

**ANALYSING 'INVOLVEMENT' IN DISTANCE EDUCATION STUDY GUIDES: AN
APPRAISAL-BASED APPROACH**

by

GERTRUIDA ELIZABETH MISCHKE

submitted in accordance with the requirements
for the degree of

DOCTOR OF LITERATURE AND PHILOSOPHY

in the subject

LINGUISTICS

at the

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA

PROMOTER: PROF E H HUBBARD

NOVEMBER 2005

ABSTRACT

The main aim of this study is to extend our current understanding of the linguistic characteristics of student-centred distance education texts. This aim links directly with the shift in South Africa from an objectivistic, content-centred teaching approach towards an outcomes-based, student-centred one. Partly because few guidelines exist as to what the linguistic characteristics of student-centred texts are, developers of such texts in a distance education environment face many challenges and thus, a secondary, more indirect aim of this study is to benefit developers of distance education study materials.

In view of the educational context in which the study is situated, a brief overview of some of the most relevant pedagogic perspectives underlying the notion of student-centredness is provided. Student-centredness is then interpreted in terms of Biber's (1988) construal of 'involvement' and also in terms of Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL): more particularly, the interpersonal discourse semantic metafunction of SFL and on insights developed in Appraisal Theory. The focus of the study is thus on 'involvement' and the expression of evaluative stance, and consequently on attitudinal language through 'involvement' features. Of particular interest to the present study is how learning is advanced through the use of attitudinal language.

The data for the study include six print-based distance education teaching texts (study guides) from three different academic departments at the University of South Africa. Two guides from each department are analysed and compared: one developed by way of a content-centred approach to teaching and the other by way of a student-centred approach. The linguistic construal of evaluative stance in these guides is analysed and interpreted in interpersonal terms. The thesis develops a theoretically motivated explanation of the linguistic characteristics of student-centred distance education texts, and in the process provides evidence of the interpersonal and pedagogic relevance of evaluative stance in the context of distance education.

Some of the main conclusions reached are that student-centred texts differ from content-centred ones with regard to: the extent to which the social presence of discourse participants is signalled in such texts; the extent to which solidarity is negotiated with students; the participation of students in the knowledge construction process; the relationship that prevails between lecturers and students; and the identity developed for both students as well as lecturers.

Key terms: 'involvement', attitude, affect, judgement, appreciation, graduation, engagement, appraisal, evaluation, student-centred, content-centred, solidarity negotiation.

I declare that *Analysing 'involvement' in distance education study guides: an Appraisal-based approach* is my own work and that all the sources that I have used or quoted have been indicated and acknowledged by means of complete references.

SIGNATURE

(Gerda Mischke)

DATE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first and foremost like to acknowledge the many times I relied on God to give me courage to persevere with this research project.

I also owe much to the following people:

Hilton Hubbard, my promoter, for his meticulous mind and unfailing leadership.

Oscar Kilpert, who interpreted my data statistically and enabled me to determine the significance of my findings.

Martemie, my daughter, who assisted me with the word counts. Only very special people render assistance with such a tedious task.

Jean Mitchell and Alice Goodwin-Davey, my colleagues, for their caring attitude during the editing of the thesis.

Martemie, Rentia, Isabel, Eduan, Christo, Delize and Annieke, my family members, for their all-enduring love during very unsocial times.

Carl, my husband, who is but one of a kind. Carl, I will forever be grateful that you're always my biggest supporter in whatever I do. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Statement of the research problem.....	1
1.2	Some pedagogical perspectives underlying the research problem.....	3
1.3	Linguistic perspectives on the research problem.....	6
1.4	Aims.....	15
1.5	Research questions.....	16
1.6	Data corpus.....	16
1.7	Hypotheses.....	18
1.8	Structure of the study.....	18

CHAPTER 2: POSITIONING THE STUDY PEDAGOGICALLY AND EXPLORING RELATED LINGUISTIC RESEARCH

2.0	Introduction.....	21
2.1	Pedagogical perspectives underlying student-centredness.....	21
2.1.1	Learning theories that advance a student-centred approach.....	22
2.1.1.1	Transformative learning.....	22
2.1.1.2	Constructivism.....	26
2.1.1.3	'Whole person' learning and cooperative inquiry.....	30
2.1.1.4	Concluding remarks.....	34
2.1.2	Student-centredness and distance education.....	34
2.1.3	Social presence theory and distance education.....	37
2.2	Linguistic perspectives relating to student-centredness.....	38
2.2.1	Social presence as 'involvement'.....	41
2.2.2	Social presence as truth function, evidentiality and politeness.....	46
2.2.3	Social presence as evaluation.....	48
2.2.4	Social presence as appraisal.....	58
2.2.4.1	Appraisal theory: general background.....	59
2.2.4.2	ATTITUDE: the activation of positive or negative positionings.....	63
(a)	AFFECT.....	63
(b)	JUDGEMENT.....	64
(c)	APPRECIATION.....	67
2.2.4.3	GRADUATION	69
2.2.4.4	ENGAGEMENT.....	71
2.2.4.5	Concluding remarks.....	77

2.2.5	Appraisal theory applied in practice.....	77
2.2.5.1	Appraisal theory and ‘voice’ analysis.....	78
2.2.5.2	Appraisal theory and interpersonal positioning.....	81
2.2.5.3	Appraisal theory and identity development.....	83
2.2.5.4	Concluding remarks.....	86
2.3	Conclusion.....	86

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHOD, ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK AND RESEARCH PROCEDURES

3.0	Introduction.....	88
3.1	Research method.....	88
3.1.1	Research approach.....	89
3.1.2	Research purpose.....	89
3.1.3	Research design.....	90
3.2	Data corpus.....	90
3.3	Analytical framework.....	92
3.4	Research procedures.....	93
3.4.1	Procedures for testing Hypothesis 1.....	93
3.4.1.1	Analysing ‘involvement’.....	96
(a)	Private verbs.....	96
(b)	Contractions.....	98
(c)	Second person pronouns.....	98
(d)	Analytic negation.....	99
(e)	Demonstrative pronouns.....	99
(f)	General emphatics.....	100
(g)	First person pronouns.....	100
(h)	Pronoun <i>it</i>	101
(i)	Causative subordination.....	101
(j)	Discourse particles.....	101
(k)	Indefinite pronouns.....	102
(l)	General hedges.....	102
(m)	Amplifiers.....	102
(n)	<i>Wh</i> -questions.....	103
(o)	Possibility modals.....	103
(p)	Adverbs.....	104
(q)	Conditional subordination.....	105

(r)	Word length.....	105
(s)	Type/token ratio.....	105
3.4.1.2	Summary.....	105
3.4.2	Procedures for testing Hypothesis 2.....	106
3.4.2.1	Appraisal values considered in the present study.....	109
(a)	ATTITUDE.....	110
(b)	GRADUATION.....	113
(c)	ENGAGEMENT.....	115
3.4.2.2	Summary.....	117
3.5	Conclusion.....	118

CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH FINDINGS

4.0	Introduction.....	120
4.1	Hypothesis 1.....	120
4.1.1	Discussion of research results: general overview.....	121
4.1.1.1	Relative 'involvement': Industrial Psychology guides.....	121
4.1.1.2	Relative 'involvement': Anthropology guides.....	123
4.1.1.3	Relative 'involvement': Psychology guides.....	125
4.1.1.4	Relative 'involvement': All study guides.....	127
4.1.2	Discussion of research results: some individual features.....	130
4.1.2.1	Non-attitudinal 'involvement': very significant features.....	131
(a)	Personal pronouns.....	131
(b)	Contractions.....	139
(c)	<i>Wh</i> -questions.....	141
4.1.2.2	Non-attitudinal 'involvement': significant features.....	143
(a)	Demonstrative pronouns.....	143
(b)	Pronoun <i>it</i>	144
(c)	Causative subordination.....	145
(d)	Discourse particles.....	146
4.1.2.3	Non-attitudinal 'involvement': non-significant features.....	147
(a)	General hedges.....	148
(b)	Conditional subordination.....	148
(c)	Word length and type/token ration.....	148
4.1.3	Conclusion.....	149
4.2	Hypothesis 2.....	150
4.2.1	Discussion of results: general overview.....	151

4.2.2	Discussion of results: attitudinal ‘involvement’ features.....	152
4.2.2.1	Attitudinal ‘involvement’: very significant features.....	152
(a)	Private verbs.....	153
(b)	General emphatics.....	170
(c)	Amplifiers.....	176
(d)	Possibility modals.....	186
(e)	Adverbs.....	190
4.2.2.2	Attitudinal ‘involvement’: significant features.....	194
4.2.2.3	Attitudinal ‘involvement’: non-significant features.....	195
4.2.3	Reviewing Hypothesis 2.....	196
4.3	Conclusion.....	199

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION

5.0	Introduction.....	200
5.1	Contribution of the study.....	200
5.1.1	A framework for analysing student-centredness in written texts.....	200
5.1.2	Some linguistic characteristics of student-centred texts.....	203
5.1.2.1	Student-centred texts and the social presence of discourse participants.....	204
(a)	The social presence of discourse participants in texts: very significant indicators.....	205
(b)	The social presence of discourse participants in texts: significant indicators.....	207
5.1.2.2	Student centred texts, the expression of attitude and solidarity negotiation.....	207
5.1.2.3	Student-centred texts and identity development.....	209
(a)	Student identity in student-centred as opposed to content-centred texts.....	210
(b)	Lecturer identity in student-centred as opposed to content-centred texts.....	212
5.1.2.4	Conclusion.....	214
5.1.3	Informing the development of distance learning texts by considering their key linguistic characteristics.....	214
5.1.4	Conclusion.....	215
5.2	Limitations and topics for further research.....	215
5.2.1	Personal pronouns.....	216
5.2.2	Implicit Appraisal.....	217

5.2.3	Evaluation through nouns and adjectives.....	218
5.2.4	The field orientation of Appraisal values.....	218
5.3	Conclusion.....	219

BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	221
--------------------------	------------

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Biber’s ‘involvement features: Industrial Psychology.....	240
APPENDIX B: Biber’s ‘involvement features: Anthropology.....	255
APPENDIX C: Biber’s ‘involvement features: Psychology.....	270
APPENDIX D: Appraisal values: ATTITUDE.....	285
APPENDIX E: Appraisal values: GRADUATION and ENGAGEMENT.....	287

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Dimension 1: Informational versus involved production.....	43
Table 2.2	AFFECT.....	64
Table 2.3	JUDGEMENT.....	66
Table 2.4	APPRECIATION.....	67
Table 3.1	'Involvement' versus informational features.....	94
Table 3.2	Categorisation of private verbs	97
Table 3.3	Categorisation of adverbs	104
Table 3.4	The Appraisal subsystem of ATTITUDE.....	110
Table 3.5	The Appraisal subsystem of GRADUATION.....	113
Table 3.6	The Appraisal subsystem of ENGAGEMENT.....	116
Table 4.1	'Involvement' counts: Industrial Psychology.....	122
Table 4.2	'Involvement' counts: Anthropology.....	123
Table 4.3	'Involvement' counts: Psychology.....	125
Table 4.4	'Involvement' counts: all study guides.....	128
Table 4.5	Second person pronouns: all guides.....	132
Table 4.6	First person pronouns: all guides	134
Table 4.7	First person pronouns: diversified.....	134
Table 4.8	Contractions: all guides.....	139
Table 4.9	<i>Wh</i> -questions: all guides.....	142
Table 4.10	Demonstrative pronouns: all guides.....	143
Table 4.11	Pronoun <i>it</i> : all guides.....	144
Table 4.12	Causative subordination: all guides.....	145
Table 4.13	Discourse particles: all guides.....	147
Table 4.14	General hedges: all guides.....	148
Table 4.15	Conditional subordination: all guides.....	148
Table 4.16	Word length, type/token ratio: all guides.....	149
Table 4.17	Private verbs: all guides.....	153
Table 4.18	Private verbs: highest significance: Industrial Psychology.....	154
Table 4.19	Private verbs: collocations with personal pronouns: Industrial Psychology guides.....	158
Table 4.20	Private verbs: old Industrial Psychology guide.....	160
Table 4.21	Private verbs: highest significance: Anthropology.....	162
Table 4.22	Private verbs: new Anthropology guide.....	165
Table 4.23	Private verbs: old Anthropology guide.....	166

Table 4.24	Private verbs: highest significance: Psychology guides.....	167
Table 4.25	General emphatics: all guides.....	171
Table 4.26	Emphatics: highest significance: Anthropology.....	171
Table 4.27	Emphatics: highest significance: Psychology.....	174
Table 4.28	Maximisers: all guides.....	177
Table 4.29	Boosters: all guides.....	177
Table 4.30	Amplifiers: all guides.....	178
Table 4.31	Amplifiers: highest significance: Industrial Psychology.....	178
Table 4.32	Amplifiers: highest significance: Psychology.....	183
Table 4.33	Possibility modals: all guides.....	186
Table 4.34	Adverbs: all guides.....	190
Table 4.35	Adverbs: highest significance: Industrial Psychology.....	190
Table 4.36	Adverbs: highest significance: Anthropology.....	191
Table 4.37	Adverbs: highest significance: Psychology.....	191
Table 4.38	Indefinite pronouns: all guides.....	194
Table 4.39	Analytic negation: all guides.....	195

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	The human modes of psyche.....	32
Figure 2.2	The system of Appraisal.....	76
Figure 4.1	'Involvement' counts: Industrial Psychology.....	123
Figure 4.2	'Involvement' counts: Anthropology.....	125
Figure 4.3	'Involvement' counts: Psychology.....	127
Figure 4.4	'Involvement' counts: all study guides.....	129