity types present in both partners of a dyad as measured by the <i>BSRI</i> (self-ratings)	268
Table 9.81:	Mean personal relationship satisfaction scores by identical dyadic sex-role identity type as measured on the <i>DAS</i> and <i>BSRI</i> (self-ratings) by sex and target group (sub-sample)	268
Table 9.82:	Frequency distribution of correspondence in sex-role identity type between partners in couples by sub-sample	269
Table 9.83:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores for partners with an identical androgynous or feminine sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	270
Table 9.84:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores for partners with an identical androgynous or masculine sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	270
Table 9.85:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores for partners with an identical androgynous or undifferentiated sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	270
Table 9.86:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores for partners with an identical feminine or masculine sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	271
Table 9.87:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores for partners with an identical feminine or undifferentiated sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	271
Table 9.88:	Comparison of personal relationship satisfaction scores for partners with an identical masculine or undifferentiated sex-role identity type by sex and sub-sample	271

Table 9.89:	Summary of findings on testing the central hypotheses about the relationship between dyadic sex-role identity combinations and individual relationship satisfaction by sex and sub-sample	274
Table 9.90:	Relationship satisfaction scores ( <i>DAS</i> ) of respondents by family stage, sex-role identity type / trait (in)congruence and selected sub-sample	283
Table 9.91:	Relationship satisfaction scores ( <i>DAS</i> ) of respondents by family stage, highest adaptive sex-role identity type and selected sub-sample	284

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Schematic illustration of a five-point model of sex-role development throughout the life cycle	11
Figure 2.2:	Potential configurations in dyadic relationships in terms of sex-role identity types	46
Figure 3.1:	Hypothesised relationships between sex-role identity, relationship satisfaction and other variables	50
Figure 4.1:	Schematic representation of the frequency of research findings on the relationship between sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction	82
Figure 4.2:	Schematic representation of the frequency and domain of findings on the relationship between intra-personal factors, sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction	92
Figure 4.3:	Schematic representation of the frequency and domain of findings on the relationship between extra-personal factors, sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction	127
Figure 9.1:	Graphic representation of selected relationship satisfaction ( <i>DAS</i> ) scores for couples according to sub-sample, sex-role identity type combination, and relationship satisfaction outcomes	237
Figure 9.2:	Graphic representation of selected relationship satisfaction ( <i>DAS</i> ) scores according to the sub-sample, sex-role identity type combination, and relationship satisfaction of respondents	259

## LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure 3.1:	Overview of various aspects of empirical work done on sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction	307
Annexure 7.1:	<i>The Dyadic Adjustment Scale</i>	319
Annexure 7.2:	Single-item technique for assessing relationship satisfaction	321
Annexure 7.3:	<i>The Bem Sex-Role Inventory</i>	321
Annexure 7.4:	Prinsloo Guttman-rating for sex-role identity	322
Annexure 7.5:	Questionnaire for demographic and other contextual information	323
Annexure 7.6:	Background information and covering letter	327
Annexure 9.1:	Detailed findings from variance analysis and hypothesis testing with regard to the direct (non-dyadic) association between sex-role identity and relationship satisfaction by sub-group (see Section 9.4)	328
Annexure 9.2:	Statistics (p-values) underpinning the summary (in Section 9.6) of the relative effect of the extraneous variables, with sex-role identity, on relationship satisfaction	331