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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CASS</td>
<td>Continuous assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>Common tasks for assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DoE</td>
<td>National Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td>General Education and Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>GETC</td>
<td>General Education and Training Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NPDE</td>
<td>Northern Province Department of Education/Department of Education, Northern province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Natural Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NQF</td>
<td>National Qualifications Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SAQA</td>
<td>South African Qualifications Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Specific Outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>