From policy to practice: an evaluation of the Unisa National Professional Diploma in Education from the perspective of social critical theory

by

Tony John Mays

submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Master of Education

in the subject

Didactics

at the

University of South Africa

Supervisor: Prof L J Van Niekerk

June 2004

Declaration

Student Number: 731-788-3

I declare that FROM POLICY TO PRACTICE: AN EVALUATION OF THE UNISA NATIONAL PROFESSIONAL DIPLOMA IN EDUCATION FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF SOCIAL CRITICAL THEORY is my own work and that all sources that I have used or quoted have been indicated and acknowledged by means of complete references.

....

Signature Date

(Mr T J Mays)

Acknowledgements

Much of the thinking that underpins this dissertation arises from my work with the South African Institute for Distance Education (Saide) through whom I have had the opportunity to engage with distance education providers and teacher developers from across South Africa and in neighbouring countries. Particular thanks are due for open access to the Saide Resource Centre and its custodian the ever helpful and indefatigable Jenny Louw. The wonderfully focussed and stocked resource centre was the source of many of the publications cited in the bibliography.

My thanks too to Prof LJ Van Niekerk who constantly pushed me to complete this dissertation and to gain the MEd. It is Prof Van Niekerk who has helped me to begin to move from beyond a fairly literal engagement with the potential of distance education for teacher development to begin to question the underlying assumptions and influences. Completing this dissertation has been a bit like climbing the foothills only to find mountains beyond. From the higher vantage point of the foothills, it is clear that in the new territory unfolding 'here there be dragons'!

It is in the nature of this research project that the researcher needed to engage with many different people at Unisa. Thanks are due for their patience and commitment to answering my many questions and responding to my many suggestions and requests for information to: the 2003 NPDE team - Stephen Diphoko, Cheryl Ferreira, Geesje van den Berg, Henri Kriek, Vuyisile Msila, Elizabeth Nkambule, Bobo Segoe, Barbara Posthuma, Tumi Holomisa, Angeline Setlhako, Mark Van Heerden, "Vuyi" Molo; and also to: Martin Van Staden (Student Affairs), Chris Opperman and Alex Van Der Bank (Assignments), Kelvin Beckworth and Herman Van Rooyen (Examinations), Phoebe Van der Walt (Unisa Press), Elfriede Boshoff and Anneke Vincent (Computer Services), Herman Visser and Willem du Plessis (Management and Finance); Profs T V Mda, S Mothata, J Booyse (Faculty of Education).

Thanks also to those hundreds of Unisa NPDE students who have spoken to me over the past two years and in particular the 707 students who completed the evaluation form that is summarised in an appendix to this dissertation.

Table of contents

Chapter 1:
Introduction 1

Chapter 2:
Social critical theory and the implications for teacher education at a distance 21

Chapter 3:
Learning and teaching and the Unisa NPDE 63

Chapter 4:
Logistics, management and costs for the Unisa NPDE 165

Chapter 5: Conclusions and recommendations 222

Bibliography and references 239

Appendices 251

Detailed contents

Chapter 1:
Introduction 1
1.1 Context of the study 2
1.1.1 Background to the NPDE 5
1.1.2 What is the NPDE? 6
1.1.3 Unisa's response to the challenge set by the NPDE 7
1.1.4 The form of the Unisa NPDE 8
1.1.5 The current status of the Unisa NPDE 9
1.2 Problem statement 9
1.2.1 Curriculum issues 9
1.2.2 Delivery systems 10
1.3 Aims 10
1.4 Motivation 10
1.4.1 Providing information for decision-making 12
1.4.2 Adding to current body of knowledge 12
1.5 Literature review 12
1.6 Research method and design 13
1.7 Organisation of the dissertation 19

Chapter 2:
Social critical theory and the implications for teacher education at a distance 21
2.1 What is the nature and purpose of education? 25
2.2 How is education related to culture and to politics? 30
2.3 Should education be centred on the individual, or organized for the benefit of society? 31
2.4 Which takes priority in education, personal experience or received tradition? 29
2.5 What do the answers to the above questions imply about the nature of knowledge and understanding? 33
2.6 How do human beings in fact learn things anyway? 33
2.7 What are the implications for distance education practice? 42
2.7.1 Revisiting the nature of distance education 49
2.7.2 The centrality of learner support in distance education provision 55
2.8 What are the implications for teacher education at a distance? 57
2.9 Conclusion 61

Chapter 3:
Learning and teaching and the Unisa NPDE 63
3.1 Curriculum as plan and practice 63
3.1.1 View of knowledge in the Unisa NPDE 78
3.1.2 Understanding of curriculum 78
3.1.3 A model (preferably circular and continuous) for how the curriculum is planned, implemented and reviewed 78

3.1.4 Who is involved in the process? 79
3.1.5 Opportunities for feedback from learners built into the review process 79
3.1.6 Opportunities for feedback from educators built into the review process 80
3.1.7 Intended outcomes and how these were derived 80
3.1.8 Pedagogy to be employed 80
3.1.9 Assessment practices and justification thereof 80
3.1.10 Resources (including learner support materials) to be used and how these are developed, costed, managed, distributed and reviewed 80
3.1.11 Relationship between fundamental, core and elective learning 81
3.1.12 How the curriculum and materials development processes take cognisance of the underlying principles of the NQF 81
3.1.13 Balance between centralised and decentralised roles and responsibilities and management and control of decentralised provision. 84
3.1.14 NPDE in practice 84
3.2 Materials review 98
3.2.1 Orientation to programme, introductions, aims & learning outcomes 106
3.2.2 Selection and coherence of content 108
3.2.3 Presentation of content 108
3.2.4 View of knowledge and use of learners' experience 110
3.2.5 Activities, feedback and assessment 111
3.2.6 Language 113
3.2.7 Layout and accessibility 114
3.3 Assessment strategy 116
3.3.1 Philosophical and epistemological underpinnings 117
3.3.2 The impact of OBE on assessment practice 122
3.3.3 The role of assessment in the Unisa NPDE 125
3.3.4 Examples of ways in which Unisa has sought to practise what it preaches with regard to assessment on the NPDE programme 126
3.3.5 Portfolio assessment 127
3.3.6 Ensuring rigour in the assessment process 135
3.3.7 Portfolio for RPL purposes 144
3.4 RPL strategy 144
3.4.1 What exactly is meant by RPL? 145
3.4.2 What is RPL offered for? 148
3.4.3 What evidence will be required and what processes need to be followed? 150
3.4.4 What are the implications for existing practice? 158
3.4.5 How does Unisa attempt to meet the challenge? 162
3.5 Portfolio development 163
3.6 Tutor recruitment, training and development 163
3.7 Conclusion 164

Chapter 4:
Logistics, management and costs for the Unisa NPDE 165
4.1 Systems theory and rural contexts 166

4.2 Systems theory and distance education 170
4.3 A systems overview for quality distance education in rural areas 172
4.4 Implications for distance education interventions in rural areas 174
4.5 The Unisa NPDE experience 176
4.5.1 Programme management 176
4.5.2 SWOT analysis 182
4.5.3 Particular problems and suggested solutions 185
4.6 Programme costing 197
4.7 Impact and throughput analysis 198
4.7.1 Statistics 198
4.7.2 Context for analysis of impact 204
4.7.3 Towards communities of learning and practice 205
4.7.4 Lessons of experience from the macro level 208
4.7.5 Lessons of experience at the meso level 209
4.7.6 Lessons of experience at the micro level 210
4.7.7 Concluding remarks and observations 220

Chapter 5:
Conclusions and recommendations 222
5.1 Is the programme conceptualized and designed in such a way that it addresses the real needs of the intended beneficiaries (the target group)? 223
5.2. Has the programme been properly (well) implemented (and managed)? 224
5.3 Have the intended outcomes of the programme materialized? 224
5.4 Were the programme outcomes obtained in the most cost-efficient manner? 230
5.5 Observations and recommendations 230

Bibliography and references 239

Appendix A: Student evaluation survey instrument 251
Appendix B: Consolidated results of student survey 253
Appendix C: Observation instrument 268
Appendix D: Sample observation report 270
Appendix E: Budget 2005 276

Diagrams

Figure 1: *The learning spiral and key decision points within an expanding network of new understandings* 41

Figure 2: *Open Learning* 48

Figure 3: *Distance education - a continuum of provision* 50

Tables

Table 1: Principles of the NQF 3
Table 2: Edusource: Un(der) qualified teachers by province, 2000 5
Table 3: Uses or purposes of evaluation studies 13
Table 4: Comparison of old and new teaching paradigms 22
Table 5: Analysis of educational decision-making 52
Table 6: Curriculum paradigms 66
Table 7: Comparison between textbooks and self-instructional courses 99
Table 8: Comparison between classroom talk and DE dialogue 100
Table 9: Curriculum for Foundation Phase educators 103
Table 10: Curriculum for Intermediate Phase educators 104
Table 11: Curriculum for Senior Phase educators 105
Table 12: Perspectives on assessment choices 117
Table 13: Assessment paradigms 124
Table 14: Assessment grading 134
Table 15: Reflection on practice: example of an open-ended assessment task 135
Table 16: Response to a case study/scenario 137
Table 17: Response to a newspaper article/real-life event etc. 139
Table 18: Responsibilities of academic coordinators in 2004 180
Table 19: Summary of NPDE results for 2003 cohort as at 08 March 2003 200
Table 20: Suggested key objectives for the Unisa NPDE 232