CHAPTER 6: ROAD INVESTMENT: PRACTICES AND MAXIMISED ECONOMIC RETURNS

6.1 INTRODUCTION

The aim of this chapter is to refine road investment practices in South Africa, to ensure maximised economic returns. The purpose of this thesis, namely to explore ways of maximising the economic returns of road infrastructure investment, is twofold in that it requires the development of assessment techniques and a change in investment practices in order to ensure maximised economic returns. Chapter 5 addressed the question of maximising economic returns, and this chapter will look at investment practices and the impact of political decision making. It is therefore necessary to assess current road investment practices and their impact on maximised economic returns. These will be followed by an analysis of the relationship between policy making and investment decisions. This information will then be used to propose changes to road infrastructure investment practices in South Africa.

6.2 ROAD INVESTMENT PRACTICES AND MAXIMISED ECONOMIC RETURNS

Maximised economic returns can only be obtained if road investment practices are optimised. To this end, decision makers must ensure the investment of relevant road projects, which is achieved by assessing the expected economic effects of such projects through proper modelling techniques and most importantly making the correct implementation decisions. According to Eberts (1999:1-5) the following four factors are important in examining the relationship between transportation and economic development:

- (1) the relevant type of transport investment
- (2) the data necessary to analyse the economic effect of the investment
- (3) appropriate methodology to analyse the economic effect
- (4) the proper dissemination of results and education of professionals regarding the economic effects of transportation investment

Each of these four factors will now cursorily be discussed.

- (1)Types of transport investment encompass two forms, namely capital expansion and capital enhancement. The details were discussed in chapter 1 (sec 1.2.1). As stated previously, the aim of this study relates to capital expansion. The relevant type of road investment is of utmost importance (see ch 3), and researchers should not neglect the more fundamental issues of understanding the different effects of road infrastructure on economic development. It is meaningful to explore the different attributes of road infrastructure and their relationship with economic development, in order to help local decision makers make the right decisions. Economic development generally takes place in the longer run after capital improvements – hence the need for adequate information to guide decision making. Bear in mind that economic returns can only be maximised if road projects that offer the highest economic potential are implemented in practice.
- (2) This ties in accurate and comprehensive data (see ch 4). Most analyses of the relationship between transport investment and economic development do not take into account the intensity of use of the transport system. Economic studies, particularly those using production and cost functions frameworks, typically treat all transport networks as if traffic flows are the same. This is too simplified and may lead to biases in the estimates of the development

contributions of transport infrastructure, while also ignoring the very activity – the shipment of goods – that generates the economic gains. Studies also tend to ignore important data that link the location of businesses and households to the location of the transport system providing them with services. This stems from the problem that most of them use data that are aggregated by some level of government jurisdiction. According to Eberts (1999:3), useful data include transport system characteristics, employment, firm-level characteristics, transportation financing information, commodity flows and accompanying characteristics of the regions in the economic analysis. In addition, the data should be both cross-sectional and related to time series in order to improve the reliability of estimates. The generation of data can be improved if decision makers understand the importance of this information. Over- or underestimates of the economic potential of road projects may result in poor decision making and subsequent poor economic returns.

(3)There is a clear need for appropriate methodology to analyse the effect of transport investment on economic development (also see sec 4.8). Initially these effects were assessed by cost-benefit analysis. In recent years, analysts have turned to production and cost functions as a means of including a wider range of benefits related to transport investment. This implies larger data requirements to accurately analyse the said relationships. Another factor that impacts on data and modelling techniques relates to the fact that transport has spatial implications – in other words, transport facilities are located in a specific place, provide services to businesses in that specific geographic area and move people and goods between certain points. Any modelling methodology should integrate these effects. In this regard, Eberts (1999:4) cautions that the appropriate methodology has to take into account not only the spatial relations, because the goods and people are

transported between two points, but also the way in which these transport movements fit into a network. The transport system needs to be analysed, because this will provide information on the ease of vehicle and goods movements between the different urban locations competing against one another.

More comprehensive analytical models are required to model these relationships, and should include components of economic development, such as changes in employment, the opening or closing of businesses and impacts on personal income, as well as the transport system performance. Chapter 4 focused on the issues of modelling transport investment and economic development.

(4) The importance of educating transport professionals and decision makers on the economic effects of transport investment should not be underestimated. Transport investment decisions are becoming increasingly difficult and vital. Roads do not only meet the mobility and accessibility needs of commuters, but are also part of the economic infrastructure of cities – hence the need to assess the economic role of road investment. The evaluation of road investment prioritisation has moved away from ranking projects solely in terms of expected net present values (NPV) or internal rates of return (IRR). Cognisance should also be taken of the project's impact on the GDP and employment creation, and so on. Factors such as whether the transport system will serve as a stimulant of growth or as a cost of growth, the effects on the environment, and numerous other factors (ie GDP increases, employment creation, business growth, etc) must be considered. The increasing complexity in the decision making process calls for greater dissemination of information. From this it is evident that expanded educational efforts are required to ensure that transport professionals and

decision makers are adequately trained in order to ensure maximised economic returns associated with road investment decisions.

Although the above discussion emphasised the relationship between road investment practices and maximised economic returns, the role of decision makers was clearly highlighted. The next section will address the role of policy making on maximised economic returns.

6.3 MAXIMISED ECONOMIC RETURNS: POLICY MAKING VERSUS INVESTMENT DECISIONS

Most road investment decisions are made at political level. Gramlich (1994:1182) underlines this issue by stating that most state and local officials report that their main hurdle in building new infrastructure capital is gaining the voters' approval – or more specifically the elected politicians. Puentes (2004:2) emphasises and contends that Congress need to move beyond the arguments of money and fundamentally reform the county's dysfunctional transportation system. It is argued that although most Americans live and work in metropolitan areas, these areas make decisions that dispose of only 10 cents of every transportation dollar they generate even though local governments in metropolitan areas own and maintain the vast majority of the transport (including road) infrastructure. According to Puentes (2004:1), the upshot of this policy and these decision making problems is that major highway projects do not create new jobs or spur on economic development as anticipated. From this it is obvious that decision making and policy making play a crucial role in influencing and strengthening the impact of road investment on economic development. In order to maximise the economic returns associated with road infrastructure investment it is necessary to group the interplay between decision making, economic returns and road investment. The

figure below depicts the interplay between policy making and road investment in achieving maximised economic returns.



Figure 6.1: The role of policy making in achieving economic growth

From figure 6.1 it is clear that the interplay between the above three factors is important. The road investment factor refers to the road proposal being considered for investment and may be viewed in terms of the nature of investment, and its scale and location. These factors were discussed in detail in chapter 3. In terms of the three case studies, this relates to decisions in terms of road K8 versus road K16 versus road PWV9. The factor of economic returns has to do with the expected economic returns associated with the road project. Chapter 5 developed a formula on road investment, and the economic potential of each project was analysed in full. In section 5.4 it is stated that road K8 has the highest probability (86%) for high economic returns compared with road K16 (61,25%) and road PWV9 (33,75%). These economic returns are only theoretical if these road projects are

not approved and invested in terms of their economic potential. Thus the third factor, namely policy and decision making, is thus of utmost importance in practice.

According to Banister and Berechman (2000:333), policy making refers to noneconomic factors that influence economic growth. Most importantly, this includes decision making by the political organ and the politicians. Figure 6.1 shows that policy making and the decisions involved, which affect both economic returns and road investment, are the predominant factor in realising economic development benefits from road infrastructure investment. Sections 6.2.1 and 3.4.1 highlighted the scarcity problem of new road investment. This scarcity problem can partly be ascribed to insufficient funding for the construction of road infrastructure. The scarcity problem thus emphasises the opportunity cost related to road investment. An opportunity cost can be defined as the potential benefit that is lost or sacrificed when the selection of one course of action makes it necessary to give up a competing course of action (Garrison & Noreen 1994:48). Cole (1998:108) concurs and defines opportunity cost as a resource cost used to reflect the value of resources used in providing a particular service. This means that limited funding for the construction of one road project (say, road PWV9) implies that the construction of another road (say, road K8) is lost. Should poor investment decisions be made, one can assume that it will be at a high opportunity cost and low returns to the country. This discussion again highlights the prominence given to road PWV9 and the MCDC. Section 1.4.1 highlighted the strategic importance of the SDI programme for government (the political organ). It was also stated that road PWV9 forms part of this policy statement, while roads K8 and K16 have no importance from a policy perspective. From this it is obvious that road PWV9 will be the project with the highest priority, and probability for favourable decision making. This is unsatisfactory because it was shown that this

project has the lowest potential for economic returns. Incorrect decision making may thus have long-term opportunity cost implications.

The Department of Provincial and Local Government (DPLG) proposes that the results of LED projects be measured on a number of levels to make accurate measurement possible – hence the need to distinguish between the inputs, outputs, outcomes and impacts of a project (DPLG 2000:8). This also applies to road projects, the aim of which is to maximise economic returns (this is similar to LED projects.) These terms are explained below:

- **Inputs.** Inputs are the resources and capacity mobilised to ensure the road project. Inputs thus involve the cost of the project as well as other activities related to the road investment process.
- **Outputs.** The output is the specific project that directly results from the inputs, which is the actual road being constructed.
- **Outcomes.** Outcomes refer to the direct consequences or results of an output. The outcome is thus the change in conditions once the road has been constructed. This is the direct result of the project and may include the diversion of traffic to the new road.
- **Impacts.** Impacts reflect the wider economic implications of the project as discussed in section 3.2.3. These impacts may relate to a growth in business sales or income or job creation as a result of the road project; and occur over a longer period than the actual implementation time.

The relationship between the inputs, outputs, outcomes and impacts of road projects is a key indicator of the accuracy of policy and the correctness of political decision making on road investment. Figure 6.2 illustrates this relationship.

Figure 6.2: Relationship between inputs, outputs, outcomes and impacts of road projects



The relationship between inputs and outputs should be considered in respect of how efficiently the road project was established. This involves the relationship between the cost of the project and the actual road constructed.

In order to assess the effectiveness of the road project, it is necessary to consider the relationship between inputs and outcomes. Measuring the network performance improvements in relation to the cost of the project may achieve this. Road authorities may use the relationship between the inputs and outcomes to assess whether the resources to be used will result in the appropriate outcomes. This entails measuring the accuracy of decision making. The impacts associated with the road project are the final measure of good or bad political decision making. The three case studies will be used to demonstrate the impact of decision making.

6.3.1 Efficiency assessments for roads K8, K16 and PWV9

The inputs of the case studies relate to the cost of the projects. It was shown that road K8 (R30 million for 10 km road) has the lowest cost compared to road K16 (R64,25 million for 7 km road) and PWV9 (R350 million for 23 km road). The efficiency of these projects is a measure of the costs incurred in establishing the project, compared with other similar projects. A measure of efficiency is obtained by dividing the cost of the project by the length of the road in order to measure the cost per kilometre. The respective figures are as follows:

- Road K8 R3 000 000,00/km
- Road K16 R9 178 571,43/km
- Road PWV9 R15 217 391,30/km

From this it is clear that road K8 is the most efficient investment in terms of cost per kilometre.

6.3.2 The effectiveness of the investments in roads K8, K16 and PWV9

In terms of road cost in relation to the project outcomes, the expected network performance can be used as a measure. In section 3.5, the network performance of the case studies was discussed in detail. According to section 3.5.1 (table 3.8), all three roads will ensure improvements in the average speed on the respective road networks. The construction of road K8 will result in a 21 km/h improvement on the average speed, while that of K16 will be 4,6 km/h, and road PWV9 6,8 km/h. Table 3.9 in section 3.5.2 shows that the construction of roads K8 and K16 will result in travel time reduction on the road network, while that of road PWV9 will increase. Another significant factor that may impact on opportunity cost is that of the number of jobs created per rand invested in the road project. For the purposes of this study, the jobs created between 2005 and 2010 will be compared with the road construction costs.

Road	Cost (rand million)	Jobs created
K8	30	9 944
K16	64,25	11 574
PWV9	350	16 906

Table 6.1: Road cost and jobs created (2005-2010)

Table 6.1 shows that for road K8, every R3 017 spent on its construction, one job is created. The figure for road K16 is R5 551 per job created, while the cost of road PWV9 is R20 703 per job created. In terms of the effectiveness of the road investment related to job creation as an outcome – road K8 is the preferred road. From the aforementioned one may conclude that roads K8 and K16 will be effective investments, while the effectiveness of road PWV9 is questionable.

6.3.3 The impacts of roads K8, K16 and PWV9

The impacts of the respective road projects were analysed in detail in chapter 5 (tables 5.9 - 5.20).This information showed that road K8 had the highest probability of proper economic development impacts, while road PWV9 had the poorest (road K8 has a probability of 86% for high economic impacts, road K16 61,25%, and road PWV9 33,75%). An empirical investigation of the economic impacts related to the investment of roads K8, K16 and PWV9 was conducted for the purposes of this thesis. This assessment was based on scenarios of socioeconomic growth trends for the study areas of the respective roads for the year 2005 to the year 2020 (in 5-year periods). The purpose of this assessment is to indicate that each road investment decision has an impact on economic development. These decisions need to be managed to ensure maximum returns associated with these investment decisions. Table 6.2 provides detail of the respective assessment years.

1				2001 Base Year: Socio Economic data														
		TADLE			_			EA Po	pulation				Workers	s by type	of work			
		DESCRIPTION	Functional Area Code	Sub region Code(s)	Zone Size	Tot Population	%Econ Active	Domestic Servants	Economic Active Population	Office	Retail	Industri	Spesifiek	Outside +Other	Total Formal Jobs	Domestic	Informal	Unemployed
					177,961	3,382,260	44.9%	26,982	1,518,036	204,448	183,827	186,898	66,999	159,047	801,219	61,195	108,284	418,809
		Temba Stinkwater	1	10	12,508	139,101 74,948	39.5% 34.4%	0	54,953 25,816	854 0	4,065	12,754	1,719 708	2,533 652	21,925	0	7,098	18,662
		Winterveld Soshanguwe	2	12	20 119	119,457 332,113	38.6% 41.3%	0	46,095	0	2,381 4,117	323 760	1,215	1,651	5,570 10,512	0	4,986	17,613 45,791
		Mabopane Ga-rankuwa	3	14	1,414	112,943	45.0%	0	50,785	413	4,933	1,151	1,894	3,666	12,057	0	5,930	16,979
		Klip-Kruisfontein	4	16	0	119,546	43.3%	0	51,784	0	1,075	210	841	1,169	3,295	0	3,103	17,801
		Hakdoringboom Rooiwal	15	1/	403	10,487	41.5% 53.6%	05 764	5,621	0	20	106	102	147	1,934	1,054	421	40 839
		Wildebeeshoek Boekenhoutskloof	15 15	20 21	4,110 8,409	1,524 3,284	55.4% 56.1%	150 268	845 1,841	0	47	5	19 47	349 536	420	224 536	37	93 220
		Atteridgeville Ferstenist	5	25 26	1,496 584	210,124 20,046	44.0% 45.5%	85 85	92,470 9,124	37	4,860	857 197	2,791 300	3,545 260	12,090	133	5,758 634	33,114 1,650
		Mamelodi-Wes Mamelodi-Oos	6	27 28	2,352	216,626 75,483	45.7%	0	99,013 35,407	76	3,806 804	669 154	2,219 618	1,039	7,809	8	6,105 2,201	31,449
		Nellmapius	6 8	29 30	723	25,786	47.9%	98	12,346	0	537	132	205	513	1,387	128	763	3,193
		Akasia-CBD	8	31	13,173	18,089	51.5%	373	9,322	592	1,507	280	265	1,315	3,959	702	199	691
		Theresa Park Pretoria noord	8	32	3,218	12,437	48.0%	393 419	9,282	2,450	4,403	2,334	620	1,497	11,173	/00 850	451	1,106
		Doornpoord Magalieskruin	8	34 35	1,287 3,438	10,151 34,793	56.9% 50.1%	218 1,008	5,778 17,446	138 1,198	771 3,953	1,033 738	115 756	587 3,926	2,644 10,571	390 3,108	155 506	353 1,382
i.	Σ.	Daspoort Moor	9	36 37	3,562 4,556	33,628 75,527	46.1% 50.1%	1,045 2.945	15,503 37,844	554 4,410	2,763 11,384	8,904 3,749	910 2,249	2,931 5,807	16,062 27,599	1,829 6,082	812 1,327	1,958
	ALC IN	Silverton	9 12	38	3,714	34,553	50.6%	960	17,492	10,015	8,729	25,821	1,510	11,763	57,838	2,501	2,039	4,238
Ę	ang A	Pretoria CBD	10	40	939	20,629	54.7%	22	11,275	82,262	29,122	3,649	3,616	24,868	143,517	845	4,860	7,843
	F	Brooklyn	11	41 42	2,473	47,003	43.3%	1,834	13,830	15,510	11,437	1,321	4,403	4,565	43,616	3,631	1,034	2,886
	1 I I	Waterkloof Wapadrand	11 13	43	1,805 2,205	20,693 40,799	54.8% 50.9%	1,470	11,348 20,768	2,086	1,131 4,187	166 420	316 1,549	869 4,433	4,568	2,913 3,287	282 872	636 1,807
5	-nay	Garstfontein Rietvleidam	13 13	45 46	2,872 3,684	71,772	53.8% 66.7%	1,643	38,628	12,723	9,556 2	1,223	1,394	7,230	32,126	4,854	1,532	2,776
, S	AGu	Voorekkerhoogte	12 11	47 48	2,599	26,611	17.2%	405	4,566	9	1,659	280	3,281 84	3,777	9,006 386	776	375	728
Ē	AIA	Centurion CBD	14	51	3,979	38,993	48.5%	1,144	18,914	9,492	5,449	3,312	2,494	8,226	28,973	3,356	969	2,230
+	2	Irene Rooihuiskraal	14	53	1,051	19,920	57.270 53.4%	599	9,583	ەر.د. 790	893	3,047	237	5,010 812	10,400 5,947	2,300	237	917 880
		Wierdapark Laudium	14	55 56	5,044	49,601	52.1% 43.2%	2,585	25,863	1,112	2,219	6,144 819	938 681	2,649	13,062	6,212 1,661	598	2,162
		Rantesig Olivenhoutbosch	15 7	57	87 867	7,278 18,713	57.3% 42.0%	683 250	4,170 7,852	32	89 218	37	87	1,319 539	1,532 1,041	966 924	249 442	595 2,717
		Midrand CBD Midrand Centrl West	16 16	61 62	15,740 12,280	714 29.104	58.1% 57.0%	3 422	415	3,442 10,197	2,982 2,040	22,807 5,879	205	3,656	33,092	6 811	1,345	3,125
		Midrand Centri Free Midrand Centri East	16	63	4,142	7,826	53.8%	370	4,214	143	130	129	104	694	1,200	789	130	419
		Olifantstontein Ivory Park	17	65	6,773	255,110	46.0%	179	117,324	1,201	2,300	4,104	1,839	663	9,396	570	4,902	2,76,
		Tembisa Midrand suid	1/	66 67	2,338 2,131	451,866 3,262	50.1% 49.4%	2 91	226,250	0 <u>0</u>	2,451	3,143	2,484 138	1,072	9,150 2,468	5 174	10,465	64,270 436
		Midrand Rural West Diepsloot	16 17	68 69	2,546	14,519 30,000	58.5% 40.0%	73	8,496 12,000	120	294	174	210 308	644 113	1,442 755	334	441 577	998 3,105
		Roodeplaat Pienaarspoort	19 18	70 71	716	18,472	47.8% 53.7%	1,091	8,824 467	260	528	721	483	3,881	5,873 586	1,471	894 46	2,048
		Silver Lakes	18	72	4,293	7,474	54.2%	413	4,052	0	303	394	308	1,766	2,771	1,051	189	276
		Hartebeespoortdam	21	75	5,940	17,069	47.8%	765	8,159	404	1,060	569	329	2,820	5,182	1,373	432	839
		Garankuwa-west Hebron	21	81	200	45,465	30.776	0	33,631	. 0	2,323	637	1,823	1,286	5,340 6,069	0	3,689	12,500
		Gamotle Makapanstad	20	82 83	0	47,261	34.3% 35.6%	0	16,195 37,459	0	1,282	188	2,309	2,347	3,075	0	1,813	6,1.st 13,701
		TOTAL STUDY AREA			177.061	2 292 260	44.0%	26.082	1 518 036	204.448	192 877	192 808	<i>44</i> 000	150.047	901 210	41.105	102.284	419 800
		TOTAL STOD FARLA			1/7,701	3,00 ayar	41.070	20,702	1,010,00	201,110	100,000	IUGOA	00,222	109,000	003,842	01,172	100,407.	410,000
	hwan	Temba/Stinkwater Shoshanguve	1	10-11	12,508	214,049	37.7%	0	80,769	854	5,522	12,931 760	2,427	3,185	24,919	0	9,914 8,371	27,839
	in Ts	Mabopane/Winterveld	3	12,14	1,434	232,400	41.7%	0	96,880	413	7,314	1,474	3,109	5,317	17,627	0	10,916	34,592
<	treas	Ga-rankuwa/Klip-kruifontein Atteridgeville	4	15-16 25	8,738	190,844 210,124	42.6% 44.0%	0 85	81,264 92,470	226	4,753	7,599 857	3,813	2,798	19,189	133	6,716 5,758	27,830
ARE	PDI a	Mamelodi/Eersterus	6	26-29	3,658	337,941	46.1%	183	155,890	76	6,960	1,152	3,342	2,631	14,161	312	9,703	48,459
NAL	-	Olivenhoutbosch Sub-total La: PDI areas in Tshwane	7	58	867 28,820	18,713	42.0%	250 518	7,852	32	218	24,886	139	539 20,957	1,041	924	442 51,820	2,717
[OIT]		Rosslyn/Akasia/Montana	8	30-35	22,644	95,027	50.4%	2,411	47,924	4,378	11,751	34,841	2,034	11,391	64,395	5,818	2,391	5,727
UNC	ural	Moot CBD/Sunnyside/Arcadia	9	36-38 40-41	11,833	143,708	49.3%	4,950	70,839	14,979 101.632	22,876	38,474	4,669 6,371	20,501	101,499	2.226	4,178	9,577
ΒΥF	ا گ	Old-East	11	42,43,48	6,398	52,629	47.8%	3,304	25,181	20,989	12,582	1,491	4,803	8,705	48,570	6,544	1,774	3,542
ED	n PD	Pta-West/Laudium/ Voortrekkerhoogte Wapadrand/Moreletapark	12	39,47,56 44-46	7,292	99,663 112.601	32.1%	2,043	32,031 59,416	2,347	18,121	14,052	9,073	13,106	56,699	3,778	2,663	6,190
EGAT	ž	Centurion	14	51,53-55	17,554	126,470	52.0%	4,946	65,748	19,728	9,372	16,400	4,187	14,703	64,390	13,014	2,437	6,191
3GRI	_	Tshwane Rural Sub total 1b: Non PDI / Rural in Tshwane	15	17-21,57	13,009	23,468	54.7% 48.5%	1,930	12,848	186.983	471	167	278 34.361	3,844	4,760	2,869	23,165	48.655
A AC	Sub tota	al 1: Total in Tshwane			118,013	2,257,984	44.4%	23,296	1,002,110	188,621	160,718	136,326	52,675	134,353	672,693	54,177	74,985	268,997
DAT	rea	Midrand Tembisa/Ivorvnark/Diensloot	16	61-64,67-68 65-66.69	39,186	61,568 736,976	55.9% 48.2%	1,030	34,446	15,163	7,959	39,638	2,758	8,491	74,009	2,230	4,160	97.062
	ary a	South East (Silverlakes/Smutskoppie)	18	71-73	4,313	8,837	54.2%	539	4,790	0	393	418	402	2,663	3,876	1,268	254	381
	scond	North-east (Tweefontein) North (Makapanstad/Gamoltle)	19	70	716	18,472	47.8%	1,091	8,824 53,654	260	528	721	483	3,881	5,873	1,471	894 6.038	2,048
	Š	West (Hartebeespoort/Ga-rankuwa)	21	75,80-81	6,545	145,947	40.2%	845	58,638	404	4,787	1,806	2,980	4,620	14,597	1,476	6,009	18,800
	Sub tota	al 2: Total in secondary area	L		59,948	1,124,276	45.9%	3,686	515,926	15,827	23,109	50,572	14,324	24,694	128,526	7,018	33,299	149,812
	TOTAA	L: PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY AREA	—		177,961	3,382,260	44.9%	26,982	1,518,036	204,448	183,827	186,898	66,999	159,047	801,219	61,195	108,284	418,809
BRE-	a N	K8 CORRIDOR		30-35	22,644	95,027	50.4%	2,411	47,924	4,378	11,751	34,841	2,034	11,391	64,395	5,818	2,391	5,727
AGC	RIDO	K16 CORRIDOR		26,36-38	12.417	163,754	48.8%	5.035	79,963	14.979	24.689	38.671	4,969	20,761	104.069	10,596	4.812	11.227
ATA	COR	BWW0 CORRIDOR		30-32,36,39,	21 552	220.476	12 10/	7 270	100 927	4.627	26.024	50.086	11,600	26.056	128 402	15 170	6.042	16 505
D H		Ve condition		47,55-58	31,333	239,470	42.1%	7,370	100,837	4,037	26,034	59,980	11,690	26,056	128,405	15,179	6,045	10,508
ITWO	TES	KI6CORRIDOR				-27.5%		-58.9% -40.7%	-27.3%	-53.7%	-5.1%	1.0%	35.8% -47.3%	-29.1%	-1.1%	-68.6%	-20.8%	-36.1%
GRC	RA	PWV9 CORRIDOR				-14.7%		-48.3%	-17.2%	-30.9%	-14.3%	4.7%	-57.6%	-16.2%	-16.1%	-57.3%	-8.3%	-26.3%
		K8 CORRIDOR K16 CORRIDOR	—	30-35 26.36-38	22,644	130,996	50.3% 48.9%	5,861 8,493	65,936	9,459	12,382	34,506 38,342	1,498 9,434	7,287	65,132 123,510	18,516	3,018	8,963
		PWV9 CORRIDOR		30-32.36.39.47	31,553	280,644	43.4%	14,264	121,833	6712	30,386	57,311	27,541	31.092	153.042	35,550	6,587	22.40

Table 6.2: Socioeconomic growth for roads K8, K16 and PWV9

TABLE							2005 Trend Land use: Socio-economic data											
	1	···	-	-			0	EA Po	pulation		1	-	Workers	s by type	of work		r	
		DESCRIPTION	Functional Area Code	Sub region Code(s)	Zone Size	Fot Population	%Econ Active	Domestic Servants	Economic Active Population	Office	Retail	Industri	Spesifiek	Outside +Other	Total Formal Jobs	Domestic	Informal	Unemployed
					177,961	4,389,693	44.6%	48,060	1,959,714	273,802	239,336	252,166	73,324	209,175	1,047,803	131,455	147,632	494,362
		Temba	1	10	12,508	203,329	39.2%	0	79,660	1,067	5,294	12,508	878	905	20,652	0	13,109	28,087
		Stinkwater Winterveld	1	11 12	0 20	116,361 165,116	34.3% 38.6%	0	39,867 63,677	0	1,854 2,571	20	0	13	1,867 2,739	0	5,891 9,883	13,162 23,108
		Soshanguwe	2	13	119	501,727	41.5%	0	208,257	0	6,012	119	3 623	994 649	7,125	0	9,163	63,682
		Ga-rankuwa	4	15	8,738	120,263	42.4%	0	50,950	261	3,206	8,738	202	142	12,549	0	6,385	15,244
		Klip-Kruisfontein Hakdoringboom	4	16 17	0	163,035	43.5%	0	70,861	0	1,517	0	0	256	1,773	0	2,718	19,809
		Rooiwal	15	18	403	16,660	53.6%	28	8,932	0	638	403	12	4,450	5,503	36	1,222	2,835
		Boekenhoutskloof	15	20	4,110 8,409	3,866	50.8%	333	1,963	4	36	12	20	129	201	832	0	166
		Atteridgeville Ferstenist	5	25	1,496	235,238	44.5% 45.5%	0 428	104,699	167	9,612	361	0	3,617	13,757	0 923	4,018	30,445
		Mamelodi-Wes Mamelodi Oos	6	27	2,352	265,536	45.9%	0	121,749	172	5,315	319	320	1,366	7,492	0	5,042	38,149
		Nellmapius	6	20	723	37,570	44.5%	5	16,721	0	1,778	404	0	546	2,728	11	733	5,025
		Roslyn Akasia-CBD	8	30 31	13,174	331 21,742	45.0% 51.6%	0 847	149	1,358	373	25,251	0	1,163	26,787	1,801	712 201	1,332
		Theresa Park Protocio noord	8	32	1,354	15,670	48.7%	844	7,634	2 840	411	4,650	0	546	5,607	1,799	222	919
		Doompoord	8	33	1,287	10,452	56.8%	508	5,941	221	5,085	1,263	4/3	683	3,007	1,830	263	698
		Magalieskruin Daspoort	8	35	3,438	59,502 40,212	50.2% 46.2%	2,857	29,861 18,590	4,040	4,020	918 7.684	1,025	1,274	11,277	12,011 4,975	840 1.069	3,151 2,720
	NOIS	Moot	9	37	4,556	94,202	50.0%	4,068	47,141	4,868	12,663	3,057	2,899	7,580	31,067	8,973	2,317	5,720
	ž.	Pretoria- Wes	12	38	3,479	54,997	36.4%	1,625	25,480	2,957	19,903	12,238	4,638	16,955	68,022	3,011	2,536	4,547
	SUB	Pretoria CBD Sunnyside	10	40 41	939 762	29,944 67,720	52.0% 51.6%	0 143	15,567 34,912	98,550 20,069	38,422	3,039	5,375 995	68,853	214,239 44,998	1,484	8,435 2,495	10,129 4,728
	OBY	Brooklyn	11	42	2,473	38,023	43.7%	2,424	16,633	21,998	18,605	621	2,223	8,875	52,322	5,861	2,176	4,320
	ATIEI	Wapadrand	13	43	2,205	52,719	51.1%	2,743	26,955	11,777	5,111	267	3,915	2,908	23,978	5,577	1,290	3,135
	192	Garstfontein Rietvleidam	13	45 46	2,872 3,684	86,679	53.6% 66.7%	3,073	46,482	17,699	11,196	585	1,234	9,463	40,177	8,657	2,276	4,481
	AGG	Voorekkerhoogte	12	47	2,599	29,756	20.6%	958	6,138	9	1,287	0	11,464	1,671	14,431	2,242	857	1,459
	ATA	Centurion CBD	14	51	3,979	44,350	49.5%	2,019	21,964	12,061	7,319	7,780	7,529	5,593	40,282	5,952	1,176	3,691
	ã	Irene Rooihuiskraal	14	53 54	7,091	32,331 22,489	53.3% 53.2%	620 1.578	17,248	10,946	2,764	10,805	920 0	3,413	28,848	2,999 3,408	1,133	1,671
		Wierdapark Laudium	14	55	5,044	57,099	50.9%	4,896	30,681	1,111	1,925	6,315	0	2,116	11,467	13,669	466	4,908
		Rantesig	15	57	87	3,927	53.7%	556	2,108	052	56	87	42	573	758	979	99	343
		Olivenhoutbosch Midrand CBD	7	58 61	867	18,922	43.6% 58.6%	1,035	8,735 89	162	351 9,345	387 60,971	0 348	285	1,185 86,492	4,281	216	1,999
		Midrand Centrl West Midrand Centrel Fost	16	62	12,280	58,525	57.2%	2,098	33,489	28,342	1,205	10,070	1,812	1,769	43,198	4,053	862	4,917
		Olifantsfontein	16	64	2,347	5,523	49.0 <i>%</i>	348	2,819	500	2,134	4,654	463	1,428	9,179	565	205	818
		Ivory Park Tembisa	17	65 66	6,773	255,748 435,053	45.6% 49.9%	896 8	116,581 217.007	0	6,283	18,221 4,003	2.320	986 894	25,490	2,844	2,574	5,420
		Midrand suid	16	67	2,131	11,839	48.1%	456	5,698	0	186	6,582	0	261	7,029	877	141	1,019
		Diepsloot	10	69	2,340	30,000	40.0%	3/3	12,000	000	257	77	308	113	755	1,078	577	3,105
		Roodeplaat Pienaarspoort	19 18	70 71	716	17,728	47.4% 45.0%	1,048	8,401 241	260	510	716	462	3,743	5,691	1,397	876	2,023
		Silver Lakes	18	72	4,293	11,814	56.6%	413	6,681	974	496	448	527	1,766	4,211	1,464	283	445
		Hartebeespoortdam	21	75	5,940	20,438	47.7%	1,675	9,747	20	315	70	141	2,819	3,365	4,078	186	1,058
		Garankuwa-West Hebron	21 21	80 81	405	64,905 115.022	38.7% 39.3%	80 0	25,125 45,227	0	1,444	405 200	144	197	2,190	103	3,720	8,262 14,938
		Gamotle	20	82	0	76,903	34.4%	0	26,447	0	1,233	0	29	183	1,445	0	3,692	8,237
		Makapanstau	20	83	0	103,545	35.0%	0	58,285	0	2,384	0	2,021	393	5,000	0	8,339	18,877
		TOTAL STUDY AREA			177,961	4,389,693	44.6%	48,060	1,959,714	273,802	239,336	252,166	73,324	209,175	1,047,803	131,455	147,632	494,362
	ne	Temba/Stinkwater	1	10-11	12 508	319,690	37.4%	0	119 527	1.067	7 148	12 508	878	918	22 519	0	19.000	41 249
	shwa	Shoshanguve	2	13	119	501,727	41.5%	0	208,257	0	6,012	119	0	994	7,125	0	9,163	63,682
	Tui	Mabopane/Winterveld	3	12,14	1,434	363,089	41.6%	0	150,893	426	9,204	1,434	3,624	796	15,484	0	22,814	51,777
s.	areas	Atteridgeville	5	25	1,496	235,238	44.5%	0	121,811	167	9,612	361	202	3,617	14,322	0	4,018	30,445
ARE	PDI :	Mamelodi/Eersterus	6	26-29	3,658	393,974	46.1%	433	181,461	172	9,537	846	320	2,814	13,689	934	7,516	55,756
1VF	_	Olivenhoutbosch	7	58	28 820	18,922	46.2%	1,035	8,735	162	351	24 393	5.024	285	1,185	4,281	216	270.061
LION		Rosslyn/Akasia/Montana	8	30-35	22,644	130,996	50.3%	5,861	65,936	9,459	12,382	34,506	1,498	7,287	65,132	18,516	3,018	8,963
JNC.	ral	Moot	9	36-38	11,833	185,257	49.2%	8,065	91,211	18,379	26,339	38,219	9,434	28,658	121,029	20,119	5,922	15,341
ΥFL	& ru	Old-East	10	40-41 42,43.48	1,702 6.398	97,664 65.508	51.7% 48.2%	143 5.167	50,479 31,555	118,619 25,172	50,071 20.322	3,127	6,370 2.553	81,050 9,901	259,237	4,874	10,930	14,857
D B	IDI	Pta-West/Laudium/ Voortrekkerhoogte	12	39,47,56	7,292	122,741	34.8%	3,712	42,715	3,598	22,505	12,937	25,602	22,212	86,854	8,046	3,602	9,047
ATE	Non	Wapadrand/Moreletapark	13	44-46	8,761	139,428	52.7%	5,313	73,457	29,476	16,309	853	5,152	12,401	64,191	14,240	3,567	7,619
REC		Tshwane Rural	14	17-21,57	13,009	25,675	53.3%	1,032	13,681	24,383	742	506	81	5,196	6,530	2,134	1,321	3,401
AGG		Sub total 1b: Non PDI / Rural in Tshwane			89,193	923,538	48.8%	38,406	450,893	229,091	161,544	120,184	59,139	178,494	748,452	107,230	34,115	77,741
TA.	Sub tota	al 1: Total in Tshwane Midrand	16	61.64.67.68	118,013	3,039,476	44.3% 55.3%	39,874	1,346,276	231,346	208,131	144,577	64,163	188,316	836,533	112,445	105,945	357,702
ΡA	area	Tembisa/Ivorypark/Diepsloot	17	65-66,69	9,188	720,801	47.9%	904	345,588	-1,202	8,857	22,301	2,747	1,993	35,779	2,860	13,096	69,661
	dary .	South East (Silverlakes/Smutskoppie)	18	71-73	4,313	13,055	56.0%	476	7,310	974	571	468	607	2,185	4,805	1,618	305	489
1	econ.	North (Makapanstad/Gamoltle)	19 20	/U 82-83	716	240.448	47.4% 35.2%	1,048	8,401 84.730	260	510 3.817	716	462 2.050	5,743 578	5,691	1,397	876	2,023
	s	West (Hartebeespoort/Ga-rankuwa)	21	75,80-81	6,545	200,365	40.0%	1,755	80,099	20	3,665	675	667	3,809	8,836	4,181	10,561	24,258
	Sub tota	al 2: Total in secondary area			59,948	1,350,217	45.4%	8,186	613,438	42,456	31,205	107,589	9,161	20,859	211,270	19,010	41,687	136,660
	TOTA	AL: PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY AREA			177,961	4,389,693	44.6%	48,060	1,959,714	273,802	239,336	252,166	73,324	209,175	1,047,803	131,455	147,632	494,362
ц,	* ~	K8 CORRIDOR	-	30-35	22.644	130.004	50.3%	5 861	65.024	0.450	10 390	34 504	1.409	7 297	65 122	18 514	3.019	8 0/2
GGR	DOF	A CORRIDOR		55-55	22,044	130,990	50.5%	5,801	05,930	9,439	12,382	54,500	1,498	7,287	05,152	10,010	5,018	0,703
A AI	RRI	K16CORRIDOR		26,36-38	12,417	206,736	48.9%	8,493	100,993	18,379	28,081	38,342	9,434	29,274	123,510	21,042	6,438	17,516
DAT	50	PWV9 CORRIDOR		30-32,36,39,	31,553	280,644	43.4%	14,264	121,833	6,712	30,386	57,311	27,541	31,092	153,042	35,550	6,587	22,407
H	s	K8 CORRIDOR		-1,22-28		0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LMC	ATE	K16CORRIDOR				0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
GRe	R	PWV9 CORRIDOR				0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
-		K8 CORRIDOR K16 CORRIDOR	—	30-35	22,644	206 734	50.3%	5,861	65,936	9,459	12,382	34,506	1,498 0.434	7,287	65,132	18,516	3,018	8,963
		PWV9 CORRIDOR		30-32,36,39,47	31,553	280,644	43.4%	14,264	121,833	6,712	30,386	57,311	27,541	31,092	153,042	35,550	6,587	22,407

TABLE						20 EA Bopulation				2010_OptimLU: SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA								
		DESCRIPTION	Functional Area Code	Sub region Code(s)	Zone Size	fot Population	%Econ Active	Domestic Servants	Economic Active Population	Office	Retail	Industri	Spesifiek	Outside +Other +Other	Total Formal Add	Domestic	Informal	Unemployed
		Temba Stinkwater	1	10	177,961 12,508	5,140,013 228,593 133 890	44.8% 38.9% 34.2%	50,252	2,303,702 88,974	335,451	287,078 6,220 2,337	289,431	82,425 991	238,372	1,232,757 22,047 2 352	147,017	184,079 14,506	574,122 31,451
		Winterveld Soshanguwe Mabopane	3	12 13 14	20 119 1,414	203,480 546,479 231,850	39.1% 41.7% 43.9%	0	79,514 227,620 101,868	0 0 473	3,410 7,333 7,700	20 145 1,933	2 0 4,094	164 1,141 729	3,596 8,619 14,929	0	0,727 11,108 9,868 14,827	27,922 67,963 33,861
		Cartankuwa Klip-Kruisfontein Hakdoringboom Rooiwal	4 4 15 15	15 16 17 18	0 0 403	130,463 220,099 0 19,976	43.5% 53.6%	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	95,663 0 10,706	0 0 0	3,970 2,174 0 745	0 0 403	0 0 12	100 294 0 4,989	2,468 0 6,149	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	8,463 3,589 0 1,471	20,625 25,989 0 3,446
		Wildebeeshoek Boekenhoutskloof Atteridgeville Eersterust	15 15 5 6	20 21 25 26	4,110 8,409 1,496 584	1,422 4,403 252,967 22,861	55.5% 50.8% 44.7% 45.5%	133 376 0 428	2,236 113,157 10,411	2 5 220 0	14 39 11,766 1,821	5 14 790 119	8 23 0 0	47 136 4,141 706	217 16,917 2,646	332 940 0 923	0 0 4,580 560	67 189 32,643 2,275
		Mamelodi-Wes Mamelodi-Oos Nellmapius Roslyn	6 6 6 8	27 28 29 30	2,352 0 723 13,174	271,276 75,001 57,831 885	45.8% 47.8% 44.6% 45.0%	0 0 5 0	124,330 35,883 25,769 398	291 0 0 0	6,145 935 2,406 402	430 0 388 25,008	320 0 0 0	1,555 328 626 1,331	8,741 1,263 3,420 26,741	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	5,227 1,337 1,136 1,023	38,652 11,086 7,636 1,641
		Akasia-CBD Theresa Park Pretoria noord Doompoord	8 8 8	31 32 33 34	173 1,354 3,218 1,287	25,394 19,694 23,919	51.5% 48.8% 47.8% 56.5%	981 1,020 808 508	13,068 9,615 11,429 6,543	2,240 0 4,949 310	2,203 638 5,827 937	0 5,215 2,478	0 0 524	86 625 4,061 786	4,529 6,478 17,839 3,700	2,073 2,171 1,908	305 326 1,070 332	1,614 1,432 2,008 881
	NOIE	Magalieskruin Daspoort Moot Silverton	8 9 9	35 36 37 38	3,438 3,562 4,556 3,714	73,358 52,327 103,495 58,317	50.3% 47.0% 50.3% 50.0%	3,521 2,438 4,123	36,872 24,586 52,027 29,170	6,327 483 5,365	5,557 3,903 14,271	1,310 7,863 2,938 27,450	1,135 2,100 3,207 5,129	1,460 4,722 8,682 19,408	15,789 19,071 34,463 79,000	15,759 4,960 9,507 7,671	1,182 1,533 2,833 3,644	5,068 4,495 6,998 8,490
	Y SUB REC	Pretoria Wes Pretoria CBD Sunnyside Denoteded	12 10 10	39 40 41	3,479 939 762	61,652 31,587 69,772	37.0% 52.1% 51.6%	1,204 0 143	22,800 16,459 35,999	3,258 100,678 20,589	21,768 42,401 13,165	12,130 12,137 3,018 88	14,558 5,945 1,102	22,624 78,821 13,964	74,345 230,863 48,908	3,248 1,541 3,417	3,630 11,609 3,186	6,103 12,697 5,354
	EGATEDE	Brooklyn Waterkloof Wapadrand Garstfontein	11 13 13	42 43 44 45	2,475 1,805 2,205 2,872	29,680 60,639 105,182	53.9% 50.9% 53.7%	2,424 2,743 2,283 3,102	17,310 15,990 30,888 56,498	3,780 13,736 20,470	2,463 6,308 13,388	43 229 653	2,439 0 4,481 2,024	10,100 1,040 3,203 10,069	7,326 27,957 46,604	8,462 6,289 9,819	5,320 691 1,780 3,174	1,861 3,956 5,480
	ATA AGGF	Voorekkerhoogte Fontains Centurion CBD	13 12 11 14	40 47 48 51	2,599 2,120 3,979	30,589 0 52,269	21.4% 50.0%	958 0 2,114	6,555 0 26,143	9 0 15,372	1,523 0 8,925	0 0 9,445	12,679 365 8,327	1,914 136 6,404	16,125 501 48,473	2,396 0 6,666	1,099 19 2,014	1,767 25 5,331
	a	Irene Rooihuiskraal Wierdapark Laudium	14 14 14 12	53 54 55 56	7,091 1,440 5,044 1,215	49,155 24,012 64,102 39,524	50.9% 53.3% 53.8% 43.7%	620 1,678 5,222 1,607	25,036 12,790 34,484 17,283	15,183 1,381 1,956 634	4,245 943 2,518 1,510	17,911 5,718 8,226 704	1,608 0 1,079	3,786 737 2,428 894	42,733 8,779 15,128 4,821	3,849 3,696 16,334 2,919	2,2/1 434 772 321	2,799 2,742 6,022 3,187
		Kantesig Olivenhoutbosch Midrand CBD Midrand Centrl West	15 7 16 16	57 58 61 62	87 867 15,740 12,280	4,054 26,289 156 83,884	53.9% 44.6% 58.3% 57.2%	556 1,035 18 2,098	2,184 11,733 91 47,980	281 15,689 51,216	60 692 13,096 1,577	610 67,723 12,216	42 0 348 1,812	595 327 5,162 3,158	1,910 102,018 69,979	1,157 4,347 31 4,506	305 2,162 1,401	2,770 2,243 5,908
		Midrand Centrl East Olifantsfontein Ivory Park Tembisa	16 16 17 17	63 64 65 66	4,142 2,347 6,773 2,338	51,095 5,617 322,427 435,059	46.9% 51.0% 45.9% 49.9%	710 348 896 8	23,944 2,867 147,992 217,010	1,971 500 0	829 2,146 7,459 2,319	1,460 7,568 18,615 4,481	262 463 0 2,320	594 1,487 1,008 920	5,116 12,164 27,082 10,040	2,079 565 1,555 16	1,895 340 4,674 9,956	2,748 943 6,230 61,147
		Midrand suid Midrand Rural West Diepsloot Roodeplaat	16 16 17 19	67 68 69 70	2,131 2,546 77 716	25,535 121,365 30,000 17,728	48.1% 57.7% 40.0% 47.4%	456 373 0 1,048	12,285 70,085 12,000 8,401	0 1,519 0 260	334 1,447 257 510	12,857 1,497 77 716	0 39 308 462	609 157 113 3,743	13,800 4,659 755 5,691	612 1,098 0 1,397	704 4,011 577 876	1,315 5,107 3,105 2,023
		Pienaarspoort Silver Lakes Smutskoppie Hartebeespoortdam	18 18 18 21	71 72 73 75	0 4,293 20 5,940	32,432 16,672 822 23,715	45.0% 57.5% 55.0% 47.7%	0 413 63 1.914	14,586 9,586 452 11,306	0 1,856 0 22	727 909 71 344	0 822 21 77	0 820 86 152	55 2,022 371 2.877	782 6,429 549 3,472	0 1,984 170 4,674	37 496 30 186	3,969 702 34 1,178
		Garankuwa-West Hebron Gamotle Makaraanstad	21 21 20 20	80 81 82 83	405 200 0	75,488 143,426 89,431 187,677	38.7% 38.7% 34.5% 35.7%	80 0 0	29,195 55,571 30,819 66,921	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1,751 2,496 1,563 3,290	405 200 0	161 432 32 2.283	211 891 206 444	2,528 4,019 1,801 6,017	103 0 0 0	4,310 8,114 4,335 9,589	9,708 18,512 9,809 21,889
	1	TOTAL STUDY AREA			177,961	5,140,013	44.8%	50,252	2,303,702	335,451	287,078	289,431	82,425	238,372	1,232,757	147,017	184,079	574,122
	n Tshwane	Temba/Stinkwater Shoshanguve Mabopane/Winterveld	1 2 3	10-11 13 12,14	12,508 119 1,434	362,483 546,479 435,330	37.2% 41.7% 41.7%	0	134,715 227,620 181,382	1,184 0 473	8,557 7,333 11,110	12,638 145 1,953	991 0 4,096	1,029 1,141 893	24,399 8,619 18,525	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	21,233 9,868 25,935	46,659 67,963 61,783
AREA	PDI areas i	Ga-rankuwa/Klip-kruifontein Atteridgeville Mamelodi/Eersterus	4 5 6	15-16 25 26-29	8,738 1,496 3,658	370,562 252,967 426,969	43.1% 44.7% 46.0%	0 0 433	159,727 113,157 196,393	291 220 291	6,144 11,766 11,307	10,683 790 937	228 0 320	454 4,141 3,215	17,800 16,917 16,070	0 0 934	12,052 4,580 8,260	46,614 32,643 59,649
CTIONAL		Sub-total la: PDI areas in Tshwane Rosslyn/Akasia/Montana	8	58 30-35	867 28,820 22,644	26,289 2,421,079 154,829	44.6% 42.3% 50.3%	1,035 1,468 6,838	11,733 1,024,727 77,925	281 2,740 13,826	692 56,909 15,564	610 27,756 35,678	0 5,635 1,659	327 11,200 8,349	1,910 104,240 75,076	4,347 5,281 23,655	305 82,233 4,238	2,770 318,081 12,644
BY FUN	DI & rural	CBD/Sunnyside/Arcadia Old-East Pta-West/Laudium/ Voortrekkerhooste	10 11	40-41 42,43,48 39,47,56	11,833 1,702 6,398 7,292	101,359 69,069	49.4% 51.8% 48.2% 35.4%	143 5,167	52,458 33,306 46,638	121,267 32,151 3 901	55,566 25,319 24,801	33,231 3,106 356	7,047 2,824 28 316	92,785 11,342 25,432	279,771 71,992 95 291	4,958	14,795 4,036	19,983 18,051 7,345
REGATED	Non P	Wapadrand/Moreletapark Centurion Tshwane Rural	13 14 15	44-46 51,53-55 17-21.57	8,761 17,554 13,009	165,851 189,538 29,855	52.7% 51.9% 53.3%	5,388 9,634	87,406 98,453 15,915	34,206 33,892 7	19,698 16,631 858	883 41,300 525	6,508 9,935 85	13,302 13,355 5,767	74,597 115,113 7.242	16,114 30,545 2,465	4,956 5,491 1,577	9,439 16,894 4,058
ATA AGG	Sub tot	Sub total lb: Non PDI / Rural in Tshwane al 1: Total in Tshwane Midrand	16	61-64,67-68	89,193 118,013 39,186	1,056,405 3,477,484 287,652	49.0% 44.4% 54.7%	40,359 41,827 4,003	517,884 1,542,611 157,252	259,678 262,418 70,895	189,044 245,953 19,429	132,940 160,696 103,321	66,810 72,445 2,924	203,144 214,344 11,167	851,616 955,856 207,736	122,946 128,227 8,891	48,153 130,386 10,513	99,471 417,552 18,264
D/	ondary area	Tembisa/Ivorypark/Diepsloot South East (Silverlakes/Smutskoppie) North-east (Tweefontein)	17 18 19	65-66,69 71-73 70	9,188 4,313 716	787,486 49,926 17,728	47.9% 49.3% 47.4%	904 476 1,048	377,002 24,624 8,401	0 1,856 260	10,035 1,707 510	23,173 843 716	2,628 906 462	2,041 2,448 3,743	37,877 7,760 5,691	1,571 2,154 1,397	15,207 563 876	70,482 4,705 2,023
	Sub tot	North (Makapanstad/Gamoltle) West (Hartebeespoort/Ga-rankuwa) al 2: Total in secondary area	20 21	82-83 75,80-81	0 6,545 59,948	277,108 242,629 1,662,529	35.3% 39.6% 45.8%	0 1,994 8,425	97,740 96,072 761,091	0 22 73,033	4,853 4,591 41,125	0 682 128,735	2,315 745 9,980	650 3,979 24,028	7,818 10,019 276,901	0 4,777 18,790	13,924 12,610 53,693	31,698 29,398 156,570
RE-	TOTA/	AL: PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY AREA		30-35	177,961 22,644	5,140,013 154,829	44.8% 50.3%	50,252 6,838	2,303,702 77,925	335,451 13,826	287,078 15,564	289,431 35,678	82,425 1,659	238,372 8,349	1,232,757	147,017 23,655	184,079 4,238	574,122
ATA AGGI	3ATED PI CORRID C	K16 CORRIDOR		26,36-38 30-32,36,39,	12,417	237,000	49.0%	8,755	116,194	20,428	32,428	38,370	10,436	33,518	135,180	23,061	8,570	22,258
DATH DA	ATES	K8 CORRIDOR K16 CORRIDOR		47,55-58	31,553	18.2% 14.6%	44 .0%	15,021 16.7% 3.1%	142,706	46.2%	25.7%	3.4% 0.1%	10.7%	14.6%	15.3%	27.8%	40.4%	41.1% 27.1%
GRG	2	PWV9 CORRIDOR	<u> </u>	30-35	22 644	15.6%	50.3%	5.3%	17.1%	32.0%	15.9%	4.5%	10.6%	14.3%	11.0%	11.4%	43.0%	31.2%
	<u> </u>	K16 CORRIDOR PWV9 CORRIDOR	<u> </u>	26,36-38 30-32,36,39,47,	12,417	206,736	48.9% 43.4%	8,493 14,264	100,993	18,379	28,081 30,386	38,342	9,434 27,541	29,274	123,510	21,042	6,438	17,516

	TABLE							2 pulation	2015_OptimLU: SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA									
		DESCRIPTION	Functional Area Code	Sub region Code(s)	Zone Size	Tot Population	8 %Econ Active	Domestic Servants	Economic Active Population	Office	Retail	Industri	Spesifiek	Outside +Other	Total Formal	Domestic	Informal	Unemployed
	I				177,961	5,880,760	44.9%	52,561	2,642,859	398,000	333,728	326,294	91,295	268,426	1,417,743	162,344	220,450	653,709
		Temba Stinkwater	1	10	12,508	253,846	38.7%	0	98,284 51,614	1,301	7,141	12,768	1,104	1,123	23,437	0	15,897	34,810
		Winterveld	3	12	20	241,824	39.4%	0	95,344	0	4,243	20	2	181	4,446	0	12,331	32,733
		Soshanguwe Mabonane	2	13	119	591,221 265,713	41.8%	0	246,978	0 520	8,646 8,764	2.451	4.561	1,280	10,096	0	10,566	72,240
		Ga-rankuwa	4	15	8,738	180,659	42.7%	0	77,177	319	4,734	12,627	254	176	18,110	0	10,539	26,002
		Hakdoringboom	4	16	0	277,159	43.5%	0	120,465	0	2,828	0	0	550	3,158	0	4,457	32,165
		Rooiwal Wildebeeshoek	15 15	18 20	403	23,286	53.6% 55.5%	28	12,476	0	850	403	12	5,526 49	6,791	36	1,718	4,052
		Boekenhoutskloof	15	21	8,409	4,938	50.8%	418	2,507	5	41	14	23	142	225	1,045	0	210
		Eersterust	5	25	1,496	2/0,6/4 24,238	44.9%	428	121,606	2/0	13,915	1,219	0	4,660	20,064 2,804	923	5,137	2,371
		Mamelodi-Wes Mamelodi-Oos	6	27	2,352	276,996 80.611	45.8% 47.8%	0	126,901	408	6,970	541	320	1,740	9,979	0	5,405	39,149
		Nellmapius	6	29	723	78,084	44.6%	5	34,814	0	3,032	372	0	704	4,108	11	1,539	10,244
		Akasia-CBD	8	30	13,174	29,037	45.0%	1,112	14,910	3,121	2,751	24,764	0	1,498	20,089	2,344	406	2,083
		Theresa Park Pretoria poord	8	32	1,354	23,717	48.9% 47.8%	1,195	11,595	6.056	861 6 568	5,779	0 574	704	7,344	2,540	428	1,943
		Doompoord	8	34	1,287	12,699	56.2%	508	7,141	397	1,029	2,069	0	883	4,378	2,411	394	1,061
	~	Daspoort	9	35	3,438	64,428	50.3% 47.5%	2,499	43,874 30,577	483	4,690	8,037	2,299	5,315	20,279 20,824	4,941	1,516	6,978
	2 E	Moot Silverton	9	37 38	4,556	112,770	50.5% 49.9%	4,178 1,907	56,904 32,850	5,858	15,871 14,294	2,813 27,415	3,512 5,620	9,772 21,849	37,826	10,028 9,163	3,330 4,742	8,262
	2 KE	Pretoria-Wes	12	39	3,479	68,291	37.5%	1,204	25,586	3,550	23,622	12,027	15,951	25,474	80,624	3,481	4,754	7,650
	I SU	Sunnyside	10	40	762	71,801	51.6%	143	37,074	21,091	14,668	2,970	1,206	15,717	52,769	3,441	3,863	5,972
	5 C C	Brooklyn Waterkloof	11	42 43	2,473	40,732 31,869	44.2% 53.5%	2,424 2,743	17,987	34,725 4,381	27,093 3,205	0	2,694	11,438	75,950 8,757	6,220 9,508	4,460 820	6,581 2,167
		Wapadrand Garstfontein	13	44	2,205	68,327	50.8%	2,329	34,740	15,584	7,448	180	5,040	3,353	31,605	7,034	2,258	4,750
	EKE	Rietvleidam	13	46	3,684	30	66.7%	3	20	0	2	1	3	30	36	6	2	4
1	A AU	Voorekkerhoogte Fontains	12	47 48	2,599 2,120	31,418	22.2%	958 0	6,970	9	1,756	0	13,893 400	2,153	17,811 553	2,550	1,338	2,073
	2 TVC	Centurion CBD Irene	14 14	51	3,979	60,180 58 554	50.4%	2,205	30,318	18,676	10,524	11,106	9,122	7,209	56,637 57,824	7,373	2,843	6,964 3,828
	-	Rooihuiskraal	14	54	1,440	25,534	53.3%	1,774	13,613	2,497	1,017	7,003	0	861	11,378	3,982	622	3,130
		Laudium	14	55	5,044	41,048	53.8% 43.8%	5,543	38,278	2,799	3,104	10,130	1,182	2,733	5,232	3,044	388	3,327
		Rantesig	15	57	87	4,182	54.0% 43.8%	556	2,260	400	62	118	42	615	837	1,334	113	369
		Midrand CBD	16	61	15,740	157	58.6%	1,000	92	20,492	16,848	74,196	348	6,250	118,134	31	2,604	2,656
		Midrand Centri West Midrand Centri East	16	62	4,142	81,309	57.2% 46.2%	2,098	62,464 37,541	3,410	1,941	2,408	1,812	4,537	96,728 8,525	4,953 2,672	3,774	6,887
		Olifantsfontein Ivory Park	16 17	64 65	2,347	5,710 389,104	51.0% 46.1%	348 896	2,914	500	2,157 8,630	9,460 19.007	463	1,725	14,305 28,666	565 265	464 6,770	1,044 7,035
		Tembisa	17	66	2,338	435,064	49.9%	8	217,013	0	2,319	4,958	2,320	946	10,543	16	9,966	61,157
		Midrand Suid Midrand Rural West	16	67	2,131 2,546	39,221	48.1%	456	18,867	2,434	2,266	2,376	39	255	20,564 7,370	514	6,358	6,872
		Diepsloot Roodeplaat	17 19	69 70	77	30,000 17,728	40.0%	0	12,000 8,401	0 260	257 510	77	308 462	3,743	755 5.691	0	577 876	3,105
		Pienaarspoort Silvara Lalvar	18	71	0	64,329	45.0%	0	28,931	0	1,446	0	0	61	1,507	0	72	7,922
		Smutskoppie	18	72	4,293	1,224	55.0%	63	673	5,135	1,790	619	1,324	371	1,243	2,709	93	96
		Hartebeespoortdam Garankuwa-West	21 21	75 80	5,940 405	26,991 86.065	47.7%	2,152	12,864	24	373	83 405	162	2,934	3,576	5,269	186	1,297
		Hebron	21	81	200	171,824	38.4%	0	65,912	0	3,082	200	480	985	4,747	0	9,569	22,085
		Makapanstad	20	83	0	211,809	35.7%	0	75,559	0	3,993	0	2,543	491	7,027	0	10,817	24,899
		TOTAL STUDY AREA			177 961	5 880 760	11 9%	52 561	2 642 850	398.000	333 728	376 204	01 205	268 426	1 417 743	162 344	220.450	653 700
		TOTAL STODY MILLA			177,901	5,000,700	44.570	52,501	2,042,057	570,000	555,120	520,274	1,275	200,420	1,417,745	102,344	220,450	055,707
	wane	Temba/Stinkwater	1	10-11	12,508	405,260	37.0%	0	149,898	1,301	9,958	12,768	1,104	1,139	26,270	0	23,457	52,063
	n Tsh	Mabopane/Winterveld	3	12,14	1,434	507,537	41.7%	0	240,978 211,859	520	13,007	2,471	4,563	988	21,549	0	29,052	72,240
	eas ii	Ga-rankuwa/Klip-kruifontein	4	15-16	8,738	457,818	43.2%	0	197,640	319	7,562	12,627	254	506	21,268	0	14,996	58,167
REA	OI ar	Atteridgeville Mamelodi/Eersterus	5	25	1,496	2/0,6/4	44.9%	433	211,606	270	13,915	1,219	320	4,660	20,064	0 934	5,137	34,837
AL A	Id	Olivenhoutbosch	7	58	867	33,651	43.8%	1,035	14,730	400	1,031	832	0	369	2,632	4,411	391	3,539
/NO		Sub-total 1a: PDI areas in Tshwane		20.25	28,820	2,726,090	42.3%	1,468	1,154,020	3,218	67,186	31,112	6,241	12,548	120,305	5,345	92,592	356,156
ICTI	_	Moot	9	36-35	11,833	242,968	49.5%	8,584	120,331	22,463	34,855	30,830	11,431	36,936	143,950	24,132	10,063	24,596
FUN	t rura	CBD/Sunnyside/Arcadia	10	40-41	1,702	104,968	51.8%	143	54,398	123,857	61,007	3,063	7,718	104,463	300,108	5,037	18,604	21,197
λBΥ	δ Iď	Pta-West/Laudium/ Voortrekkerhoogte	11 12	42,43,48 39,47.56	6,398 7.292	72,601	48.3% 35.9%	5,167 3,825	35,041 50,547	39,106 4.194	30,298 27,078	0 12.736	3,094 31,026	12,762 28.633	85,260 103.667	15,728 9.075	5,306 6.480	8,779 13.050
VTEL	Ion F	Wapadrand/Moreletapark	13	44-46	8,761	184,960	52.6%	5,463	97,370	37,647	22,480	826	7,568	14,618	83,139	17,642	6,216	11,081
EG/	2	Centurion Tehwane Pural	14	51,53-55	17,554	215,361	51.8%	10,290	111,473	44,926	19,551	53,975	11,200	14,953	144,605	34,695	7,916	21,050
GGR		Sub total 1b: Non PDI / Rural in Tshwane	15	17-21,37	89,193	1,174,258	49.2%	42,430	18,143 577,192	290,387	214,959	540 146,241	85 73,938	0,3 <i>3</i> 2 228,092	<u>95</u> 3,617	2,790 137,879	61,847	4,706
N N	Sub tot	al 1: Total in Tshwane			118,013	3,900,348	44.4%	43,898	1,731,212	293,605	282,145	177,353	80,179	240,640	1,073,922	143,224	154,439	476,918
DAT	ea	Midrand Tembisa/Ivorypark/Diepsloot	16	61-64,67-68	39,186	420,278	54.4%	4,003	228,448	100,915	25,142	121,928	3,201	14,440	265,626	9,079	16,398	23,563
	ary ai	South East (Silverlakes/Smutskoppie)	18	71-73	4,313	89,597	48.7%	476	43,597	3,196	3,329	1,567	1,429	2,088	12,174	2,991	978	9,095
	conda	North-east (Tweefontein)	19	70	716	17,728	47.4%	1,048	8,401	260	510	716	462	3,743	5,691	1,397	876	2,023
	Sex	West (Hartebeespoort/Ga-rankuwa)	20	82-83 75,80-81	0 6,545	313,/61 284,880	39.3%	2,232	110,747	24	5,884 5,512	688	2,5/8	/19 4,143	9,181 1,185	0 <u>5</u> ,372	15,791	36,278 34,535
	Sub tot	al 2: Total in secondary area			59,948	1,980,412	46.0%	8,663	911,647	104,395	51,583	148,941	11,116	27,786	343,821	19,120	66,011	176,791
	TOTA	AL: PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY AREA			177,961	5,880,760	44.9%	52,561	2,642,859	398,000	333,728	326,294	91,295	268,426	1,417,743	162,344	220,450	653,709
ம்	1	KS CORRIDOR	I	20.25	22.67	170 41	50.001	7.00-	80.007	10.107	10.727	26.02-	1.01-	0.207	0100	20.205	E 101	10.00
GRI	DOR	ROCURKIDUK		30-33	22,644	178,615	50.3%	7,806	89,889	18,187	18,723	36,836	1,816	9,395	84,957	28,780	5,431	16,303
AAC	RRI	K16 CORRIDOR		26,36-38	12,417	267,206	49.2%	9,012	131,369	22,463	36,753	38,377	11,431	37,730	146,754	25,055	10,664	26,967
DAT.	CO	PWV9 CORRIDOR		30-32,36,39, 47 55 58	31,553	368,297	44.4%	15,765	163,541	10,997	40,004	62,396	33,367	39,962	186,726	43,631	12,207	36,323
I H.	s	K8 CORRIDOR		06-66		36.4%		33.2%	36.3%	92.3%	51.2%	6.8%	21.2%	28.9%	30.4%	55.4%	80.0%	81.9%
LMC	ATE	K16 CORRIDOR				29.2%		6.1%	30.1%	22.2%	30.9%	0.1%	21.2%	28.9%	18.8%	19.1%	65.6%	54.0%
GR	Ж	PWV9 CORRIDOR				31.2%		10.5%	34.2%	63.8%	31.7%	8.9%	21.2%	28.5%	22.0%	22.7%	85.3%	62.1%
		K16 CORRIDOR		30-35 26,36-38	22,644	130,996	50.3% 48.9%	5,861 8,493	65,936 100,993	9,459 18.379	12,382 28.081	34,506 38,342	1,498 9.434	7,287	65,132 123,510	18,516 21.042	3,018 6.438	8,963
	i	PWV9 CORRIDOR	i	30-32,36,39,47,	31,553	280,644	43.4%	14,264	121,833	6,712	30,386	57,311	27,541	31,092	153,042	35,550	6,587	22,407

TABLE								CONO	NOMIC DATA orkers by type of work									
			de de	uo (s		Б	ctive								a			/ed
		DESCRIPTION	Function Area Co	Sub regi Code(s	Zone Size	Tot Populati	%Econ Ac	Domestic Servants	Economic Active Population	Office	Retail	Industrl	Spesifiek	Outside +Other	Total Form Jobs	Domestic	Informal	Unemploy
					177,961	6,690,680	44.9%	56,857	3,002,563	427,908	377,528	350,104	99,131	284,939	1,539,610	138,562	412,030	804,074
		Temba Stinkwater Winter uld	1	10	12,508	2/8,868	38.5%	0	107,483	1,404	3,217	12,882	1,210	1,220	24,630	0	18,362 8,502	40,619
		Soshanguwe	2	12	119	482,429	41.2%	0	109,028	42	12,064	168	0	1,586	13,860	0	9,732	57,915
		Ga-rankuwa Klin Kuniefontoin	4	14	8,738	200,850	43.5%	0	85,895	375	5,759	14,335	278	662	21,409	0	10,710	40,137
		Hakdoringboom Booimal	4 15	16	0	219,882	43.3%	0	134,400 88,988	416	3,736	3,961	0	4,158	11,845	0	19,444	40,238
		Koolwal Wildebeeshoek Boekenheuteldoof	15	20	403 4,110 8,400	23,805	55.5%	170	12,754	2	950	405	8	5,999	7,550	426	426	4,205
		Atteridgeville	5	25	1,496	296,075	45.2%	400	133,894	1,331	16,109	14	0	13,464	32,113	1,165	8,801	39,380
		Hersterust Mamelodi-Wes	6	26	2,352	25,867	45.5%	428	11,779	433	8,265	538	320	1,661	2,105	923	5,668	2,453
		Nellmapius Boolum	6	28 29 20	723	91,568	47.8%	5	41,484	0	3,731	369	0	4,292	2,255 8,392	11	2,179	11,261
		Akasia-CBD	8	30	13,174	45,457	40.0%	1,785	23,232	5,787	4,280	24,505	0	458	10,525	3,746	4,605	4,579
		Pretoria noord	8	32 33	3,218	26,105	48.7%	2,099 820	12,482	6,360	7,406	2,511	619	3,371	20,267	2,251	2,150	4,163
		Doornpoord Magalieskruin	8	34 35	3,438	39,732 96,172	50.5%	442 4,471 2,400	48,321	8,739	3,665 9,596	4,132	1,340	8,018 14,179 7,422	28,044 35,539	2,401	4,547	7,138
NOL	ION	Moot Silveston	9	30	4,556	151,126	46.2%	5,293	80,736	5,973	18,961	2,791	2,480	10,259	41,773	9,929	14,376	15,510
Jan o	D KEC	Pretoria-Wes	12	39	3,479	83,491	40.7 % 39.4%	1,313	32,894	3,526	23,737	7,884	17,207	21,944	74,298	2,367	8,549	10,254
1 I I I	Ine I	Sunnyside	10	40 41 42	939 762	44,635	53.1% 51.6%	143	23,697 37,417	21,154	40,116	1,696	1,301	52,/16 9,991	202,368 46,163	3,370	7,950	24,859 8,053
		Brooklyn Waterkloof	11	42 43	2,473	63,218 32,421	45.4%	2,761	28,725	31,586	4,086	565	2,907	11,653	10,397	7,077	8,183	2,814
100	FUA	Garstfontein Distribuiden	13	44 45	2,205	126,928	50.9% 53.4%	3,130	67,809	24,056	17,117	373 996	2,972	7,488	52,629	11,183	8,575 10,658	6,498 9,406
00		Voorekkerhoogte	13	40	2,599	32,295	22.9%	958	7,410	9	2,290	0	14,986	2,282	19,567	1,375	3,235	3,372
Ē	VIV'	Fontans Centurion CBD	11	48	2,120	62,670	50.5%	2,205	31,641	0 18,676	11,157	0 11,038	431 9,839	162 8,798	593 59,508	6,399	42 10,632	65 10,352
4	'n	Irene Rooihuiskraal	14	53 54	7,091	63,68/ 25,911	50.6%	1,774	32,202	26,775 8,487	6,298 1,486	33,079 9,546	2,369	4,408	72,929 23,861	4,668 4,688	4,360	9,458 5,791
		Wierdapark Laudium	14	55 56	5,044	47,822	53.8% 44.5%	5,836	41,661 21,299	3,232	3,993	10,056	1,276	6,8/1 864	24,152 6,082	8,425 2,394	2,959	9,339 4,576
		Rantesig Olivenhoutbosch	15 7	57	8/	3,686	53.1% 43.3%	556 1,035	1,957 44,831	0 459	2,622	828	42	457	678	1,820	562 7,975	290
		Midrand CBD Midrand Centrl West	16 16	61 62	15,740 12,280	157 109,229	58.6% 57.2%	18 2,095	92 62,465	20,882 74,077	16,860 1,941	75,571 14,359	347 1,812	6,451 4,538	120,111 96,727	31 4,952	2,697	3,398 6,886
		Midrand Centrl East Olifantsfontein	16 16	63 64	4,142 2,347	88,226 5,710	46.1% 51.0%	710 348	40,652 2,914	3,506 500	1,690 2,157	2,609 9,460	827 463	718	9,350 14,305	3,316 565	4,124 464	5,018 1,424
		Ivory Park Tembisa	17 17	65 66	6,773 2,338	389,104 435,064	46.1% 49.9%	895 7	179,402 217,013	0	8,629 2,319	19,007 4,958	2,320	1,030 946	28,666 10,543	265	6,769 9,966	7,034 61,156
		Midrand suid Midrand Rural West	16 16	67 68	2,131 2,546	39,221 184,654	48.1% 57.7%	456 373	18,867	0 2,434	480 2,266	19,127 2,376	0	954 255	20,561 7,370	344 514	1,264 6,358	1,608 6,872
		Diepsloot Roodeplaat	17 19	69 70	77 716	30,000 36,242	40.0% 52.2%	0 1,048	12,000 18,934	0 832	257 1,317	77 716	308 462	113 4,223	755 7,550	0 1,397	577 3,547	3,105 5,341
		Pienaarspoort Silver Lakes	18 18	71 72	0 4,293	74,448 28,427	49.5% 58.1%	0 413	36,847 16,522	518 4,600	2,980 2,252	0 1,034	0 1,666	6,469 2,337	9,967 11,889	3,285	5,918 1,003	11,093 2,029
		Smutskoppie Hartebeespoortdam	18 21	73	20 5,940	2,216 30,447	55.0% 47.7%	63 2,430	1,219 14,508	182	407	1,737	153	371 3,000	2,570 3,699	349 5,965	183 6,031	344 1,436
		Garankuwa-West Hebron	21 21	80 81	405	115,064 192,045	38.6% 38.2%	80 0	44,462	0	2,370 3,581	405 200	191 528	236 1,069	3,202 5,378	103	5,501 9,863	12,526 22,645
		Gamotle Makapanstad	20 20	82 83	0	127,001 246,172	34.7% 35.6%	0	44,018 87,724	0	2,204 4,618	0	39 2,786	247 534	2,490 7,938	0	5,555 12,257	12,710 28,235
		TOTAL STUDY AREA			177,961	6,690,680	44.9%	56,857	3,002,563	427,908	377,528	350,104	99,131	284,939	1,539,610	138,562	412,030	804,074
	ane	Temba/Stinkwater	1	10-11	12,508	444,316	36.9%	0	163,754	1,404	11,131	12,882	1,210	1,237	27,864	0	26,864	60,055
	Tshw	Shoshanguve Mabopane/Winterveld	2	13	119	482,429	41.2%	0	198,915	42	12,064	168	1 996	1,586	13,860	0	9,732	57,915
	as in	Ga-rankuwa/Klip-kruifontein	4	15-16	8,738	557,705	43.1%	0	240,361	702	12,113	14,335	278	5,824	33,252	0	16,902	67,378
REA	DI ar	Atteridgeville Mamelodi/Eersterus	5	25 26-29	1,496 3,658	296,075 480,804	45.2% 46.0%	433	133,894 221,354	1,331 433	16,109 15,544	1,209	320	13,464 6,653	32,113 23,969	0 934	8,801 10,836	39,380
AL A	Р	Olivenhoutbosch	7	58	867	103,436	43.3%	1,035	44,831	459	2,622	828	0	11,100	15,009	1,820	7,975	12,329
TION		Rosslyn/Akasia/Montana	8	30-35	28,820	2,909,818	42.2% 50.9%	9,617	1,226,674	33,119	27,489	43,373	1,959	26,544	171,013	2,734	38,603	379,328
UNC	rural	Moot CBD/Sunnyside/Arcadia	9 10	36-38 40-41	11,833 1,702	341,635	50.6% 52.2%	9,466 143	172,971 61,114	22,851 121,968	41,209 53,788	40,363	12,332 8,327	35,716 62,707	152,471 248,531	16,488 4,987	36,619 26,704	45,451 32,912
ΒΥF	I & I	Old-East	11	42,43,48	6,398	95,639	48.2%	5,504	46,073	36,075	33,366	642	3,338	13,560	86,981	14,131	21,465	16,169
VTED	ion PI	Wapadrand/Moreletapark	12	39,47,56 44-46	8,761	198,827	52.5%	4,457	01,603	4,170	27,917 25,419	9,301	33,469 8,492	25,090 9,136	99,947 84,047	0,136	14,/43	20,829
REGA	2	Centurion Tshwane Rural	14	51,53-55	17,554	229,676	52.0% 42.2%	10,583	119,318	57,170	22,934	63,719 4,501	12,208	24,419	180,450	24,180	31,636	34,940
AGGI		Sub total 1b: Non PDI / Rural in Tshwane			89,193	1,647,637	48.5%	46,453	798,375	315,406	236,911	165,010	80,211	207,986	1,005,524	114,705	212,190	231,886
ATA .	Sub tot	al I: Total in Tshwane Midrand	16	61-64,67-68	39,186	4,557,253 427,197	44.4% 54.2%	47,921 4,000	2,025,049 231,560	320,350	321,073	198,378	3,488	249,723	268,424	9,722	328,020 16,840	611,214 25,206
D/	y area	Tembisa/Ivorypark/Diepsloot South East (Silverlakes/Smutskoppie)	17	65-66,69 71-73	9,188 4 313	854,168	47.8%	902 476	408,415	5 300	11,205	24,042	2,628	2,089	39,964 24 426	281	17,312	71,295
	ondar	North-east (Tweefontein)	19	70	716	36,242	52.2%	1,048	18,934	832	1,317	716	462	4,223	7,550	1,397	3,547	5,341
	Sec	worm (Makapanstad/Gamoltle) West (Hartebeespoort/Ga-rankuwa)	20 21	82-83 75,80-81	0 6,545	373,173 337,556	35.3% 39.2%	0 2,510	131,742 132,275	0 27	6,822 6,358	0 695	2,825 894	781 4,305	10,428 12,279	0 6,068	17,812 21,395	40,945 36,607
	Sub tota	al 2: Total in secondary area			59,948	2,133,427	45.8%	8,936	977,514	107,558	56,455	151,726	12,116	35,216	363,071	21,103	84,010	192,860
	TOTA	AL: PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY AREA			177,961	6,690,680	44.9%	56,857	3,002,563	427,908	377,528	350,104	99,131	284,939	1,539,610	138,562	412,030	804,074
RE-	JR .	K8 CORRIDOR	-	30-35	22,644	246,396	50.9%	9,617	125,344	33,119	27,489	43,373	1,959	26,544	132,484	27,904	38,603	33,828
AGG	RIDO	K16 CORRIDOR		26,36-38	12,417	367,502	50.3%	9,894	184,750	22,851	43,202	40,475	12,332	35,716	154,576	17,411	38,167	47,904
ATA.	COR	PWV9 CORRIDOR		30-32,36,39,	31.552	536 697	45.2%	18 267	242 362	14.469	48 421	65 716	35 001	51 024	216 522	25.015	54 353	68 59
H D.	S	K8 CORRIDOR		47,55-58	51,555	88 1º/		64 1%	242,303 90.1%	250 1%	122 0%	25 7%	30.8%	264 3%	103.4%	50.7%	1179 1%	277 /0/
OWT	ATE	K16 CORRIDOR				77.8%		16.5%	82.9%	24.3%	53.8%	5.6%	30.7%	22.0%	25.2%	-17.3%	492.8%	173.5%
GR	К	PWV9 CORRIDOR	1			91.2%		28.1%	98.9%	115.6%	59.4%	14.7%	30.7%	67.0%	41.5%	-29.6%	725.1%	206.1%
		K8 CORRIDOR		30-35	22,644	130,996	50.3%	5,861	65,936	9,459	12,382	34,506	1,498	7,287	65,132	18,516	3,018	8,963
F		K16 CORRIDOR PWV9 CORRIDOR		26,36-38	12,417	206,736	48.9%	8,493	100,993	18,379	28,081	38,342	9,434	29,274	123,510	21,042	6,438	17,516

Year	Total population	Economically active population (%)	Total formal jobs				
Year 2005	130 996	50,3	65 132				
Year 2010	154 829	50,3	75 076				
Year 2015	178 615	50,3	84 957				
Year 2020	246 396	50,9	132 484				
Growth rate	88,1%	1,1%	103,4%				
(%) 2005-2020			,				

The main results are summarised in table 6.3 below.

Table 6.3: Trend scenario for socioeconomic growth of road K8 (2005 – 2020)

From the above table it is evident that for the economy of the study area associated with road K8, the population growth is expected to be 88,1% and that of formal jobs 103,4% from 2005 to the year 2020 (note that these figures did not consider the impact of HIV/AIDS). Although these figures seem fairly high, they are for a 15-year period. The population growth in the study area is about 5 to 6% per annum, while the average growth rate for the Tshwane metropolitan area is predicted to be 3,37% per annum. Given the aforementioned, one can deduce that these figures are acceptable because certain areas in the city will have a negative growth rate. These figures imply that good economic development is expected to occur, which is augmented by the high growth in the formal job sector.

Table 6.4 provides details of the economic impacts associated with the study area of road K16. From this table it is clear that the population growth rate, between 2005 and 2020, is expected to be 77,8% and that of formal jobs, 25,2%. The economic impacts associated with road K16 are lower in relation to those of road K8.

Year	Total population	Economically active population (%)	Total formal jobs
Year 2005	206 736	48,9	123 510
Year 2010	237 000	49,0	135 180
Year 2015	267 206	49,2	146 754
Year 2020	367 502	50,3	154 576
Growth rate (%) 2005-2020	77,8%	2,9%	25,2%

Table 6.4: Trend scenario for socioeconomic growth of road K16 (year 2005 –2020)

Table 6.5: Trend scenario for socioeconomic growth of road PWV9 (year 2005 –2020).

Year	Total population	Economically active population (%)	Total formal jobs
Year 2005	280 644	43,4	153 042
Year 2010	324 510	44,0	169 948
Year 2015	368 297	44,4	186 726
Year 2020	536 687	45,2	216 522
Growth rate	91,2%	4,0%	41,5%
(%) 2005-20			

From table 6.5 it is evident that the population growth rate is expected to be 91,2% and that of formal jobs 41,5% in the study area of road PWV9 for 2005 to 2020.

A comparison of the above figures will provide more information on the growth potential of the respective study areas and the expected economic impacts. In terms of these figures, the expected population growth of the study area of road PWV9 is the highest, while that of road K16 is the lowest. These figures support previous discussions (see ch 5) which indicated that the aforementioned study area is not well developed with its large vacant areas, while the latter is located in a

mature urban area. It was also stated earlier that road K8 is expected to have the highest economic growth rate of the different alternatives. This is true if measured in terms of the expected growth rate of formal jobs in the different study areas. For instance, the expected growth rate of K8 is 103,4% compared with 25,2% and 41,5% of roads K16 and PWV9 respectively. The above tables also indicate that road PWV9 is expected to have the highest growth rate in terms of economically active population (4,0%). This can be ascribed to the fact that the area is not well developed at present and that the high growth in population and future economic activity of the area should improve the lives of the economically active population (50,9% for road K8 and 50,3% for road K16 compared with only 45,2% for road PWV9).

This discussion highlighted the importance of effective policy making and decision making to ensure that road investments are made efficiently and effective with the highest economic impacts.

Policy making should thus be refocused to ensure maximised economic returns of road infrastructure investment. The interplay between decision making, economic returns and road investment must thus be optimised to ensure satisfactory decision making for road investment projects and subsequent maximised economic returns.

6.4 **REFOCUSING ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT**

In section 6.4 it was argued that policy making on road investment needs to be reformed to ensure maximised economic returns associated with these investment decisions. This problem is not confined to South Africa – but it seems to be a global phenomenon. Puentes (2004:1) concurs and states that sadly, in the USA, the national transportation system is in a bad way and in dire need of fundamental

reform. He goes on to say that billions and billions of dollars of additional federal investments will do precious little to ameliorate transportation problems without significant reform.

Current road investment practices are not focused to ensure maximised economic returns. This was shown throughout this thesis:

- Uncertainty about the important relationship between road infrastructure investment and economic development has led to inappropriate policy decisions (ch 1).
- There is limited understanding of the nature of road infrastructure, often resulting in unsatisfactory investment decisions with poor economic returns (ch 2).
- There is a poor understanding of the relationship between road infrastructure investment and economic development, resulting in limited economic growth (ch 3).
- Ineffective modelling techniques or inadequate economic studies have led to poor investment advice (ch 4).
- There is no formula for road investment and economic development hence road investment priorities are not based on maximised economic returns associated with these investments (ch 5).
- There is a poor relationship between policy making and investment decisions with resultant poor economic returns (ch 6).

The above problems support the need to refocus road infrastructure investment decisions. Most of these road investment decisions are made at political level, which emphasises the crucial role of policy making in influencing and strengthening the impact of road investment on economic development.

This section proposes certain road infrastructure investment reforms required to ensure maximised economic returns associated with road investment. The road infrastructure investment reforms outlined below are needed to ensure the optimum economic returns associated with road infrastructure investment decisions.

6.4.1 Creating greater efficiency in road infrastructure investment decisions

Section 6.3.1 discussed the issue of efficiency and road investment. It was shown that certain decisions on road investment are not efficient. Reform is thus required to address the problems of over- or underinvestment in road infrastructure. An investment of only 1% in South Africa's infrastructure, particularly in its road infrastructure, could result in a net increase in the GDP of between 2 and 3% (Anon 1995:35).

This efficiency will be achieved if the principal findings of chapters 2 and 3 are implemented. For instance, in chapter 2, it was argued that because this approach is demand led, the unbalanced approach will lead to better investment decisions. South Africa cannot afford the costs associated with the balanced approach towards road investment. Chapter 3 focused on the causality between road infrastructure investment. Any investment decision should focus on the following four themes:

- (1) the investment component or so-called "trigger mechanism"
- (2) the network performance component
- (3) transport economic behaviour which is manifested in location and real effects
- (4) the economic development component

Chapter 4 proposed a framework on how to model the impacts associated with road infrastructure investment and economic development.

Improved efficiency in road investment will maximise economic growth and development.

6.4.2 Rethinking road prioritisation

This study highlighted the process of moving away from road prioritisation based purely on direct transport impacts. The indirect economic implications associated with road infrastructure should play a vital role in setting priorities. This calls for rigorous economic studies, which include both direct transport impacts and indirect economic impacts. Proper economic studies provide a sound basis for improved road priorities and hence investment decisions. Road projects with the highest potential economic returns should receive top priority.

6.4.3 Depoliticising road infrastructure investment decisions

Studies in the USA (Gramlich 1994:1182) have found that state and local officials report that their main hurdle in building new infrastructure capital is in gaining voter's approval. Roughly 20% of all new state and local construction must be approved by referenda. This means that infrastructure investment proposals may be prioritised on political grounds, which may not reflect real priorities. This matter was also discussed in section 6.3.

In South Africa, nowadays political preferences are aimed at addressing social and health-related projects, hence limiting allocations to road projects. In this regard, Van der Merwe and Babamia (DOT 1995:1-1) caution that the expectation is that until social demands such as the improvement of living conditions and education have been satisfied, it is unlikely that there will be increased funds for transport infrastructure. According to Steyn (2004:58), the most critical aspect of state spending that needs to change is the imbalance between capital spending and spending on social security, because of the large portion allocated to social security in the state budget. Mirrilees (DOT 1991:1-1) agrees and concludes that in the face of this competition (ie the social demands), superficially the requirements for road infrastructure appear to be of less importance. This clearly places road prioritisation in a dilemma.

In addition to the competing demands of other infrastructure and services, the political objectives of the spatial development initiatives (SDIs) may promote incorrect road investment decisions. The MCDC project is a case in point. Although the concept of SDIs is sound, it is based on a fundamentally flawed approach. The projects have limited economic justification and are based mainly on a supply-side approach. Chapter 2 highlighted the problems associated with such an approach.

The discussions in section 6.3 enphasised the need for a proper relationship between political decision making, road investment and economic returns. By depoliticising road investment decisions with effective policy making, maximised economic returns can be achieved with road projects.

6.4.4 Institutional reforms

There is a need for closer cooperation between the different road authorities. This calls for certain institutional reforms to ensure this cooperation. A national road investment body consisting of officials from the different road agencies at all tiers of government, and treasury officials may prove invaluable. This will also

promote meaningful policy making and hence higher economic returns associated with road investment.

This section highlighted the reforms required to refocus road investment in this country. If these reforms are in place it is anticipated that the relationship between policy making and road investment decisions will be optimised thus ensuring maximum economic returns.

6.5 SUMMARY

This chapter assessed the relationship between road investment practices and maximised economic returns. The principal findings are summarised below.

- (1) The following four factors are important in examining the relationship between transportation and economic development:
 - the relevant type of transport investment
 - the data necessary to analyse the economic effect of the investment
 - appropriate methodology to analyse the economic effect
 - proper dissemination of the results and the education of professionals on the economic effects of transportation investment
- (2) Road investment projects need to measure inputs, outputs, outcomes and impacts in order to maximise economic returns. These terms are explained below.
 - **Inputs.** Inputs are the resources and capacity mobilised to ensure the road project. Inputs thus have to do with the cost of the project as well as other activities related to the road investment process.

- **Outputs.** The output is the specific project that results directly from the inputs, which is the actual road being constructed.
- **Outcomes**. Outcomes refer to the direct consequences or results flowing from an output. The outcome is thus the change in conditions once the road has been constructed. This is the direct result of the project and may include the diversion of traffic to the new road.
- **Impacts.** Impacts reflect the wider economic implications of the project. These impacts may relate to a growth in business sales or income or job creation as a result of the road project, and occur over a longer period than the actual implementation time.

The relationship between inputs, outputs, outcomes and impacts of road projects is a key indicator of the accuracy of policy and the correctness of political decision making on road investment decisions. The relationship between input and outcomes measures efficiency, while the relationship between inputs and outcomes measure effectiveness. Road K8 was the most efficient and effective project in terms of the three case studies.

- (3) Current road investment practices are not focused to ensure maximised economic returns. This is based on the following:
 - Uncertainty about the important relationship between road infrastructure investment and economic development has led to inappropriate policy decisions.
 - Limited understanding of the nature of road infrastructure often results in incorrect investment decisions with poor economic returns.

- A lack of understanding of the relationship between road infrastructure investment and economic development leads to limited economic growth.
- Improper modelling techniques or inadequate economic studies lead to poor investment advice.
- There is no formula for road investment and economic development hence road investment priorities are not based on maximised economic returns associated with these investments.
- There is a poor relationship between policy making and investment decisions with resultant poor economic returns.
- (4) The following road infrastructure investment reforms are required to ensure the optimum economic returns associated with road infrastructure investment decisions:
 - creating greater efficiency in road infrastructure investment decisions
 - rethinking road prioritisation
 - depoliticising road infrastructure investment decisions
 - institutional reforms

6.6 CONCLUSION

The purpose of this thesis, namely to explore ways to maximise the economic returns of road infrastructure investment, was twofold because it required the development of assessment techniques, as well as changes investment practices to ensure maximised economic returns. The aim of this chapter was to refine road investment practices in South Africa to ensure maximised economic returns.

It was shown that decision making and policy making play a crucial role in influencing and strengthening the impact of road investment on economic development. Policy making includes decision making by the political organ and the politicians. Hence policy making and the decisions emanating from it, which affect both economic returns and road investment, are the predominant factor in realising economic development benefits from road infrastructure investment. It was shown that the relationship between these factors does not support the maximisation of the economic returns of these road investments, a fact proven by the three case studies. In terms of current policy initiatives, road PWV9 receives the highest priority, but this road investment project is inefficient (based on the relationship of input and outputs) and ineffective (based on the relationship) between inputs and outcomes), with poor economic impacts expected in the long run. Road K8 is the most efficient and effective road investment project, but does not have any policy priority. The relationship between policy making and road investment decisions are such that high economic returns cannot be expected. This will lead to either over- or underinvestment in road projects in South Africa.

In order to maximise the economic returns associated with road infrastructure investment it is necessary to optimise the interplay between decision making, economic returns and road investment. The policy reforms proposed in this chapter should help to realise this goal.