## **ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN IN SOUTH AFRICA:**

## THE DEVELOPMENT OF A MEASUREMENT SCALE

by

## **DOUGLAS HAROLD CARLSON**

submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in the subject

**PSYCHOLOGY** 

at the

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA

SUPERVISOR: PROFESSOR F J VAN STADEN

## NOVEMBER 2004

to

**JAEMJAN** 

TAMARIN & CANDICE

my Mother

and

in memory of my Father

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Му	grateful	thanks	to:
,	9		

#### Prof F J Van Staden

- for his expert advice and guidance, his much-appreciated words of

#### Mr C H Coetzee

- for his knowledgeable assistance with the SPSS analyses;

### Mr J Kitching

- for his helpful and efficient service as subject reference librarian;

#### The initial assessors

- for the assessment of the non-English language questionnaires;

#### **Debbie Graham**

- for her assistance in co-ordinating the preliminary study;

## **The Expert Evaluators**

- for their willingness to spend time evaluating the 116-item questionnaire;

Amy Abrahams, Bill Boltman, John Bourhill, Deon & Sunee Brink,
Gert Bruwer, Deidre Cupido, Sally Gibbs, Renato Gonsalves,
lan Illingworth, Neville Koevort, Cheryl Lintott, Fulufelo Matumba,
Sandra Moses, Fiona Norton, Gideon van der Westhuysen, Leon van Wyk
and Arthur Wright

- for their assistance in obtaining participants;

## The participants

- for their co-operation in supplying the data;

## **The Tygerberg Bird Club**

- for the provision of participants for the "known-groups" test, and its

## Caltex Oil (SA) (Pty) Ltd

- for the financial support.

## **CONTENTS**

2.4.1

SUMMARY			vii	
LIST OF FIG	BURES		viii	
LIST OF TA	BLES		ix	
CHAPTER	1 INTRODUCTION		1	
1.1	The natural environment in general	1		
1.1.1	Environmental psychology and a definition of the nati	ural		
1.2	The natural environment in South Africa		5	
1.3	The South African Government's policies towards the			na
1.4	The rationale and aims of the research		12	
CHAPTER	2 ATTITUDES AND ENVIRONMENTAL			
	CONCERN		15	
2.1	The nature of attitudes		15	
2.1.1	Attitudes, beliefs and behaviours		16	
2.1.2	A definition of attitude		22	
2.2	Attitudes towards the natural environment: Worldviews	23		
2.3	Values, ethics and the natural environment		30	
2.4	Environmental concern as a construct		37	

42

A definition of environmental concern

2.4.2	The dimensionality of environmental concern	43	
2.5	Research on environmental concern	47	
2.5.1	Review of selected research on the correlates of		
	environmental concern	49	
2.5.2	Environmental attitudes and behaviour correspondence	60	
2.5.3	Summary	63	
2.6	A model for environmental concern	63	
CHAPTER	3 THE RATIONALE UNDERLYING THE USE OF		
	A QUESTIONNAIRE AS AN INSTRUMENT		
	FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF		
3.1	The measurement of environmental concern	68	
3.2	Problems associated with the use of a questionnaire	71	
3.2.1	The effect of scale length on reliability and validity	71	
3.2.2	Ambiguity	72	
3.2.3	Faking	73	
3.2.3.1	Response bias, response set and response style	75	
3.2.4	Insufficient motivation to respond with attention	79	
3.3	Problems relating specifically to the measurement		
	of environmental concern	80	
3.4	The use and justification of a Likert-type scale	82	
OUADTED	4 METHODOLOGY AND DARTICIDANTO		0.4
CHAPTER	4 METHODOLOGY AND PARTICIPANTS		84
4.1	Methodology	84	
4.1.1	Strategy	84	

4.1.2	Measurement of variables and the structure of the		
	questionnaire		86
4.1.3	Research design		86
4.1.4	The initial item pool		87
4.1.5	The translation		87
4.1.6	The initial assessments		87
4.1.6.1	The assessment for readability		88
4.1.6.2	The preliminary study		88
4.1.7	The evaluation by experts		88
4.1.8	The main and known-groups studies		88
4.1.9	Quantitative statistical analysis		89
4.2	The initial assessors	89	
4.3	The participants for the preliminary study		89
4.4	The expert evaluators		90
4.5	The participants for the main study	91	
4.6	The participants for the construct (known-groups)		
	validity test		92
CHAPTER	5 THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE		
	MEASUREMENT SCALE		93
5.1	Part A of the questionnaire		93
5.2	The construction of the initial item pool (Part B)		93
5.3	The translation		97
5.4	The initial assessments		98
5.4.1	The assessment for readability		98
5.4.2	The preliminary study		98
5.5	Item selection - the evaluation of the item pool		
	by experts		100

CHAPTER	6 THE MAIN STUDY - ENVIRONMENTAL	
	CONCERN: ITS DIMENSIONAL NATURE AND	
	ITS BIOGRAPHIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC	
	CORRELATES	102
6.1	Objectives	102
6.2	Research questions	103
6.3	The measurement instrument/s	104
6.4	The main study procedure	104
6.5	Results and discussion	106
6.5.1	Factor analyses	108
6.5.2	Reliabilities	129
6.5.3	Frequencies	137
6.5.3.1	Summary of frequency data 140	
6.5.4	Correlations	140
6.6	Comparison with similar measures	143
6.7	Note on the language choice of participants	147
CHAPTER	7 CONCLUSION	150
7.1	Comments on the results	150
7.1.1	Comments regarding the factor analyses and	
7.2	Suggested modifications to the measurement scale	154
7.3	Further development of the measurement scale	154
7.3.1	Verification of reliability	154
7.3.2	Verification of construct validity	155

7.3.3	A stability test		155	
7.3.4	Consideration of items for exclusion from the			
	156			
7.4	Opportunities for additional reasearch		158	
7.4.1	Attitude-behaviour correspondence		158	
7.4.2	Averaging and additive models		159	
7.4.3	Opportunities for multi-lingual research	159	7.4.4	Note
7.5	Concluding remark		160	
REFER	ENCES		161	
APPEN	DIX 1 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE PARTICIPANTS		180	
APPEN	DIX I INSTRUCTIONS TO THE PARTICIPANTS		100	
C	OVER PAGE		181	
•			101	
			101	
E	NGLISH VERSION	182	101	
E	NGLISH VERSION	182	101	
	NGLISH VERSION FRIKAANS VERSION	182	184	
		182		
А		182		
A	FRIKAANS VERSION HOSA VERSION	182	184 186	
A	FRIKAANS VERSION	182	184	
A X Z	FRIKAANS VERSION HOSA VERSION ULU VERSION	182	184 186 188	
A X Z	FRIKAANS VERSION HOSA VERSION	182	184 186	

APPENDIX 2 BIOGRAPHIC/DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONNAIRE 192

ENGLISH VERSION 19	92
AFRIKAANS VERSION	194
XHOSA VERSION	196
ZULU VERSION	198
SESOTHO VERSION	200
APPENDIX 3 ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN MEASUREMENT SCALE	202
ITEM POOL AND MODIFICATIONS	202
ENGLISH VERSION 21	12
AFRIKAANS VERSION	227
XHOSA VERSION	243
ZULU VERSION	259
SESOTHO VERSION	275
APPENDIX 4 SCORING SHEETS FOR THE QUESTIONNAIR	E 290

APPENDIX	5	INFORMAL CRITERIA FOR ATTITUDINAL	293
		STATEMENT CONSTRUCTION	
GLOSSARY			294

## **SUMMARY**

A 32-item scale, based on a model of environmental concern using anthropocentric and ecocentric orientations as its two dimensions, and intended to measure the level of environmental concern in South Africa, was developed from existing similar measures and modified for the South African context.

Factor analyses of the data collected from 95 questionnaires completed by participants in the Western Cape and Gauteng Provinces of South Africa indicated not only the existence of an anthropocentric factor and an ecocentric factor, but also that these two factors were correlated sub-factors of one general factor. Participants who were involved in the activities of an environmentally oriented club showed significantly higher levels of environmental concern than those who were not. No other significant correlations between biographic or demographic variables and environmental concern were found. The internal consistency of the scale as indicated by Cronbach's alpha was found to be 0.91.

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

2.1	Attitude as an inferred state with cognitive, affective and behavioural components	17	
2.2	Attitude formation and expression as an evaluative process	18	
2.3	Conceptual model of attitude formation and expression indicating the effect of ethics, values and other possible determinants on the evaluation process	38	
2.4	Conceptual model for the formation and expression of		environmental
2.5	Conceptual model for the formation and expression of		environm
4.1	Diagrammatic summary of the research design process	85	
6.1	Scree plot, Anthropocentric subscale (N=95)	111	
6.2	Scree plot, Ecocentric subscale (N=95)	114	
6.3	Scree plot, 32-item scale (N=95)	117	
6.4	Scree plot, 32-item scale (N=95)	125	

# **LIST OF TABLES**

1.1	South African Population by Ethnic Group	7	
1.2	South African Population by Language Group		8
4.1	Biographic details of the participants for the preliminary study		90
4.2	Biographic details of the expert evaluators		91
5.1	Classification system for water-resource development projects		94
5.2	Categories of proposed environmental quality indicators for the San Diego region		95
5.3	Subscale categories used for the development of the environmental concern measurement scale		95
5.4	Preliminary study item pool details	97	
5.5	Main study item pool details		101
6.1	Main study item pool details showing item suffix		107
6.2	Factor Matrix, Anthropocentric subscale (1 factor) (N=95)		112
6.3	Factor Matrix, Ecocentric subscale (1 factor) (N=95)		115
6.4	Factor Matrix, 32-item scale (2 factors) (N=95)		118

6.5	Pattern Matrix, 32-item scale (2 factors) (N=95)	121
6.6	Factor Correlation Matrix, 32-item scale (2 factors) (N=95)	124
6.7	Factor Matrix, 32-item scale (1 factor) (N=95)	126
6.8	Reliability analysis of the 16-item Anthropocentric	
6.9	Reliability analysis of the 16-item Ecocentric subscale (N=95)	132
6.10	Reliability analysis of the 32-item scale (N=95)	134
6.11	Subscale and scale reliability coefficients (Cronbach's Alpha)	136
6.12	Scale and subscale sum of means, variances and	
	standard deviations	136
6.13	Age frequencies	137
6.14	Gender frequencies	137
6.15	Education frequencies	138
6.16	Income frequencies	138
6.17	Ethnic Group frequencies	139
6.18	Home Language frequencies	139
6.19	Involved frequencies 139	
6.20	Correlation of Age, Gender, Education Level, Income and	

6.21	Correlation of Age, Gender, Education Level, Income and	
6.22	Comparison of reliability with similar measures	146
6.23	Questionnaire language used by the participants related to their home language (summarized by "English" or "not English")	147
6.24	Responses of participants who did not answer the English language questionnaire to the question:  "Do you think your answers to PART B would have been the same if you had completed the English questionnaire?"	148
6.25	Responses of participants who did not answer the English language questionnaire to the question:  "Do you think your answers to PART B would have been the same if you had completed the English questionnaire?"	140
	related to Level of Education and Home Language	149