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SUMMARY

The South African National Defence Force (SANDF) was formed in 1994 after the integration of different “defence forces” into one large force. The sole existence of the SANDF is to protect the borders of the Republic of South Africa and its inhabitants. Resources such as vehicles make it easier for the SANDF to conduct mobile patrols and other operations to ensure that the organisation is always combat-ready.

Motor vehicle theft is a crime that affects both individual citizens and organisations in South Africa; the SANDF is not immune to the crime. Many SANDF motor vehicles are stolen almost every day, and in most cases, these vehicles are taken without a trace. While there are security measures in place aimed at preventing theft of SANDF vehicles but these methods have proven futile.

A literature review formed the basis of the study. Once the main theory and ideologies were identified, unstructured interviews were undertaken to gather information from various stakeholders. Observations were also conducted to determine behavioural patterns within military bases/units with regard to the parking of SANDF vehicles, even when the vehicles were parked in urban settings. Essentially, there is a problem regarding the security of SANDF vehicles and also the security within military bases / units. This study indicated that the SANDF loses vast amounts of money due to motor vehicle theft in Gauteng Province. The findings also revealed that the current vehicle security measures are outdated, ineffective and inadequate in preventing SANDF vehicles from being stolen.

The recommendations are that the SANDF must invest more of its budget on vehicle security, rather than continuing with fruitless and monetary expenditure by conducting Board of Inquiries (BOI) or lengthy investigations in an attempt to relocate stolen vehicles or to determine how a particular vehicle was stolen. The SANDF requires a comprehensive system that should prevent the theft of its vehicles, as well as curbing the misuse of vehicles which ultimately renders SANDF vehicles as easy targets.
OPSOMMING

Die Suid-Afrikaanse Nasionale Weermag (SANW) is in 1994 gestig volgens die integrasie van verskillende "weermagte" in een groot krag. Die enigste bestaan van die SANW is om die grense van die Republiek van Suid-Afrika en sy inwoners te beskerm. Daarom is hulpbronne soos voertuie noodsaklike om dit makliker te maak vir die SANW om mobiele patrollies en ander bedrywighede uit te voer om te verseker dat die organisasie bestry gereed te alle tye.

Motordiefstal is 'n misdaad wat 'n invloed op individuele burgers en organisasies onderskeidelik in Suid-Afrika het; die SANW is nie immuun teen die misdaad nie. SANW motorvoertuie word gesteel byna elke dag en in die meeste gevalle, is die vortuie nooit weer gevind nie. Ten spyte van die sekeriteitsmaatreëls wat in plek gestel is, en wat gemik is op die voorkoming van die SANW voertuie wat gesteel word, is die huidige sekeriteitsmaatreëls alreeds bewys as nutteloos.

Vir die basis van die studie, is 'n literatuuroorsig gebruik. Ongestrukturiseerde onderhoude is gebruik om inligting in te samel van verskeie belanghebbendes. Waarnemings is ook gedoen om gedragspatrone binne militêre basisse / eenhede (insluitend terwyl geparkeer in die dorp) te bepaal met betrekking tot die parkering van voertuie SANW. Die feit is dat daar 'n probleem is met betrekking tot die veiligheid van die SANW voertuie en ook die sekeriteit in militêre basisse / eenhede. Die studie het aangedui dat die SANW honderde duisende rande verloor deur die diefstal van motorvoertuie in die Gauteng Provinsie. Die bevindinge het ook onthul dat die huidige voertuig sekeriteit maatreëls ondoeltreffend en ontoereikend is in die voorkoming van SANW voertuie wat gesteel word.

Die SANW moet meer belê op voertuig sekeriteit, eerder om meer geld en tyd afteneem vir Raad navrae (BOI) of lang ondersoek om te probeer om gesteelde voertuie op te spoor of om te bepaal hoe 'n spesifieke voertuig gesteel. Die SANW vereis 'n omvattende stelsel wat in staat sal wees om die diefstal van die voertuie te voorkom en ook om die misbruik van voertuie te verminder.
KEY CONCEPTS

- Modus operandi
- Theft
- Motor vehicle theft
- Robbery
- Hijacking
- Stabling authority
- Trip authority
- Military Police Official

ACRONYMS

AU - African Union
BOI - Board of Inquiry
CBD - Central Business District
DI - Defence Intelligence
DOD - Department of Defence
LWT - Light Weight Troop
MP - Military Police
MPD - Military Police Division
SAAF - South African Air Force
SADC - South African Development Community
SAHMS - South African Military Health Services
SANDF - South African National Defence Force
SAPS - South African Police Services
UN - United Nations
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table of Contents</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DECLARATION</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEDICATION</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMARY</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSOMMING</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY CONCEPTS</td>
<td>VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACRONYMS</td>
<td>VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPENDICES</td>
<td>XII</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CHAPTER 1: GENERAL ORIENTATION

1.1 INTRODUCTION                                           1
1.2 BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY                                2
1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT                                      3
1.4 THE AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY                    4
1.5 ETHICAL ISSUES                                         5
1.6 VALUE OF THE STUDY                                     5
1.7 PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED DURING RESEARCH                   6
1.8 RESEARCH STRUCTURE                                     6

## CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY AND LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION                                           8
2.2 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH                                8
2.2.1 Qualitative methodology                             8
2.2.2 Advantages of qualitative research methodology      9
2.2.3 Disadvantages of qualitative research methodology   11
2.3 RESEARCH METHODS                                       11
2.3.1 Literature review                                   11
2.3.2 The role of a literature review                     11
4.2.1 Military Police Official 40
4.2.2 Stable authority 40
4.2.3 Trip authority 41
4.3 THE CURRENT STATUS OF GARAGING VEHICLES 41
4.3.1 Garaging within the unit 41
4.3.2 Private garages (at home) 42
4.3.3 Public places 44
4.4 CURRENT STATUS OF SANDF VEHICLE SECURITY 45
4.4.1 Locks 46
4.4.2 Central lock system 47
4.4.3 Gear locks 47
4.4.4 Geographic Positioning System (GPS) 47
4.5 COSTS VERSUS SAVINGS 48
4.6 THE ROLE OF THE MILITARY POLICE IN CRIME PREVENTION 48
4.7 CHALLENGES 49
4.8 CONCLUSION 53

CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS 54

5.1 INTRODUCTION 54
5.2 SPECIFIC FINDINGS 54
5.2.1 Factors contributing to vehicle theft in the SANDF 54
5.2.2 Stabling authority 56
5.2.3 Modus operandi 56
5.2.4 Tracking system monitored by Defence Intelligence 57
5.2.5 Security of SANDF vehicles within military bases and units 59
5.3 VALUE OF THE RESEARCH 60
5.4 GENERAL FINDINGS 60
5.4.1 Proposal for further research 60
5.4.2 The SANDF drivers’ license system 60
5.4.3 The Log 14 Pamphlet 60
5.4.4 Parking of SANDF vehicles in Pretoria CBD 60
5.4.5 Violation of traffic offences by SANDF drivers 61
5.5 CONCLUSION 61
CHAPTER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

6.1 INTRODUCTION 63
6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS 64
6.2.1 GPS Tracking Solutions Biometric System 64
6.2.1.1 Biometric 64
6.2.1.2 Mobile tracker 66
6.2.1.3 Real time reporting 66
6.2.1.4 Speed capping and zone speed capping 67
6.3 RECOVERY OF STOLEN VEHICLES 68
6.4 ADVANTAGES 79
6.5 DISADVANTAGES 70
6.6 COSTS 70
6.7 DIGIT VEHICLE TRACKING MANAGEMENT 70
6.7.1 Highest quality of manufacture 71
6.7.2 User friendly software that works 71
6.7.3 Print reports 71
6.7.4 Trip details 71
6.7.5 Verified live tracking 72
6.7.6 Vehicle details 72
6.7.7 Driver identification 73
6.7.7.1 Advantages 73
6.7.7.2 Disadvantages 73
6.8 COSTS 74
6.9 IDECO ELECTRONIC VEHICLE IDENTITY MANAGEMENT 74
6.9.1 Advantages 76
6.9.2 Disadvantages 76
6.10 COSTS 76
6.11 CONCLUSION 77

LIST OF REFERENCES 79
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6.1 Custom fuel and trip details
Figure 6.2 Electronic Motor Vehicle Identity Management (EVIM) Unit

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: The number of SANDF vehicles stolen from 2005 – 2010 in Gauteng
Table 3.2: The number of military vehicles stolen in Pretoria, Johannesburg and other parts of Gauteng Province in the last five years (2005 - 2010).
Table 3.3: The type and make of vehicles stolen in Gauteng
Table 3.4: Motor vehicles stolen in Gauteng and the monetary value incurred by the SANDF.
Table 3.5: The exact location where military vehicles were stolen.
Table 4.1: SANDF vehicles stolen in Gauteng from 2005 – 2011 and places where they were stolen.

APPENDICES

Appendix D: Authority to conduct research in the Department of Defence (from Defence Intelligence). DI/SDCI/DCIC/R/202/3/7 dated 22 July 2011.
Appendix E: Editors’ certificate (Prof H. Mphande) dated 23/08/2013.
Appendix F: Editors’ certificate (Ms C. Pienaar) dated 08/2014.
CHAPTER 1: GENERAL ORIENTATION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The South African Police Service (SAPS) 2008/2009 Annual Report revealed that 86579 motor vehicles were stolen in South Africa of which 68476 were by means of theft and 18103 were by means of robbery. Out of the whole total number of stolen vehicles in South Africa, Gauteng Province contributes 44434 of these numbers, which represents the highest number of motor vehicles and motorcycles being stolen in one province during the 2008/2009 financial year.

The South African National Defence Force (reported to the Military Police) reported 29 motor vehicles that were stolen were 29 in the 2008/2009 financial year according to the South African Police Service Annual Report (2008/2009:77), 28 of these motor vehicles were stolen through theft and only one was stolen by means of robbery. The researcher has noticed that the South African Police Service (SAPS) statistics do not provide statistics per regarding stolen vehicles per province.

The SAPS Crime Report for 2010/2011 financial year released by the Minister of Police Mr. Nathi Mthethwa, on 9 September 2011 presented a decrease regarding the number of serious crimes by 2, 4 percent when compared to the 2009/2010 financial year. Serious crimes included property crime (crime against the person); contact-related crime; other crime and crime detected as a result of police action (SAPS Crime Report 2010/2011:1).

The 2010/2011 SAPS Crime report exhibited a significant decrease in motor vehicle and motorcycle theft. In 2009/2010 financial year 71776 motor vehicles and motorcycles were stolen in South Africa, compared to the 64504 motor vehicles and motorcycles that were stolen in South Africa in the 2010/2011 financial year. In 2009/2010 financial year, only 345 motor vehicles and motorcycles were stolen in Gauteng when compared to the 2884 vehicles stolen in 2010/2011 financial year.
Gauteng province consistently had highest number of motor vehicles and motorcycles stolen in the 2010/2011 financial year when compared to other provinces. Although the South African Police Services (SAPS) Crime Report for 2010/2011 does not reveal how many motor vehicles and motorcycles were stolen from the South African National Defence Force (SANDF) during the same financial year, SAPS statistics are generally inclusive.

It has also been noted that members of the SANDF are involved in many criminal activities which harm the institution and its equipment. According to The Provost Marshal General (2007:2) SANDF members are involved in the theft of motor vehicles, weapons, computers, funds and rations.

The conclusions from this particular study, as well as recommendations for further study, are formulated based on the findings of this study. These are presented at the end of this research paper.

1.2 BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY

There are mechanisms in place to curb motor-vehicle theft in the SANDF but these seem to be ineffective. For instance, when a driver has to book out a vehicle to his/her house overnight, the fleet manager (termed the transport officer in the SANDF) together with the unit’s Counter Intelligence officer must certify and verify that the vehicle will be kept in a safe lock-up garage before they authorise the action of the driver.

The researcher works in the SANDF and drives SANDF vehicles on a daily basis. The current method used is ineffective in curbing SANDF vehicle theft because fleet managers and Counter Intelligent Officers do not physically conduct inspections on authorised drivers’ premises to ensure that SANDF vehicle are parked inside safe and secured places. While the SANDF have other methods in place that are aimed at reducing theft of SANDF vehicles, they have also proven to be inefficient. The SANDF requires effective and appropriate mechanisms, which will enable the institution to curb motor vehicle theft. During this study the researcher realised that little research has been conducted related to theft of SANDF vehicles.
Recently a project was initiated by Defence Intelligence to install tracker systems in all vehicles that belong to the South African National Defence Force. While, most vehicles were fitted with the tracker system, this anti-theft tool seemed to yield enough little impact in curbing the amount of vehicles that were stolen.

1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Motor-vehicles in the SANDF are stolen regularly, and are taken without a trace. The problem has escalated and little has been done to provide mechanisms that can assist in curbing theft of SANDF motor vehicles. The study was initiated to investigate the theft of motor-vehicles in the SANDF and the various modus operandi that were used to steal motor-vehicles. According to the findings in the Annual Report of the South African Police Service for 2006/2007, a total number of 29 military vehicles were stolen, of which 28 were stolen by means of theft and 1 was stolen by means of robbery.

Eight vehicles that were stolen by means of theft were recovered after being reported from the 1st of April 2006 to 31st March 2007 (SAPS report 2006/2007). Furthermore, 3 vehicles that were reported stolen were recovered after being reported prior to 31st March 2006, according to the SAPS report. A total number of 11 vehicles were recovered. This means 17 military vehicles were stolen during the 2006/2007 period have still not been recovered.

Another problem is that all four arms of services namely: the South African Army (SA Army), the South African Air Force (SAAF) and the South African Navy (SA Navy) use different transport management policies. Furthermore, the current transport management policy of the Department of Defence (DOD) is still written in Afrikaans (Log 14 pamphlet, n.d.) and most current employees are not familiar with the language and as a result, it is completely ignored. This study focused on the problem that the South African National Defence Force faces regarding the theft of SANDF official vehicles within the Gauteng province. The theft of SANDF vehicles makes it difficult for the organisation to fulfil its mandate as stated in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa 108 of 1996, Section 200 (1) and (2) which states that: “(1)
The defence force must be structured and managed as a disciplined military force. (2) The primary object of the defence force is to defend and protect the Republic, its territorial integrity and its people in accordance with the Constitution and the principles of international law regulating the use of force”. To deal with this problem effectively, the SANDF requires pro-active rather than reactive measures. This research was aimed at suggesting a strategy that could be used to tackle the vehicle theft challenge.

1.4 THE AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The aim of this research was *inter alia* to develop guidelines that would assist the SANDF Management and Officials to control and curb theft of official vehicles in the SANDF.

The objectives of this research are:

- To investigate the factors that causes motor-vehicle theft within the SANDF premises at Gauteng Province.
- To discuss various *modus operandi* used to steal motor-vehicles within the SANDF premises.
- To determine which vehicles are targeted by thieves in the SANDF.
- To investigate the role and responsibility of the Military Police Division in curbing motor-vehicle theft in the SANDF premises.
- To discuss preventative measures that could be used by the SANDF to protect motor-vehicles from being stolen.
- To determine the amount of money that the SANDF has lost as a result of motor-vehicles theft at Gauteng Province.
- To refine some of the existing methods and procedures that are currently used in curbing motor-vehicle theft within SANDF.
1.5 ETHICAL ISSUES

According to De Vos, Strydom, Fouche and Delport (2005:56), there are two categories of ethical responsibilities that researchers should take into account.

Those responsibilities include the responsibility to the research respondents who are voluntarily taking part in the research study; and a responsibility to follow the provided framework and be honest in providing feedback on the research study. In ensuring responsibility towards the research, the researcher asked each of the respondents to take part in the study on a voluntary basis. The researcher furthermore informed respondents about the necessity and significance of this study. They were also informed that this research would not have any derogatory statements towards other human beings; would not contain any material that may harm any individual either physical or emotional.

According to Fouka and Mantzourou (2011:3), research ethics includes complying with the mandate to protect the dignity of respondents. Therefore respondents were not compelled to take part in this study and they were informed that their identities would remain anonymous. The researcher strictly adhered to the South African National Defence Force’s Code of Conduct as well as the University of South Africa’s Code of Ethics.

1.6 VALUE OF THE STUDY

The study has not only benefitted the South African National Defence Force (SANDF) but it has the potential to benefit other military organisations within the SADC (South African Development Community) countries, as well as organisations such as the United Nations, African Union and countries that experience a similar challenge with regard to vehicle theft. Future researchers who might embark on a similar study will definitely find this study valuable to their research. In addition, this study intends to make a contribution to the
academic community by increasing the knowledge regarding the topic, namely the: Prevention of theft of SANDF official vehicles within the Gauteng Province.

1.7 PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED DURING RESEARCH

It was challenging to access information from the South African National Defence Force because most of it is considered to be classified. Theft of SANDF vehicles is a topic that has not been well researched, and there is little academic literature available concerning the theft of SANDF vehicles. During the study the researcher had embarked on a comparative study and finally had to discard this avenue of research because the United States of America, Canada, Australia and United Kingdom were not forthcoming with sharing their information about the theft of military vehicles. While the researcher attempted to gather some information via the respective embassies, it was comprehensively explained to the researcher that such information was top secret. Furthermore, another challenge during this research was that most documents that are available within the SANDF are still written in Afrikaans. The researcher required someone to translate the documents to English before these became useful, and that has been a time consuming exercise.

1.8 RESEARCH STRUCTURE

Chapter 1: General Orientation. This chapter serves as an introduction which is aimed at orientating the reader about theft of motor-vehicles in the South African National Defence Force in Gauteng province.

Chapter 2: Methodology and Literature Review. This chapter covers the method used to conduct the research.

Chapter 3: Causes and the extent of motor-vehicle theft in the SANDF premises. This chapter analyses the causes and the extent of the problem, including the modus operandi that was used in stealing military vehicles within the South African National Defence Force premises and outside the premises.

Chapter 4: Security Status of SANDF Vehicles. This chapter analyse the security status of SANDF vehicles, specifically the general security of vehicles while in the units/bases as well as outside the military unit/base.

Chapter 5: Findings. This chapter discusses all the findings with regard to
the theft of SANDF vehicles in Gauteng Province.

Chapter 6: Recommendations and Conclusions. This chapter provides a description of possible methods that could be used in order to prevent the theft of SANDF vehicles. It also provides the conclusion of the research.
CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY AND LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Welman, Kruger and Mitchel (2005:2) defined research as a process aimed at obtaining scientific knowledge by means of a number of objective methods and procedures. Therefore research methodology take cognisance and explains the logic behind research methods and techniques (Welman et al., 2005:2). All forms of researches whether qualitative or quantitative, must be auditable, disciplined, systematic approach to uncovering new information by using the most appropriate method regarding the question being asked (Hancock, Ockleford & Windridge, 2009:6).

2.2 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

A largely qualitative research method was employed for this study, and data was generated through personal interviews (unstructured) with respondents. Where quantitative research method was used, data was generated through statistics. The statistics were obtained from the Military Police (MP) and the South African Police Services (SAPS) to supplement the qualitative approach.

2.2.1 Qualitative Methodology

According to a Qualitative Research Methods Overview (n.d.), qualitative research is a type of scientific research. Simplistically, it is scientific research that is aimed at finding answers to questions. The answers are often obtained in a more systematic manner that makes use of an advanced and defined set of procedures. Additionally, qualitative research is a method that seeks to understand a given research problem or topic from the perspectives of the local population it involves (Qualitative Research Methods Overview, n.d.).

Neumann (1997:328) stated that qualitative reports have a rich description containing colourful details and unusual characters instead of straight forward statistics. Denzin and Lincoln (1994:4) as quoted by Welman et al. (2005:8) defined the word qualitative as an emphasis on the process and meanings that are extremely thorough examined by measuring its quantity, amount of intensity or frequency. Furthermore Welman et al. (2005:8) mentioned that the
qualitative research method emphasised reality, the relationship between the researcher and the object, as well as the value laden nature of the enquiry.

Welman et al. (2005:188) described qualitative research as an approach rather than a design or set of techniques. Du Plooy (2007:35) was of the opinion that the advantage of a qualitative research method is access to information that leads to a shared responsibility and the development of knowledge competencies. Schwartz (2009) stated that qualitative research is used to denote approaches that are supported by a set of hypotheses concerning the way the social world functions.

Schwartz (2009) further mentioned that the qualitative research method investigates the relationships and patterns among factors of the context in which the activity happens. Qualitative research is concentrated on understanding the full many-dimensional picture of the subject investigation. Schwartz (2009) also stated that qualitative methods are helpful not only in giving rich explanations of complex phenomena, but also in creating or evolving theories or conceptual bases, and in proposing hypotheses to clarify the phenomena.

According to the Qualitative Research Methods Overview guide (n.d.), qualitative methods are more flexible because they allow greater spontaneity and adaption of the interaction between the researcher and the study participant. Qualitative research method asks mostly “open-ended questions”, where respondents are free to respond in their words, and these responses tend to be more complex than simply “yes” or “no”. It is for these reasons that a qualitative method was used for this study.

2.2.2 Advantages of qualitative research methodology

Hancock et al. (2009:6) posited that the qualitative research method focuses mainly on how a group of individuals from a different background can perceive reality in different manners from one another. Ultimately, the Qualitative research method analyses the natural behavioural setting as data and ensure that there is no manipulation of variables (Hancock et al., 2009:6).
McRoy (n.d.) believed that qualitative approaches have the advantages of in-depth analysis, flexibility, and the potential to observe a variety of aspects of a social situation. Furthermore, through the use of questions to retrieve answers, the researcher has the ability to gain comprehensive understanding of the respondent’s attitudes, beliefs, and the situation. The qualitative research method’s primary strength is uncovering much about people’s experiences by finding out why things may be the way they are.

Moreover, by developing and using questions on the spot, a qualitative researcher can gain a more in-depth understanding of the respondent’s beliefs, attitudes, or situation (McRoy, n.d.). Qualitative methods are typically more flexible in that they provide more room for adaptation between the researcher and the respondent. An example in this regard is that qualitative methods ask mainly “open-ended” questions that are not necessarily worded in the same manner for every participant.

Open-ended questions are flexible in the sense that participants are afforded the liberty to answer the questions in their own words (Qualitative Research Methods: A Data Collector’s Field Guide, n.d.). In addition, with qualitative methods, the relationship between the researcher and the participant is often less formal than in quantitative research. Participants have the opportunity to respond more elaborately and in greater detail than is typically the case with quantitative methods (Qualitative Research Methods: A Data Collector’s Field Guide, n.d.).

Conversely, the researcher has the opportunity to respond immediately to what participants say by tailoring subsequent questions to information the participant has provided. It is important to note, however, that there was a range of flexibility among methods used in both quantitative and qualitative research and that flexibility was not an indication of the scientific rigour the method displayed. Rather, the degree of flexibility reflects the kind of understanding of the problem that is being pursued using the method.
2.2.3 Disadvantages of qualitative research methodology

A qualitative research method collects data about what the selected group of participants or individuals think or how they behave. It places the researcher in a disadvantaged position since the data cannot be used to make any assumptions beyond the specific group of participants (Jones, n.d.). This type of a research method is time consuming according to Jones (n.d.), and has the propensity to be biased to the researcher’s interpretations of the research.

2.3 RESEARCH METHODS

2.3.1 Literature review

According to Taylor (n.d.) a literature review is written ideas of what has been published about a topic by accredited scholars and researchers. Lie (n.d.) defined a literature review as an effective evaluation of chosen documents on a particular research topic. Cronin, Ryan and Coughlan (2008:38) defined a literature review as a thorough summary which is objective in summarising and critical in analysing all relevant available research and non-research literature on the study being undertaken. A literature review thoroughly studies the current scholarly work available on a particular subject (Literature Review hand-out, n.d.).

This study used both primary and secondary sources. Welman et al. (2005:41) defined a primary source as the original works, books, magazines articles, films and sound recordings which reflects the information first-hand. Secondary sources include commentaries, explanations, elucidations, etcetera, which other writers have done on the primary sources and these are easier to locate than primary literature because these sources are covered more thoroughly by the tertiary/secondary literature.

2.3.2 The role of literature review

Literature reviews provides readers with easy access to research on a particular topic by selecting high quality articles or studies that are relevant, meaningful, important and valid. These are then summarised into one
complete report. Literature review provides an excellent starting point for researchers who have recently commenced with a research topic in a new area by forcing them to summarise, evaluate, and compare original research in that specific area. It ensures that researchers do not duplicate work that has already been done. It can provide clues as to where future research is heading or recommend areas on which to focus. Literature reviews emphasises pertinent findings, and it has the potential to identify inconsistencies, gaps and contradictions. It provides a constructive analysis of the methodologies and approaches of other researchers (Writing a literature review, 2004:1).

2.3.3 Primary and secondary sources

2.3.3.1 Primary sources

Primary sources are original sources constructed or created by individuals who were present during the time of the event (Guide to reading primary sources, n.d.). Neumann (1997:396) defined a primary source by giving examples such as: diaries, novels, movies, articles, photographs. Neumann (1997:396) further said that these sources can be found in archives, private collections, in family closets and in museums. Roosevelt (n.d.) provided a more comprehensive definition of a primary source by saying it is a record created by a direct participant in an event or activity in the past.

Roosevelt (n.d.) further said primary sources are not always documents, since these can include maps, drawings or objects. Primary sources include original articles or books, as well as letters, films, short stories, plays, poems, photographs, court cases, journal articles, newspaper events, and speeches. A speech by the Minister of Finance, for example, is a primary source since it is generated by the Minister (Distinguish between primary and secondary sources, 2003:1).

Primary sources are flexible and allow the researcher to analyse and interpret opinions of other authors regarding the stated research question (Distinguish between primary and secondary sources, 2003:1). Primary sources are also known as first-hand information directly from the source or person, such as a
diary, because it is written by a person or individual in his/her own handwriting.

2.3.3.2 Secondary sources

Neumann (1997: 397) mentioned that primary sources are authentic and real as compared to secondary sources. However, the practical limitation of time can restrict research concerning many primary sources to a narrow time frame or location. To retrieve more understanding and be exposed to a broader picture, many researchers resort to making use of secondary sources, in order to gather more information written by historians who spent years studying primary sources (Neumann, 1997:397).

Secondary sources contain more information because they interpret, analyse, offer commentary and draw conclusions about the events detailed in primary sources. Information is always made accessible to the public by putting together and interpreting from a number of primary sources (Distinguish between primary and secondary sources, 2003:1). Secondary sources include journal articles, books, encyclopaedias, dictionaries, reviews, newspaper articles, as well as specific essays and other types of recordings (Distinguish between primary and secondary sources, 2003:1).

Most research papers are based on secondary sources as they build on the research or study that others have done (Distinguish between primary and secondary sources, 2003:1). Primary sources are directly taken from an individual or group of individuals, while secondary sources garner information from an individual or group and analyse the topic. Secondary source information helps researchers to decide whether the source at hand is indeed either a primary or secondary source (Distinguish between primary and secondary sources, 2003:1).
2.4 RESEARCH TECHNIQUES

2.4.1 Interviews

McRoy (n.d.) stated that it is always easy for researcher to conduct face-to-face interviews since the interview schedule provides the researcher with an opportunity to probe further if the researcher realised that the respondent may have new information. Neumann (1997:253) agreed with McRoy (n.d.) that face-to-face interviews are always an advantage because these allow the longest questionnaires and furthermore, interviews have the highest response rates. Interviews that are conducted telephonically provide the interviewer with the liberty to observe the surroundings and the usage of nonverbal communication and sometimes visual aids (McRoy, n.d.). Interviewers can ask a variety of questions and also can use comprehensive probes. Interviews allows the researcher to observe the respondent’s bodily expression, mood, voice intonation, and any other environmental factors that might directly or indirectly influence the interviewee’s responses (Qualitative Research Methods: A Data Collector’s Field Guide, n.d.).

2.4.2 Unstructured interviews

Unstructured interviews are normally used in explorative research for the purposes: “to identify important variables in a particular area, to formulate penetrating questions on them and to generate hypothesis for further investigation”, (Welman et al., 2005:197). This type of interview provides the interviewer with a broader scope of information, comparatively more than other forms of data-collecting methods because of their qualitative nature. Unstructured interviews differ from structured interviews in that these suggests the general theme of discussion and pose further questions as these are identified in the spontaneous development of the interaction between the interviewer and research participant (Welman et al., 2005:198).
The researcher decided to use unstructured interviews because most of the respondents were junior ranking officers and Non-Commissioned Officers in the SANDF. The purpose of utilising an unstructured interview platform was to ensure that the junior ranking officer and the Non-Commissioned Officers felt comfortable, instead of under pressure to answer a list of questions. Unstructured interviews ensure that respondents are able to respond freely, without fear of answering “correctly”.

2.4.3 Advantages of unstructured interviews

Welman et al. (2005:201) provided an opinion that unstructured interviews are immeasurable useful when the researcher wants to set in motion an explorative investigation as well as pre-testing a questionnaire. Another advantage according to Welman et al. (2005:201) is that unstructured interviews help to define concepts and clarify problems and also permit the establishment of a list of possible answers and solutions which, on the other hand serve to facilitates the construction of multiple-choice questions as well as the elimination of superfluous questions (Welman et al., 2005:201).

2.4.4 Disadvantages of unstructured interviews

Welman et al. (2005:201) mentioned that when the interviewers are involved and in direct control of the respondents, it may cause a situation whereby the researcher or interviewer can be biased. This type of interview may be time consuming because some interview sessions can last for days. Unstructured interviews may also be time consuming and can be costly financially because the interviewer needs to make provision for travelling expenses, meals, and even accommodation expenses if the interviews are held out-of-town (Welman et al., 2005:201).

2.4.5 Observation

Patrick (n.d.) defined observation as a study of both explicit and tacit cultural knowledge. Observation in qualitative data uses words whilst quantitative data makes use of numbers. Mulhall (2003:306) mentioned that observation is
mainly utilised in two ways, either structured or unstructured. The researcher is guided by the research question regarding which method to choose.

Driscoll (2011:160) is of the opinion that observation is suitable for almost any subject matter, although the kind of observation would depend on the research question. Driscoll (2011:160) further mentioned that a person might observe traffic or parking patterns on campus in order to get a sense of what improvements could be made. Observing people is an exercise that should be conducted cautiously and with great consideration, such as obtaining their consent (Driscoll, 2011:160).

The researcher for this particular research study used observations because these provide a distinct picture of the research topic. Observations were conducted at 13 Combat Ready Provost Company (Thaba Tshwane), Military Police School (Thaba Tshwane), Defence Headquarters (Erasmuskloof), Defence Works Formation HQ (Thaba Tshwane), Bank of Lisbon (Pretoria Central) and Poyntons Building (Pretoria Central). The researcher found it easy to observe in a manner known as participant observation, where the observer needs to be part of the community that was being observed (Welman et al., 2005:194). The researcher, being part of the SANDF, ensured that those being observed would not suspect anything during the observation. During the observation the researcher took notes. As soon as the researcher entered a unit/base he was able to see vehicles parked outside the various buildings at the chosen locations. He was also privy to observing the behavioural pattern of the guards at the gate.

### 2.4.6 Advantages of observation

Foster (n.d.) believed that observation technique has got a number of advantages as compared to interviews and questionnaires. It is a method that provides more information about the physical environment and about the human behaviour. It also puts the researcher in an advantageous position because he or she can record the information directly without having to rely on the retrospective or anticipatory account of other people (Foster, n.d.). Another advantage is that through observation the researcher is always at a
better position to see other things that the participants could not see. This is due to numerous features of the environment that are ignored or taken for granted by respondents and may therefore be not easy for them to describe (Foster, n.d.).

2.4.7 Disadvantages of observation

There are also some limitations according to Foster (n.d.), such as the inaccessibility of the environment which may render the observation impossible. This may be attributed to social norms such as human sexual behaviours for example.

Another disadvantage is that once people realise that they are observed, they may consciously or unconsciously change the way they behave because they are being observed and that may render the observational account inaccurate.

2.5 RESEARCH SAMPLE

Neumann (1997:201) defined a sampling as: “a process of systematically selecting cases for inclusion in a research project”. In simple terms a research sample is small group of a population, which is selected for the sole purpose of a certain research project. The sample in this project comprised of members of the SANDF from various corps mustering who were randomly selected considering their involvement with SANDF vehicles from their units / bases. The sample also consists of members of the Military Police Division who are directly involved in crime prevention and crime investigation with Gauteng Province.

The reason for selecting the sample was because these individuals have been directly or indirectly involved either in the management of SANDF vehicles within their respective units/bases or in the investigation of theft of SANDF vehicles as members of the Military Police Division. It is not necessary to collect data from each and every person in the community but only a small group or few individuals are required to create a saturation point of similar information (Qualitative Research Methods: A Data Collector’s Field Guide,
The study sample group was not randomly selected since this is a qualitative study. A qualitative study is aimed at obtaining primary information that is rich instead of representing the whole group (Welman et al., 2005:56). This technique enables the researcher to have more accurate information from the respondents.

2.6 DATA ANALYSIS

Welman et al. (2005: 211) mentioned that a qualitative data analysis entails detailed notes which are hand written, tape recordings and observations. During interviews the researcher made notes by hand and later converted the notes into write-ups which are readable. There was little data that was collected through statistics although the little that was obtained was analysed in a tabular format. These statistics consider the number of SANDF vehicles stolen per year and the modus operandi that was used to steal the vehicles, as well as the monetary value of each motor-vehicle, together with total loss that the SANDF has suffered.

Taylor-Powell and Kenner (2003:2) suggested an analysis process that includes three steps, namely: get to know your data, focus the analysis and categorize information. The “get to know your data” step involves understanding the data collected. The researcher has to read the data and re-read for the sake better understanding. The “focus the analysis” step means that the researcher should formulate and identify a few questions that the researcher would like the analysis to answer and write them down.

The last step of categorizing information may refer to the coding of data. It does not involve assigning numerical codes as in the case of a quantitative analysis where exclusive variables are labelled by codes or values (Taylor-Powell et al., 2003:2). Since this research is mainly of a qualitative nature, all data collected qualitatively was interpreted by the researcher.
2.7 TRUSTWORTHINESS OF THE STUDY

Shenton (2003:63) explained that trustworthiness of qualitative research is in most cases questioned by positivists and this could be attributed to the fact that their concept of reliability and validity cannot be addressed in a manner in which naturalistic investigators work. A different terminology has always been preferred by naturalistic investigators in order to distance them from a positivist paradigm (Shenton, 2003:63).

Guba and Lincoln (1985) as quoted by Shenton (2003:63) made a proposal of four criteria that should be considered by qualitative researchers to ensure trustworthiness of studies. The criteria as proposed by Guba and Lincoln (1985) are credibility, confirmability, transferability and dependability. Credibility notes the evaluation of data in order to find out whether the findings of the study are “credible” according to the conceptual interpretation of data gathered from respondents (Lincoln & Guba, 1985 as quoted by Shenton, 2003:63). Confirmability measures how well the research findings are supported by the data collected; whether from respondents or from various sources. Transferability is the extent to which the findings of the research can be applied or be transferred beyond the project. Dependability is the evaluation of the various data collection, data analysis and theory generation of the project (Lincoln & Guba, 1985 as quoted by Shenton, 2003:63).

2.8 CONCLUSION

There is limited information on the research subject from the SANDF, in particular the Military Police and the SAPS. The dearth of information available in the SANDF is nonetheless protected by means of a security classification. Therefore, a qualitative research supplemented by unstructured interviews was the most appropriate option.
CHAPTER 3: CAUSES AND THE EXTENT OF MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT WITHIN THE SANDF PREMISES

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Motor vehicle theft is a crime that affects many South Africans irrespective of their colour, race, gender, age or religion and it affects them both directly and indirectly. Motor vehicles theft does not have jurisdiction because it happens almost everywhere, every day and anytime. Thieves have always been seen as the main cause of suffering in civilized society. Longman (n.d.) wrote as early as in 1906, that criminals such as Bonnet and Dillinger in France or Bonnie and Clyde in the United States of America (USA) stole vehicles in order to commit their evil deeds.

There are no records stipulating the year in which the first military vehicle was stolen. According to Davis (2003:174), the first motor vehicle (in general) to be stolen in South Africa was recorded in 1976 in the form of a hijacking. The driver was approached by four men armed with knives, overpowered and forced out of his car. Since then vehicle theft has been on the increase in South Africa (Davis, 2003). In a media statement from the office of the National Commissioner of the South African Police Service (2007), it was mentioned that the risk of vehicle crime escalated within each race group, explaining that Asians and whites in Pretoria are at the greatest risk of vehicle theft.

The South African Police Services (SAPS) Commissioner was not referring directly to the theft of military vehicles but was referring to theft of motor vehicles in general. According to the South African Police Service (SAPS) Annual Report for 2008/2009, 29 military vehicles were reported stolen but what the SAPS Annual Report could not reveal is whether the 29 military vehicles were stolen in Gauteng or in South Africa (the report provided only national figures). The Commissioner’s statement suggests that the SAPS Annual Report also caters for the theft of military vehicles, so it could be said that the Commissioner of police was also referring to military vehicles. Nqakula (2007) further stated that 70 percent of motor vehicles were stolen in
Pretoria suburbs and only 20 percent were stolen in townships, 4 percent stolen from places in the inner city and 3 percent from informal settlements.

Military vehicles are stolen just like any motor vehicle in South Africa since they are driven by human beings and on the same roads that are used by any other motor vehicle. Motor vehicles are stolen for a number of reasons. Some people steal motor vehicles because they want to resell the vehicle to make a profit. Others commit vehicle theft because they want to use the vehicle for their own consumption. Some vehicles are stolen to be used as either a mode of transport by the thief and sometimes vehicles are stolen in order to strip the motor vehicle for the parts to be fitted in existing vehicles.

This chapter is dedicated to analyse the types, causes, reasons, and *modus operandi* that are used by thieves in stealing military vehicles and other vehicles in general. Finally, the extent of motor vehicles theft in the SANDF is discussed. Although there is a shortage of literature investigating offender motivation for committing motor vehicle theft in the SANDF, some general information can be identified and utilised.

### 3.2 CAUSES OF MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT

Motor vehicle thefts can be associated with social deprivation characterised by low income, low levels of education and high rates of unemployment. Most offenders steal motor vehicles because it offers a way of making quick cash in order to maintain a lifestyle which is beyond the perpetrator’s means (Casey, 2007:2). Unemployment is related to poverty, and low income is frequently mentioned as an example of factors that contribute to crime in general (Bunge, Johnson & Baldé, and 2005:20). Bunge *et al.* (2005:20) further suggested that employment reduces the risks of engaging in criminal activities, whereas families and individuals that are living in low income situations normally have reduced chances of engaging in organised recreational activities. Davis (2003:174) agreed that socioeconomic factors such as poverty and unemployment played a significant role in the escalation of crime in South Africa. In the researchers’ opinion unemployment does not always play a direct role in the theft of military vehicles because some soldiers
that are involved in the theft are obviously employed but their accomplices are unemployed.

During an interview with the Head of Investigations at Thaba Tshwane Military Police Station (Captain Gcule) on 26 January 2012, he mentioned that there are groups of unemployed persons who operate syndicates that target military vehicles around Pretoria and he believes that had these members been employed, they would probably not be involved in crime especially motor vehicle theft. He further said that these syndicates also target members of the SANDF who might not earn good salaries and fail to provide adequately for their families (lower level ranking members) and therefore they are vulnerable and easily exploitable by these syndicates.

Cowdrey (2006:6) stated that for any crime to occur there has to be motivated offenders which would be people with a need, desire and/or drive to commit crime by breaking the particular legal rules. Furthermore there has to be incentives and opportunities to commit that particular crime. Cowdrey (2006:6) added that most people are confronted by opportunities to commit crime on daily basis but they do not succumb or maybe they do not even notice. Motivated offenders require incentives in order to commit a crime, incentives emanate from financial need, peer pressure, mood disturbance and, greed (Cowdrey, 2006:7). During another interview with an investigating officer (Sergeant Kenosi) at Thaba Tshwane Military Police Station on 25 January 2012, the investigator mentioned the fact that some soldiers steal military vehicles because of debts that are beyond their means of repayments; therefore they steal cars in order to gain financially.

The investigator explained that other soldiers steal vehicles because they notice the opportunities which emanate from the lack of security measures and therefore take advantage of the situation but not because they are in financial crisis. She also stated that soldiers steal cars because they used to steal cars when they were civilians and even after joining the SANDF they cannot abandon their bad habit, as they want to prove to their peers that they can still perform these acts of crime that are considered “normal” in the societies from which many soldiers come.
During an interview with the Case Management System Supervisor (Warrant Officer Class 2, Pretorius) at Thaba Tshwane Military Police Station on 19 January 2012, he revealed that most SANDF vehicles are stolen by members of the organisation. He gave an example that in some cases a civilian vehicle would enter a unit with two people in the vehicle (wearing civilian clothes) and the guards would open the gate without asking questions or noticing how many people are in the vehicle. When the vehicle left the unit, only a driver would be inside the car and the other person who posed as a passenger would be driving a military vehicle. The guards would still open the gate without asking questions and the military vehicle would be gone. Sometimes, offender would cut through fences in order to steal a military vehicle.

According to Casey (2007:210), there is adequate evidence that confirms the importance of analysing the social background of offenders. For example, factors such as inadequate schooling, unemployment, and social deprivation have been identified as contributing factors. Although unemployment is not directly the cause of the high rate of theft in the SANDF, it is indirectly a contributing factor to vehicle theft. The employed members of the SANDF are familiar with the routines and procedures at their various units/bases, and therefore they are able to recruit their ‘unemployed’ friends either to aid them or to personally undertake the stealing of military vehicles after they have been briefed thoroughly about the security measures in place in that particular unit/base.

Out of all the reasons that might contribute to motor vehicle theft, as described by Tang (2009:58) and Casey (2007:210), unemployment cannot be the sole reason for SANDF members to steal vehicles from the organisation where they are employed. Another possible reason for SANDF vehicle theft could be for household consumption, where the car is either sold or otherwise traded for basic household commodities such as food, clothing, housing, utilities, transportation and education. As previously mentioned, members of the SANDF find themselves on the wrong side of the law and in most cases it could be because they are struggling financially to provide adequately for their families. Nevertheless, crime is wrong and should not be condoned.
On 26 August 2009 almost 3000 soldiers marched to the Union Buildings in Pretoria demanding a 30 percent wage increase (SANews.gov.za, 2009). Most SANDF members including the researcher had been struggling to make ends meet until the salary increase was announced by the President of the Republic of South Africa on 16 December 2009. Before that, soldiers were underpaid and that could have been a reason for some members to resort to crime in order to better provide for their families.

After the salary increase for soldiers in 2009, the number of military vehicles stolen in 2010 increased to 21 when compared to 8 that were stolen in 2009 and only 9 were stolen in 2011. (Military Police Cases Reported in 2005 – 2010). From the statistics, it is suggested that soldiers are involved in crime especially SANDF motor vehicle theft reason for the theft to sustain themselves and their families because their salaries are inadequate. The researcher cannot rule out that a possibility that the 21 vehicles stolen in 2010 could not be necessarily attributed to the salaries of soldiers, but the 2010 world cup might have created an opportunity.

3.3 REASONS FOR MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT

Research suggests that there are many reasons that can be attributed to motor vehicle theft worldwide, but there are four commonly known reasons: (1) joyriding, whereby a vehicle is stolen for the purpose of driving around. Such vehicles are quickly recovered and normally not far from where they were stolen from. (2) Transportation is another reason vehicles are stolen and they are stolen for personal used and they are normally abandoned when the thieves have reached their destination. (3) Commission of other crimes is another reason for vehicles to be stolen, and often thieves stole vehicles just to rush to another crime scene; to commit another crime and the vehicle would be abandoned after they reached their destination or after they accomplished their mission of committing another crime. (4) the fourth reason is profit or commercial theft; in this case a vehicle is stolen for profit or simply for commercial gain (Shield, Miethe and Timothy, 2010; Quinn, n.d.).
These reasons involve expensive and instrumental motives. Instrumental motives occur when a vehicle is stolen for a desire to benefit financially either by selling the whole vehicle or by selling the vehicle parts to chop-shops (Shield et al, 2010). During an interview with Thaba Tshwane Military Police Investigating Officer on 25 January 2012, she mentioned that in October 2011 a 16 seater Toyota Quantum was stolen at the Military Police School (MP School) by a person working at the school. Fortunately the vehicle was recovered within a week after it was stolen. The person who stole the vehicle confessed after he was interrogated during the investigation process, culminating in the recovery of the vehicle. According to the investigating officer, the person confessed and explained that his reason for stealing the vehicle was because he was in a serious financial crisis and he desperately needed some extra cash.

The Provost Marshal General (2007:6) found out that military vehicles that are beyond economic repair (BER) are sold at SANDF auctions, therefore syndicates make use of the military vehicles' unique engine numbers and chassis numbers on a vehicle that is already stolen. He further said that it becomes easy for the syndicates to register the vehicle because the Department of Defence (DOD) Logistic system does not have any connection with the Electronic National Traffic Administration System (e-NATIS).

According to the Military Police Division National Crime Estimates for the 2010/2011 Financial Year (annual report), the military vehicles are stolen in order to be stripped of their parts and accessories and in some instances engines are stolen from military vehicles while still parked inside the units. The report further suggested that commodities in the SANDF are easily accessible due to a lack of security. Security and proper control of assets in the SANDF is inadequate, that is why it is very easy for a person to steal and duplicate a vehicle key without being noticed. The Provost Marshal General (2007:7) further mentioned that there are syndicates operating in Gauteng area and they are willing to pay up-to R1200 for a set of keys in order to make duplicate keys, their target group being the military drivers.
Another cause for motor vehicle theft according to the Arizona Auto Theft Study (2004:9) is to trade the vehicle for drugs and sometimes the vehicle can be used to transport drugs from one location to another. In some instances, military vehicles are used in the commission of criminal activities such as transporting stolen items (Military Police Division National Crime Estimates – 2010/11 Financial Year, Annual Report). According to the South African Insurance Crime Report (SAICB, 2010), vehicles are sometimes stolen in order to finance other crimes. In the Interpol report as quoted in the SAICB Report (2010:2) these vehicles can also be used to commit other crimes or are used as bomb carriers, the same bombs that can be used to blast open ATMs.

For any crime to occur, there must be a motivation to offenders (people with a need, desire and/or drive to commit a crime by simply breaking the particular legal rules), and there must be an incentive and opportunity to commit a particular crime (Cowdrey, 2006:6). Opportunities presents themselves to people to commit crime every day, but most people do not succumb or notice those opportunities (Cowdrey, 2006:6).

The Military Police Division National Crime Estimates for the 2010/2011 Financial Year suggested that the theft of important commodities in the SANDF such as fuel, military vehicles, and computer equipment amongst others resulted in low productivity. Out of sight areas, poor visibility in units/bases and inadequate security and control measures provided perfect opportunities for thieves to steal military equipment especially military vehicles. According to the report, there are no cases where a vehicle was stolen for recreational purposes but was rather stolen for financial gain.

Another motivating factor according to Casey (2007:6) is symbolic importance. Car ownership can show a sense of power and status, which explains why offenders would steal cars sell them and use the money to purchase material items to display to the public or community. By displaying their expensive items, the community would be under the impression that they (thieves) have overcome their financial difficulties. Such offenders generally spend their money to luxury items such as clothing and jewellery.
The researcher is of the opinion this might not be necessarily the fact with regard to SANDF members. However, it might be a fact with regard to the syndicates that exploit SANDF members to help them steal military vehicles easily. As the Provost Marshal General (2007:7) stated, there is normally a “finger-man” that is involved in helping or facilitating the stealing of a particular military vehicle. In the researcher’s understanding, a ‘finger-man’ is the person that would help either by providing information to his accomplices or would steal the vehicle key, duplicate it and hand over the duplicate key to his accomplices. There are 6 military vehicles that were stolen between 2005 and 2010 by means of a duplicate key, but there were more than just these 6 vehicles stolen. In some cases of SANDF vehicle theft the modus operandi remains unknown.

According to Wallace (2001:1) car parking lots are mainly common places where motor vehicle theft occurs, followed by streets and single homes which include garages and driveways. Wallace (2001:1) further revealed that together all these locations contributes 87 percent of all motor vehicle theft in Canada. From 2005 to 2010 there were 40 military vehicles that were stolen while parked inside military units or bases in Gauteng according to the Military Police Division reported cases. The same report also revealed that there were 14 military vehicles that were stolen while parked on the streets in Pretoria Central Business District (CBD) and 26 military vehicles were stolen while parked in single homes, which included garages and driveways in Gauteng.

The Provost Marshal General (PMG) (2007:2) said he believed that the theft of motor vehicles in the SANDF is syndicate related and that these groups only target motor vehicles that are in high demand. The PMG (2007:3) further mentioned that SANDF motor vehicles are stolen for parts such as batteries, engine parts and tyres. The PMG statement is in agreement with the definition of motor vehicle theft by Jochelson and da Huong (1993:24) of acquisitive vehicle theft where vehicles are stolen for resale or dismantling of parts which are solely for profit. Kennedy (n.d.) called this type of motor vehicle theft as commercial theft, where cars are only stolen to be resold.
It is a general practice that body shops often take stolen cars, file off serial numbers and change the look of the car in order to resell the vehicle. Financially motivated offenders steal motor cars for financial benefit either by selling the vehicle parts or by committing insurance fraud (Shield et al., 2010). In the researcher’s view SANDF motor vehicles cannot be stolen in order to commit insurance fraud since they are not insured like civilian vehicles and SANDF vehicles are not attached to any individual’s name but to the government and the SANDF is the account holder. Furthermore the researcher believes that some reasons that may be attributed to theft of SANDF official vehicles are commercial purposes, either to sell the whole vehicle or to dismantle it and sell its parts to the black market whether in South Africa or in neighbouring countries such as Namibia, Swaziland, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, Botswana, Zambia or Lesotho (Naudé, Prinsloo & Ladikos, 2006:31).

Every military unit or base is allocated with a number of vehicles ranging from sedans, to bakkies and, trucks, while other units have armoured vehicles. These vehicles are kept within the unit’s carports and hangers. The places where these vehicles are kept are called Transport Parks (TP) and some transport parks are not completely secured in terms of Closed Circuit Camera Televisions (CCTV). The only security measure that is in place are the guards with weapons and most of them are not reliable since they are sometimes involved as the “middlemen”, as the Provost Marshal General explained (2007:7).

According to the Military Police Division reported cases between 2005 and 2010, forty military vehicles were stolen from units/bases parking areas. If there were CCTV cameras installed, it might have been possible to recover some of the vehicles because the SANDF would be able to possibly identify the culprits. Most of these vehicles were stolen at Thaba Tshwane and its surrounding units or military bases. Currently the recovery rate of stolen military vehicle is almost zero. Table 3.1 shows the number of military vehicles stolen in Gauteng between 2005 and 2010, per year:
Table 3.1: The number of SANDF motor vehicles stolen from 2005 – 2010 in Gauteng (SANDF. Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 – 2011)

Out of the 86 military motor vehicles stolen in Gauteng from 2005 to 2010, the majority of them were stolen in Pretoria. The researcher is of the opinion that the reason for these Pretoria-based thefts are because Pretoria is the SANDF Headquarters and consists of comparatively many more military vehicles than Johannesburg or any part of the country. Table 3.2 displays the number of military vehicles stolen in Pretoria, Johannesburg and other parts of Gauteng from 2005 to 2010 (Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 – 2010):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pretoria</th>
<th>Johannesburg</th>
<th>Other parts of Gauteng</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: The number of military vehicles stolen in Pretoria, Johannesburg and other parts of Gauteng from 2005 to 2010. (SANDF Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 – 2011)
3.4 TYPES OF MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT

3.4.1 Opportunistic theft

Gant and Grabosky (2001:1) stated that in the year 2000, 139,000 motor vehicles were stolen in Australia and about 80 per cent of the stolen vehicles were recovered immediately after they were stolen. They further mentioned that three-quarters of the motor vehicle theft in Australia was opportunistic, meaning that they were stolen for joy-riding or for transportation proposes and that is the reason they were recovered immediately after they were stolen (Inquiry into Motor Vehicle Theft Final Report, 2002).

This opportunistic type of crime is only committed because the opportunity presents itself. The vehicle is available with inadequate security and thieves seize the opportunity and steal the particular vehicle for their own use, such as transportation. The study guide for Investigation of Crime II (Mattheus, Grobler, Struwig, Pienaar and Sittert, 2001:4) states that this type of theft happens when someone see an opportunity to steal a car such as when a driver leaves keys in the ignition.

Once a destination is reached, the car is abandoned. Cars stolen for joyriding are easily recovered and the suspect(s) is usually traceable by means of fingerprints. Copes and Cherbonneau (2006:920) mentioned that auto theft is a crime that could happen anytime, it only requires a ‘larceny sense’ to recognise and exploit an opportunity. The current statistics obtainable in the SANDF do not show an indication that SANDF vehicles are stolen for short term use. In fact, most vehicles stolen from the SANDF are not recovered instantly, and the majority are not recovered at all.

3.4.2 Organised theft

Wallace (2004:9) defined criminal organisation as stated in Section 467.1 of the Criminal Code of Canada: “Criminal organisation means a group, however organised, that: (1) is composed of 3 or more persons in or outside Canada; and (2) has as one of its main purposed or main activities the facilitation or commission of one or more serious offences that, if committed, would likely
result in the direct or indirect receipt of material benefit, including a financial benefit, by the group or by one of the persons who constitute the group.” Finklea (2010:3) further defined organised crime as: “the unlawful activities of the members of a highly organised, disciplined association engaged in supplying illegal goods and services, including but not limited to gambling, prostitution, loan sharking, narcotics, labour racketeering, and other unlawful activities of members of such organisations.” Essentially, organised crime can also be defined as structured groups committing serious crime for profit. There are motor vehicle theft syndicates that specialise in the theft and sale of stolen motor vehicles. These rings either sell the motor vehicle parts or opt to sell the entire motor vehicle.

Vehicle theft rings do not involve themselves in other criminal activities that are unrelated to auto theft. These groups are focused, on profit and quick cash. The vehicle syndicates are often run by a few people with shared interest in stealing and selling vehicle parts or the entire vehicle. Theft rings are organised and they steal and dismantle a vehicle in a secure building simply known as a “chop-shop” and sell the parts to willing buyers (Wallace, 2004:9). Mattheus et al. (2001:4) posits that most professional theft is committed by syndicates.

Syndicates are organised groups who steal motor vehicles to either flee from a crime scene especially a robbery scene, or they steal motor vehicles in order to resell them. They also steal vehicles as a means of exchange in drug trafficking. Because of the high levels of organisation, they can steal and sell a car as a whole or sell the car parts and bodyworks to chop-shops in efficient, rapid time (Mattheus et al., 2001:5).

While perusing the Case Administration System between 2005 and 2011, it was evident that military vehicles are stolen almost every week at Thaba Tshwane, some are stolen inside units while some are stolen around the Thaba Tshwane shopping centre. On Tuesdays and Thursdays soldiers complete a Concurrent Health Assessment (CHA) at Thaba Tshwane CHA centre just metres from Thaba Tshwane shopping centre. There are many SANDF vehicles stolen at the CHA centre and Thaba Tshwane shopping
centre. For instance on 26 June 2009, Volkswagen city golf was stolen whilst parked at Thaba Tshwane shopping centre. The two soldiers parked the vehicle and went inside the shopping centre and when they returned they saw a man of between 18 and 25 years of age inside the vehicle and when they approached him he drove off with the vehicle. The vehicle was not recovered (Case Administration System, 2009). On 08 July 2009, another vehicle a white Mazda 323 was stolen at the Thaba Tshwane CHA centre. The theft of SANDF vehicles at Thaba Tshwane suggest that there is a syndicate that targets military vehicles around Thaba Tshwane shopping centre and the CHA centre.

The researcher’s view is that the same syndicate is involved in the theft of military vehicles inside units/bases. Normally vehicles stolen from units/bases are stolen by using a duplicate set of keys. According to the investigating officer at Thaba Tshwane, there are more than 3000 cases of this nature, and 85 percent of these cases are motor vehicle theft cases, concentrating only on the theft of military vehicles in Thaba Tshwane. Johannesburg does not have many military bases and therefore there are few military vehicles parked in the immediate surroundings, and that could be the reason that less military vehicles are stolen there.

3.4.3 Acquisitive motor vehicle theft

Acquisitive crime implies obtaining property through illegal means (Crime, disorder and drugs, 2004:14). According to the Community Safety and Drugs Partnership (2004:66), acquisitive theft implies obtaining property through illegal means such as theft, robbery and etcetera. Devery (1993:24) agreed that acquisitive theft involves thieves who are well organised and gain significant income from stealing motor vehicles. There are thieves who carry out acquisitive theft on a part time basis and they gain varying degrees of financial benefits, and there are also amateur thieves who might steal a vehicle to obtain parts for their own use.
In 2006 a military vehicle (Volkswagen Jetta) was stolen at Waterkloof military base, a key was used to steal the vehicle. After a few weeks the vehicle was recovered in Kempton Park stripped of its parts. While, some parts were also recovered, the majority of the parts were not. The suspect was a soldier who worked at the Waterkloof military base. The suspect was acquitted due to technical reasons that were overlooked by the military police during investigation (Case Administration System, 2006).

3.5 MODUS OPERANDI

According to Mattheus et al. (2001:15) *modus operandi* is a Latin term that refers to methodology, and a unique pattern that a criminal follows when committing an offence. The meaning of *modus operandi* according to The Jailhouse Lawyer’s Manual (2011) further defined *modus operandi* as the “method of operating”, which in a criminal context can be easily used to identify a culprit based on a characteristic pattern of methods of repeated criminal act. Technology has advanced in the recent years since many vehicles especially the new models are already installed with security devices aimed at making it difficult for thieves to steal such vehicles. Vehicles are stolen by thieves using different *modus operandi*. SANDF vehicles are generally stolen like any other vehicle because they are not different to any vehicle in South Africa and they drive on the same road.

The Provost Marshal General (2007:6) said that SANDF vehicles are easily accessible and the types of vehicles stolen are in high demand. He further mentioned that the theft of motor vehicles in the SANDF is syndicate related and they only target those vehicles that are in demand. He also suggested that in most cases SANDF vehicles that are stolen from the unit or base are as a result of assistance from a person who works at the transport section (“finger man”) or a driver (Provost Marshal General (PMG), 2007:7). Typically, it can be hypothesised that the “finger man” will make duplicates of the sets of the spare keys that are kept at the transport section office. He will then hand over the duplicate keys to the driver responsible for stealing the vehicle. A possibility that the unit or base guards could be part of the syndicate is always there.
Normally the theft of SANDF vehicles occurs over weekends, public holidays and after-hours according to the Provost Marshal General (PMG). Either the “finger man” from the transport section or the driver of the vehicle drives the vehicle out of the unit just before the weekend commences (Provost Marshal General, 2007:7). The driver may or may not be involved in the theft. The syndicate is then provided with sets of keys by the “finger man” to make sets of duplicate keys. The syndicate will monitor the movement of a particular vehicle (driver not involved) that they want to steal. Once the driver parks the vehicle, they will then steal it (Provost Marshal General, 2007:7).

If the driver is involved, the driver will produce his set of keys and clearly stated that he indeed locked the vehicle whilst he was conducting his duties. It is also very easy for the driver to contact the syndicate and inform them exactly where he will park the vehicle for them to steal it. When he returns, obviously the vehicle will be gone and; he will report the vehicle as stolen (Provost Marshal General, 2007:7).

According to the Military Police Division reported cases between 2005 and 2011, 86 military vehicles were stolen in Gauteng alone and out of the 86 vehicles stolen; 11 were stolen by the use of a duplicate key. As reflected in Table 3.1, there are records of SANDF vehicles stolen for each day of the week. During the past five years (2005 - 2010) most military vehicles (22 military vehicles to be precise) were stolen on Fridays in Gauteng alone and most of these vehicles were stolen in Pretoria.

In Arizona, in the United States of America, individual thieves have acquired some skills of unlocking vehicles using a device called a “slim jim”. This device is used to unlock motor vehicles doors according to Arizona Auto Theft Study (2004:9). Sometimes thieves are able to gain access to a car when the owner does not properly secure their vehicles often leaving the keys in the ignition or the vehicle left running. On 15 January 2005 a military vehicle was stolen in Mamelodi whereby the driver left the vehicle unlocked with the key in the ignition (Military Police Reported Cases from 2005/2010). From 2005 to 2010, 48 military vehicles were stolen by the use of a device similar to “slim jim” to open the vehicle doors but the name of the device in
most of the cases remains unknown. In some instances thieves gain access to a vehicle by breaking a window or use other means to force open the door. Once they are inside the vehicle they hot-wire the car to start, a trick especially useful with the older car models. Normally thieves crack the steering column and remove the ignition to allow the vehicle to be started and then they drive away. There are rare instances where a military vehicle has been broken into by implementing this process. In 2010 only 1 military vehicle was stolen, whereby a side window was broken (Military Police Reported Cases from 2005/2010). According to Wallace (2003:5), motor vehicles are stolen in Canada through the use of vehicle identification number (VIN) switching. This is regarded as organised crime in Canada.

Thieves purchase a wrecked vehicle in order to retrieve of its status and VIN. Their next action is to steal the same model of the car and then place the VIN from the wrecked vehicle onto the stolen vehicle. The vehicle would then be sold generally to someone in another province as a legitimate vehicle. Lyons and Teigen (2008:10) stated that thieves use another method called motor vehicle “cloning”. Cloning occurs when thieves copy a VIN of a legally owned documented vehicle, and normally they target vehicles that are parked in a parking lot or vehicle dealership’s lot. They use an illegally obtained VIN to make counterfeit tags. This method is similar to the one outlined by Wallace (2003:5) but in this instance, thieves then steal a similar vehicle in terms of make and model and replace the VIN with the counterfeit tag.

Once this process is complete, the vehicle is considered to be a “clone” and the vehicle can be easily sold without detection. Another method of committing vehicle “cloning” according to Quinn (n.d.) is when offenders target a vehicle that they would like to steal, retrieve the vehicles’ VIN number and then visits a car dealership to request that new keys be made, since he/she has lost the car’s keys. Normally this process is easier when there is a person that works hand-in-hand with the offender or a syndicate at the actual dealership. From 2005 to 2010, 40 military vehicles were stolen whilst parked inside units/bases, and in the same period (2005 - 2010) 19 military vehicles were stolen whilst parked along the streets in the Pretoria Central Business
District (CBD) and the Johannesburg CBD, even though most of these vehicles were stolen in Pretoria (Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 - 2010). Thieves also steal motor vehicles by using force, the threat of violence or weapons and this type of motor vehicle theft is called carjacking. (Arizona Auto Theft Study, 2004:67). The study stated that carjacking does not include auto theft crime because it can be classified as either an armed or strong armed robbery. Between 2007 and 2008 only two military vehicles were stolen by the use of force in Gauteng. The statistics demonstrate that there are very few military vehicles that are stolen by force (Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 to 2011). Table 3.3 displays the types of military vehicles that were stolen during 2005 and 2010 in Gauteng:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type of vehicle</th>
<th>Make</th>
<th>Number of vehicle stolen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 16 Seater bus</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Ford</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 x Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 16 Seater bus</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Mazda</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Isuzu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Nissan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Sedans</td>
<td>Fiat</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Opel</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Colt</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Sedans</td>
<td>Volkswagen</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Sedans</td>
<td>Ford</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 16 Seater bus</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 x Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3 x 16 Seater Bus</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 x Sedans</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Bakkies</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Fiat</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Isuzu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Mazda</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Nissan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 x Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Sedans</td>
<td>Volkswagen</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Nissan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4 x Sedans</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 16 Seater Bus</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Bakkie</td>
<td>Nissan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Sedan</td>
<td>Honda</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 x Sedans</td>
<td>Mazda</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 x Sedans</td>
<td>Fiat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 x Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3: The types and make of vehicles stolen in the SANDF in Gauteng in the last five years (2005 - 2010). (SANDF. Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 – 2011)
3.6 THE EXTENT OF MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT IN THE SANDF

Crime estimates can play a significant role in helping an organisation to achieve the greatest impact against crime concerning the amount of money allocated and spent from an organisation’s budget (Brand & Price, 2000). It is the researcher’s opinion that the SANDF has lost millions if not billions of Rands in theft of vehicles alone, hampering the effectiveness of the organisation. The Provost Marshal General (2007:1) once said that the Department of Defence invested millions of rands into the SANDF so that its members could deliver a professional service but the escalation in crime such as corruption, theft, fraud and other crimes, the SANDF finds it difficult to achieve its goal.

Table 3.4 displays motor vehicles that were stolen from the SANDF in Gauteng Province from 2005 to 2010 and the estimated monetary value incurred as losses by the SANDF. The table depicts the type of vehicles stolen and the monetary values of the stolen vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEHICLE TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF VEHICLES STOLEN</th>
<th>RECOVERED</th>
<th>VALUE OF VEHICLES IN RANDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger vehicles</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R3,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedan</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R4,640,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakkie</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R3,420,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>R14,360,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4: Motor vehicles that were stolen in Gauteng Province from 2005 – 2010 and the monetary value incurred by the SANDF (SANDF. Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 – 2011).

Table 3.5 confirms the exact places where military vehicles were stolen. The table also reveals that 40 military vehicles were stolen from units/bases between 2005 to 2010 and this might suggest that security measures are not up to standard in most military bases in Gauteng. Fourteen military vehicles were stolen in Central Business Districts (CBD) of Pretoria, Johannesburg and
other small towns of Gauteng. Nineteen military vehicles were stolen in the suburbs of Gauteng and four were stolen in shopping centres in Gauteng. The location of two military vehicles where they were stolen remains unknown (Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 to 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Place where vehicles were stolen</th>
<th>Number of vehicles stolen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Base/Unit</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburb</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping centre</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Base/Unit</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburb</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping centre</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Base/Unit</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburb</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping centre</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Base/Unit</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburb</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping centre</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Base/Unit</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suburb</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping centre</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: The exact places where military vehicle were stolen (SANDF. Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 to 2011)
3.7 CONCLUSION

The mission of the Department of Defence is to defend and protect South Africa, its territorial integrity and its people (National Crime Prevention Strategy, May 1996). It is obvious that the theft of military vehicles and other SANDF resources renders the SANDF unproductive and makes the realisation of the organisation’s mission to defend and protect South Africa, its’ territory and its people impossible. It is regarded by most people as unacceptable that 86 military vehicles were stolen in Gauteng alone, especially because most of the vehicles were stolen inside military bases or units. The involvement of SANDF members in criminal activities is of great concern, as these soldiers target SANDF institutions by committing the crime of stealing military vehicles either as individuals or as part of syndicates (Provost Marshal General, 2007:2).
CHAPTER 4: SECURITY STATUS OF SANDF VEHICLES IN THE GAUTENG PROVINCE

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The theft of SANDF property compelled the Department of Defence’s Foreign Relations Division (DFR) to update and issue the vehicle control instruction to enhance the control of official vehicles (Department of Defence Annual Report, 2007/2008:85). The former Provost Marshal General (2007:6) explained that SANDF vehicles are in high demand and are easily accessible. His reasoning was based on the fact that there are no strict control measures enforced to ensure that vehicles are safe and secure at all times. Although there are some control measures on paper, commanders are too lenient to enforce them; those on paper are not good enough to curb the scourge of military vehicle theft.

The Department of Defence (DOD) Anti-Criminality Code was established in order to deal with all matters related to crime management. However, there have been few positive results. It is apparent that nothing seems to work in preventing crime and more especially the theft of military vehicles. Crime prevention remains the responsibility of all members of the Department of Defence both uniform and civilian members. The DOD Anti-Criminality section cannot be effective unless all parties commit themselves to fighting crime by tacitly ensuring that all DOD assets are secured, especially military vehicles.

4.2 DEFINITION OF CONCEPTS

4.2.1 Military Police Official

Military Police Official refers to a member of the SANDF appointed in terms of Section 30 of the Defence Act, 42 of 2002.

4.2.2 Stable authority

A stabling authority refers to a process that authorises a member of the Department of Defence (DOD) to stable a DOD vehicle at his/her residence.
This authority must be the exception to the rule and can only be granted for the specific task/duty. A stabling authority is also applicable to members whose residence is situated within a Force Structure Element (FSE) security area (Log 14 pamphlet, n.d.).

4.2.3 Trip authority

A trip authority is a document that is completed by a driver before an authority can be granted to him/her to drive a military vehicle.

4.3 THE CURRENT STATUS OF GARAGING VEHICLES

4.3.1 Garaging within the unit

Evidence suggests that there are guidelines on where vehicles should be kept within unit lines during and after hours although due to the dilapidated state of buildings, it becomes difficult to accommodate all vehicles within lock-up facilities. All military units/bases have places called transport parks and military vehicles are supposed to be kept in these spaces, especially when that particular vehicle is not in use or over weekends and public holidays. Transport parks are always under the supervision of an officer, and the officer has members who report directly to him and they help with the administration of the vehicles under their control. Their administration duties include arranging for the servicing of vehicles, renewal of trip authorities and stabling authorities.

The process for garaging military vehicles, differs from one unit to another, since some units store their vehicles inside ‘hangars’ and some units have car ports and still others units are not better resourced in terms of facilities and therefore vehicles are parked in front of offices over weekends and public holidays. A ‘hangar’ is generally a big shelter built with corrugated iron that can accommodate up to 20 military vehicles, including armoured vehicles (own definition).
‘Hangars’ are better suited at military bases because these keep vehicles out of sight all the time. However, not all units have ‘hangars’. The transport parks in most units do not have adequate parking spaces for all the military vehicles under their control, as a unit have steadily acquired more vehicles from 2005 to 2010. Approximately ten years ago, medium and large units would have between 10 and 20 vehicles, but currently these same units have 30 to 40 vehicles per unit. Nonetheless, it remains important that all military vehicles must be parked within a safe and secured environment at all times.

Based on observation and personal experience all SANDF units are under strict protection at all times, which is provided by soldiers armed with rifles (at most units). In most cases members from the infantry corps provide protection in the DOD. The Military Police Agency (MPA) Instruction 07 of 1999 stated that in order to maintain law and order and a high standard of discipline in a particular service, no member attached to the MPA will be used for tasks that are not directly linked with the Military Police function.

Military Police Agency personnel will not be utilised for the following: (a) The patrolling and maintenance of security fences, (b) Entry control, (c) Control over Regimental Police, and (d) Members of Board of Investigations, Preliminary Investigations, senior officer off duty, duty officers/Non Commissioned Officers, except with regard to the MP Office’s operations. Therefore military police members are not allowed to stand guard or to do guard duties at other units / bases other than their base(s). However, a military police station is not treated like a unit where there are guards at the gate since there is a client service centre which is manned 24 hours a day.

4.3.2 Private garages (at home)

In terms of the Log 14 pamphlet (n.d.) DOD vehicles must be garaged in a securely locked garage or parked in a fenced-off private property, of which the gate must be locked and the vehicle be parked out of sight.
In terms of the Log 14 Pamphlet (n.d.), the driver must take every reasonable precaution to discourage and/or prevent theft. The above-mentioned condition does not apply to flats, which do not have garage facilities. Military vehicles may only be garaged at a complex where lock-up garages are available. According to the Department of Defence Instruction 02 (1998:3) members may only be allowed to take military vehicles home only in exceptional cases, whereby a member must perform early or late duty. The fact remains that there are members of the SANDF that drive military vehicles on a daily basis, without having to perform an early or late duty.

Before a driver can take a military vehicle home with him, a director/supervisor of his Service/Division must certify by signature that the driver qualifies all the requirements on a form called a stable authority. On the stable authority form the driver is required to describe in writing the nature of the duty that requires him to have a military vehicle. The driver also certifies that he has a lock-up garage/facility where the vehicle will be kept once the driver has arrived home.

A lock-up facility refers to a complex where there is preferably security guards controlling vehicle access at the gate. The driver must certify that the military vehicle will be garaged at one of the following locations during the day, overnight, over weekends and public holidays: (a) within a SANDF security area, (b) within fenced and guarded plots or terrain and, (c) inside a garage that can be securely locked (Log 14 pamphlet, n.d.). If a driver does not have a garage at home but he resides next to a military base or a South African Police (SAP) station, he can park the vehicle inside the military unit/base or inside the police station. It is the responsibility of the driver to inform the duty room personnel at the military base/unit or SAP station about the vehicle.

Should the driver not have access to either of the above-mentioned garaging options, the authority cannot be granted for him to drive a military vehicle home. The problem here is that in most cases drivers provide the incorrect information about places where a vehicle will be kept, because they know that commanders will not do or send someone to physically confirm whether he qualifies for all the requirements before an authority could be granted.
4.3.3 Public places

According to the Log 14 pamphlet (n.d.) military vehicles cannot be parked along unattended streets. Preferably vehicles must be parked in secured parking areas where there are guards. The driver responsible will be the one to settle the parking bill for the vehicle. A public place means any other place other than a military base/unit, for example, within the CBD, built-up areas, suburbia, shopping centres, and the like. According a document titled Duties and Responsibilities of SANDF Vehicle Drivers (1997:8) members visiting urban areas such as the Finance Office or Bank of Lisbon in the Pretoria CBD are not allowed to park vehicles in the streets. However, this is perplexing for the drivers, as there is absolutely no parking for outstation vehicles at either premises.

Many vehicles were stolen from public places especially in Pretoria. There are four places that are problematic for military drivers in the Pretoria CBD that include the Army Headquarters (Dequar Road), Air Force Headquarters (Dequar Road), Poyntons Building (Corner Bosman and WF Nkomo streets, where Chief Finance is and Foreign allowance are obtained) and the Bank of Lisbon (Corner Paul Kruger and Visagie streets where Human Resource Centre is housed). Military drivers from all over South Africa are sent to these two buildings for administration duties. The main challenge that has existed for many years (based on observation and experience) is that there is absolutely no secured parking available for military vehicles visiting the buildings other than the vehicles that are on the strength of the above mentioned directorates. Even members working in both buildings do not have parking for their private vehicles inside the premises except for the high ranking officials such as Generals.

Drivers are forced to park vehicles in the street and in most cases the vehicles are left unattended, rendering them easy targets. The Log 14 pamphlet (n.d.) makes provision for drivers to leave military vehicles unattended when delivering goods or documents (provided he/she is alone) or when a driver is ordered to do so by a duly authorised person. Therefore the security of military vehicles is somehow compromised.
SANDF documentation (Duties and responsibilities of SANDF vehicle Drivers, 1997:3) further mentioned that a driver is not permitted to leave a vehicle unattended except (1) when it is an empty cargo vehicle, (2) when the vehicle and cargo can be locked up, (3) when in exceptional circumstances, (4) when delivering goods or documents, (5) if so ordered by a duly authorised person, (6) if the driver has a good reason thereto and necessary precautions have been taken and (7) when a vehicle is left unattended, the vehicle trip authority and the ignition key are to be removed. The security of military vehicles is inconsistent and is not taken seriously.

4.4 CURRENT STATUS OF SANDF VEHICLE SECURITY

As part of security measures within the SANDF, there is a document called a trip authority which is renewable every Monday (expires after seven days) at the transport park. Every military unit/base got a transport park where all unit / base vehicles are controlled. A trip authority is part of a system aimed at controlling the movements of military vehicles because no vehicle is allowed to drive out of a unit without a valid trip authority. A trip authority has an attachment called a log book where a driver is supposed to complete it from one point to another and after seven days, he/she will add all the kilometres travelled together and close the trip authority before acquiring a new one.

According to the Department of Defence’s Annual Report (2010/2011:136), the SANDF vehicle fleet is also safeguarded through the use of non-removable stickers in order to make them easily identifiable. Some vehicles are monitored by Defence Intelligence or the respective service or division through the tracking system. Although in practice, there are few vehicles that are safeguarded through the use of stickers, the majority of vehicles do not have stickers, meaning they can only be identified by their registration numbers. Again there are few vehicles that are monitored by Defence Intelligence (DI), which could be because DI is only able to monitor vehicles that belong to them (DI). Some vehicles especially those that were bought after 2005 are fitted with factory immobilisers, gear locks and central lock systems.
According to section 34 of the Defence Act 42 of 2002, the purpose of Defence Intelligence is to ensure national security; assist in the formulation of defense policy; assist in the determination of defense strategy; assist in the execution of and defence of national and foreign policy; ensure the security of defense assets of whatever description; and assist in the co-ordination of foreign military assistance. The problem is that Defence Intelligence does not have the mandate to arrest, so if a military vehicle is stolen and the tracking system is monitored by Defence Intelligence, they can alert the Military Police or the SAPS to commence a search for the vehicle, but they cannot personally arrest the perpetrators if found.

There are also other military vehicles that have provincial registration numbers because of their involvement in clandestine operations and those vehicles are vulnerable to misuse, which subsequently lead to theft since they cannot be differentiated from any civilian vehicle. These vehicles are used by Defence Intelligence members, Military Police Intelligence, Military Police Special Investigation Branch and Military Police Internal Investigations. The only control mechanism that commanders rely on is the trip authority that checks their members’ trip authorities after each and every trip, especially after weekends. Since Defence Intelligence has the capability of installing the tracker system, they are able to monitor their own vehicles.

4.4.1 Locks

Locks are used to lock up unit/base gates, ‘hangars’ and transport park gates so that unauthorised people do not have access. The problem is the access control of keys since there are no strong measures in place in most SANDF units. During public holidays and weekends all unit keys are kept in the duty room (based on observation and personal experience) and the officer on duty will be in control of the keys and in his/her absence the next higher rank will be in charge. Statistics have shown that most military vehicles in Gauteng were stolen from units on weekends and public holidays. The fact that some members report for duty under the influence of alcohol is attributed to the theft of military vehicles, since such members cannot perform their duties diligently.
On more than one occasion, the key to the safe has been found hanging around in plain sight, creating an opportunity for would-be thieves.

### 4.4.2 Central lock system

According to the researchers’ observations, most of the new military vehicles (bought after 2005) have factory installed central lock systems. The old vehicles do not have central lock systems and therefore these are vulnerable to theft. Even those that have factory installed central lock systems are not immune to theft since most of them were stolen using keys, especially duplicate keys.

### 4.4.3 Gear lock

A gear lock is used effectively on the side of the console. The internal pin is manufactured from tempered steel. A gear lock is a highly effective anti-theft device, which prevents the gear-shifter from moving once in the locking position. A gear lock bolts the gear stick movement of a car, thus making it difficult to shift gears as in the case of theft. According to the researcher’s observations, most of the new vehicles have factory installed gear locks that are locked by pressing down the lock button and to unlock it a key has to be used. The old vehicles do not have factory installed gear locks. While transport officers were supposed to procure gear locks to protect these older vehicles, it has never happened, rendering these vehicles an easy target for motor vehicle thieves.

### 4.4.4 Geographical position system (GPS)

The researcher has observed the development and procurement of the GPS system since 1996, as an employee at the SANDF. Military vehicles that were purchased between 2005 and 2010 do not have built in Global Positioning Systems (GPS), therefore Services and Divisions had to purchase GPS for vehicles under their control but it has that not happened to most of them. Vehicles that were bought in 2011 had built in GPS, most notably the Renault Meganes that were bought by the Military Police Division and few other Services and Divisions.
A GPS makes it easier for a driver to find a specific place, instead of driving around asking people for directions or relying on a map book, thereby exposing themselves to unnecessary hijackings.

### 4.5 COSTS VERSUS SAVINGS

There were approximately 92 military vehicles that were stolen (2006 statistics are unavailable) in Gauteng from 2005 to 2011 and the monetary value of these vehicles is approximately R 16,234 million. These vehicles were stolen from units/bases, streets, private homes and central business district (CBD). Most vehicles were stolen from units/bases. But the question would be what to do to salvage the situation?

During an interview with an officer from Defence Intelligence (identity withheld) who is also a project officer for the installation of tracking devices on SANDF vehicles, he said there is a motor vehicle tracking company that has been contracted to install tracking devices on SANDF vehicles. He further said that the installation and monthly premiums will be budgeted for by the respective Services and Divisions. According to their figures it costs R2 500 to install a tracking system on one vehicle, irrespective of the model or make, and the monthly premium is R50 per vehicle (R50 x 12 = R600). The SANDF (only in Gauteng) suffered a loss of about R14,360,000 (See table 3.4) due to vehicle theft. If these vehicles were installed with tracking systems earlier the loss could have been averted and the SANDF could have saved millions of rands incurred due to vehicle theft.

### 4.6 THE ROLE OF THE MILITARY POLICE IN CRIME PREVENTION

In terms of Section 31 of the Defence Act 42 of 2002, a Military Police member has the same powers, functions and duties as a member of the South African Police Service. For the purpose of enforcing the Defence Act or any other law including the common law in so far as it applies to the Department of Defence, any member, employee or property of the Department of Defence, to any person, area, land, premises or property under the protection or control of the Department of Defence, a military police official may at any time and in any place perform any police function, which includes:
the prevention and combating of crime, the investigation of any offence or alleged offence; and the maintenance of law and order. Military Police provide a military policing capability to the Department of Defence (DOD Annual Report, 2008/9:125). The Military Police (MP) Division has achieved most of its objectives successfully, despite structural challenges and budget constraints. In striving towards a crime-free military environment, the MP Division involved Services and Divisions in crime-combating exercises and established an anti-criminality capability to orchestrate the management of crime in the DOD (Department of Defence Annual Report, 2010/11:136).

The Chief of the MP Division, the Provost Marshal General (PMG), had bilateral talks with Chiefs of Services and Divisions on a continuous basis to discuss challenges in respect of crime within their areas of responsibility, and provided advice during these meetings. Provision has been made in the Defence Review Update of 2007 for a Safeguarding Board. The Safeguarding Board was successfully established in the DOD and met monthly.

Furthermore the Nodal Point on Anti-Criminality was established at the MP Division Headquarters (Pretoria). Instructions were subsequently issued to Services and Divisions to establish their respective nodal points for the prevention and combating of crime. These nodal points were successfully established and provide monthly crime management information and reports at the Safeguarding Board. The newly-established Protection and Security Section at the MP Division HQ assisted Services and Divisions in conducting crime risk surveys (Department of Defence Annual Report, 2007/8:174).

4.7 CHALLENGES

There were approximately (due to the unavailability of 2006 statistics) 92 military vehicles that were stolen in Gauteng from 2005 to 2011. All the vehicles that were stolen were not installed with tracking devices otherwise they could have been recovered easily. Thirty nine of the stolen vehicles were taken from military units/bases where there are guards at the gates 24 hours a day. This raises concerns regarding whether the guards are not maybe the ones arranging the theft of the vehicles.
For instance on 18 April 2008 a Toyota 16-seater bus (case number withheld) was stolen from the South African Air Force Gymnasium. The question would be where were the guards when the vehicle was stolen? On 27 June 2007 a Ford sedan was stolen (case number withheld) whilst parked outside the duty room of the General Support Base (GSB) Garrison in Thaba Tshwane. There are guards at these areas at all times and the whereabouts have to be questioned, when the vehicle was stolen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SANDF VEHICLES STOLEN IN GAUTENG FROM 2005 – 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STOLEN FROM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITS / BASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE / HOMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: SANDF vehicles stolen in Gauteng from 2005 – 2011 and places where they were stolen (SANDF: Military Police Division Cases Reported from 2005 – 2011).

Seventeen vehicles were stolen at public places, meaning that these vehicles were stolen whilst parked along the street in public places unattended. The Log 14 pamphlet states clearly that a military vehicle cannot be left unattended in case it is parked in an unsecured area. On 28 February 2008, an Isuzu bakkie was stolen (CAS 2008/02/34) whilst parked outside the Thaba Tshwane shopping complex. The driver left the vehicle unattended and went inside the complex to do some shopping and when he returned the vehicle was long gone. The military police are supposed to do patrols and when they see a military vehicle parked in a public place, they should then take disciplinary actions against the driver, but they (military police) are thin on the ground due to structural challenges. This is also part of the problem. The 14 military vehicles that were stolen in private places or at homes suggest that drivers never provided correct information about parking facilities at their homes when completing the stabling authority, despite the fact that the Log 14
pamphlet clearly states that a commander or a transport officer must do an inspection to ensure that indeed the member (driver) has a garage or a lock-up facility where the vehicle will be parked before signing the members’ authority to take a vehicle home. On 01 November 2008 a Toyota sedan was stolen (case number withheld) at Thaba Tshwane whilst parked outside a garage instead of being parked inside a garage. The military vehicle was stolen due to negligence on the side of the driver because he had a choice of parking the vehicle at the nearest military base and instead drove home with his car. For example:

‘I was allocated a military vehicle in 2009 and knowing that I had no secured parking, I would drive from home to Dequar Military Police Station where I would leave my car (private car) and drove the military vehicle to work, and coming back from work I would go through the same process. By so doing the military vehicle was always safe’ (the researcher’s personal experience).

The fact is that some of the vehicles are stolen as a result of pure negligence and a contributing factor is that drivers know that there are means of monitoring the military vehicles such as tracking devices. According to the Log 14 pamphlet (n.d.) if a driver does not reside near a military base he/she can park the military vehicle at the nearest South African Police Service station but the SANDF drivers do not adhere to policies and instructions. There are three hundred and thirty nine (339) military policemen and women in Gauteng currently. Out of the 339 military police members, there are those that are not directly involved in crime prevention activities such as: the client service centre personnel, Case Management System (CAS) members, detention barrack members, and those who do the day-to-day unit administration. There are also sections like police intelligence, special investigation branch, and internal investigation sections that do not necessarily take part in crime prevention activities. Some of the members out of the 339 are deployed both internally to man South Africa’s boarders and externally for peace-keeping/enforcement Missions in Africa (under the African Union and United Nations organisations respectively) and some are sent to complete courses whilst some take part in some of the SANDF exercises such as Exercise...
Seboka, Young Eagle, and the like. Gauteng has the highest number of military personnel when compared to any other province in the country. All the Services/Divisions headquarters are in Gauteng. Headquarters for the 4 arms of Service such as the South African Navy (SAN) Headquarters, the South Military Health Services (SAHMS), South African Army, and South African Air Force are all in Pretoria. Again the headquarters for all the Divisions such as the DOD Intelligence, Military Police, Command and Management Information System are also in Pretoria. There are approximately 6000 soldiers working in Pretoria; therefore military police members are thin on the ground.

As mentioned in the DOD Annual Report, (2010/11:92) the military police have done well despite structural challenges and budget constraints. There are many vacant posts within the Military Police Division but these are unfunded. Even if the structural challenges could be overcome, another challenge is the shortage of military accommodation in Pretoria where the majority of soldiers work. So creating more posts for the military police in Gauteng would not necessarily solve the crime problem, especially motor vehicle theft.

Most drivers have only heard of a Log 14 pamphlet or any other instruction related to duties and responsibilities of a driver when they were doing their driving and maintenance course at the time of employment. For some, this could be as long as 15 years ago. The researcher believes that drivers have to be reminded in a form of refresher courses. Furthermore the DOD instructions and policies on the management of vehicles have to be reviewed annually so that they are in line with the National Traffic Regulations. Another challenge is that there is no centralised DOD licence centre and as a result anyone can issue a licence and most Human Resource clerks can capture that licence into the system.
4.8 CONCLUSION

The current security status of SANDF vehicles is poor, and only recently have tracking devices been installed on vehicles. Vehicles that were procured prior to 2010, are rendered vulnerable to theft. To rely on factory or original vehicle security devices is not enough, since thieves are able to bypass such security devices. SANDF bases/units are inadequately protected, that is why some vehicles were stolen from the units/bases. It does not necessarily help to install the best access control systems when the members managing the access points do not have the interest of the organisation at heart. In as much, the guard system is ineffective because at most units/bases, the guards do not believe that their jobs are of value. The SANDF needs to analyse other security mechanisms instead of solely relying on the guards to maintain safe and secure units/bases.
CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS

5.1 INTRODUCTION

The reason for conducting this research was to sensitisise the leadership in the South African National Defense Force (SANDF) especially the Chiefs of Service and Divisions as decision makers with regards to theft of military vehicles at Gauteng. The research paper focussed mainly on the existing preventative measures and the role of military police in the prevention and investigation of theft of military vehicles. The researcher intends to develop guidelines related to the theft of military motor vehicles which will prevent further theft while investigating the theft of military vehicles.

5.2 SPECIFIC FINDINGS

5.2.1 Factors contributing to vehicle theft in the SANDF

There are numerous factors that give rise to theft of SANDF vehicles in Gauteng Province. The most common factors are the lack of general security within the military bases/units and the lack of vehicle security. Most bases/units do not have adequate control over the movement of both personnel (especially visitors) and vehicles in and out of their bases/units and this contributes to the theft of vehicles since these individuals gain easy access to those particular bases/units daily routines (Provost Marshal General, 2007:7).

The guard system that is currently employed by the SANDF is old and ineffective since the old methods of registering incoming and outgoing vehicles and personnel are still in use. In the researcher’s observation it becomes difficult to trace a vehicle once it has been stolen because there is no secure place where the registers are kept. Sometimes it is done deliberately by the guards simply because they are involved (Provost Marshal General, 2007:7). This statement is also supported by the sheer number of military vehicles that were stolen from military bases/units between 2005 and 2011 in Gauteng (Military Police Motor vehicle theft statistics 2005 – 2011). Even if there was a place where the registers are kept, it would take ages to
peruse them. SANDF vehicles are stolen from bases/unit lines in the presence of heavily armed guards and the recovery rate is almost zero. The trip administration of military vehicle is primitive and unreliable; drivers renew their trip authorities every Monday (valid for 7 days). When other motor vehicle management systems of large organisations (such as the University of South Africa (UNISA)) considered, it becomes evident that the SANDF’s system is archaic. At UNISA for example, drivers do not have to complete point-to-point trips (Beukman, 2013) because the system records every trip. At the SANDF drivers know how to manipulate the system since it does not monitor the day-to-day trips of a vehicle because the driver has to manually complete the log book (trip-to-trip). As a result, there are vehicles that were stolen in places that they were not supposed to be, such as at shopping malls during working hours or, after hours, on weekends, and public holidays.

The SANDF does not have proper vehicle security measures that can protect vehicles from being stolen, except the measures that have been recently implemented in the form of motor vehicle tracking systems, according to the Defence Intelligence vehicle tracking system project officer (identity withheld). There were no other measures in place to prevent the theft of SANDF vehicles from 2005 until 2011, owing to the dismal recovery rate of stolen vehicles. If information about a stolen vehicle was provided by an eye witness or an informer, the vehicle would likely be recovered.

There are many SANDF vehicles that were stolen from suburbs (Thaba Tshwane, Mamelodi, Pretoria West) and Pretoria CBD and mainly these thefts were as a result of lack of both vehicle security measures and the parking facilities (Military Police motor vehicle crime statistics 2005-2011). For instance, vehicles that were stolen while parked outside Bank of Lisbon (Paul Kruger and Visagie streets) and Poyntons Building (Corner Bosman and WF Nkomo streets).
5.2.2 Stabling authority

A stabling authority authorises a member of the Department of Defence (DOD) to stable a DOD vehicle at his/her residence. This authority must be the exception to the rule and can only be granted for the specific task/duty. A stabling authority is also applicable to members whose residence is situated within a Force Structure Element (FSE) security area (Log 14 pamphlet, n.d.). Therefore military vehicles are not to be parked or garaged at private residences without a stabling authority.

The current method that is used when drivers have to drive home with a military vehicle is ineffective and it contributed mainly to the vehicles that were stolen from suburbs (Military Police motor vehicle theft statistics, 2005 - 2011). Before a driver could drive a vehicle home, he/she should have to manually complete a form confirming that the vehicle will be parked (overnight) in a lock-up garage. It is the responsibility of the commander to confirm that the particular driver indeed has access to those facilities. These confirmations seldom happen, resulting in SANDF vehicles that are parked outside and thus rendering them easy targets to thieves.

5.2.3 Modus operandi

Mattheus et al. (2002:12), defined *modus operandi* as a behaviour, conduct or operational methodology. There are various *modus operandi* that were used by thieves to steal SANDF vehicles. The use of a master key and vehicle spare keys remains the two major factors that have contributed to most of SANDF vehicles that were stolen from 2005 up to 2010 (Military Police vehicle theft statistics 2005-2010). Surprisingly most of these vehicles were stolen from inside military bases/units were there are guards all the time. Vehicle spare keys are not properly secured, as most members of the SANDF can access the keys relatively easily. Transport officers do not take full responsibility for ensuring that vehicles are safeguarded and that vehicle keys are kept in safe places where only the transport officer or his/her deputy have access to the keys.
The Provost Marshal General (2007:7) mentioned that normally there is a “finger man” who supplies a set of keys to the thieves in order for them to make duplicate keys and therefore makes it easier to steal the vehicles that they target.

5.2.4 Tracking system monitored by Defence Intelligence

In terms of section 199 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa 108 of 1996, read with the National Strategic Intelligence Act 39 of 1994, the National Defence Force shall:

“subject to section 3- (a) gather, correlate, evaluate and use foreign military intelligence, and supply foreign military intelligence relating to national strategic intelligence to Nicoc, but the National Defence Force shall not gather intelligence of a non-military nature in a covert manner; (b) gather, correlate, evaluate and use domestic military intelligence excluding covert collection, except when employed for service as contemplated in section 201 (2) (a) of the Constitution and under conditions set out in section 3 (2) of this Act, and supply such intelligence to Nicoc; and [Para. (b) amended by s. 2 (e) of Act 37 of 1998.] (c) institute counter-intelligence measures within the National Defence Force”.

The Defence Intelligence (DI) cannot collect intelligence information and make arrests at the same time. The Military Police are there to make arrests as mandated by Section 30 of the Defence Act, Act 42 of 2002, the Defence Act further states that the MP can at any time and in any place perform any police function which includes the prevention and combating of crime, the investigation of any offence or alleged offence and the maintenance of law and order.

The current tracking system was introduced mid 2011 but most SANDF Services and Divisions still did not install the system on their vehicles (Defence Intelligence Project Officer, identity withheld). The tracking system is fully monitored by Defence Intelligence (DI) according to the project officer. During an interview with the officer on 12 April 2013, he said the tracking
system is monitored by DI (situated in Pretoria) for all the SANDF vehicles all over South Africa. Being the project officer, he said he is in charge of the system. Essentially, whenever a vehicle is stolen, he is currently the only person that can be contacted in order to track the whereabouts of that particular vehicle. He then calls the Military Police and informs them where to find the vehicle. He acknowledged that this is a time consuming exercise because by the time he has contacted the MPs, the thieves are already busy dismantling the vehicle. He further stated that in the future all services and divisions will have to purchase the vehicle tracking software so that they are able to monitor their own vehicles. Currently there is no member of the SANDF that is monitoring vehicles on full time basis; the system is only activated once a call is received about a stolen vehicle; other than that the system runs in the background.

Almost two hours after the interview with the project officer concluded (on 12 April 2013), a report was received by the Military Police situated at the Defence Headquarters that some armed men tried to steal a land cruiser installed with the same tracking system at the Defence Headquarters visitors’ parking. The thieves knew exactly where to look for the device and they did not have any difficulty in disconnecting it. They were interrupted by the military driver when he returned to the vehicle only to find the men already inside the vehicle, they ran away on foot and nobody was harmed. The Defence Headquarters is supposed to have the highest security in the entire SANDF but it is worrying when thieves target the premises.

This suggests that the current security measures are not effective at all. Under normal circumstances when a tracking device is tampered with, it should automatically transmit a message to the user, in this case to the project manager that something was wrong. The project manager knew nothing about the attempted theft of the vehicle until he was informed. This suggests that the system is not monitored because the Military Police were informed by the driver about the attempted theft, and the same Military Police did not attempt to track down the thieves because they were only two at the station and they were both without a vehicle.
If the system cannot report thefts automatically, it suggests that it is not proactive. If the thieves were not interrupted by the return of the military driver, the vehicle would be gone, possibly without a trace. The project officer mentioned two incidents of SANDF vehicle theft that took place in Pretoria and both vehicles were installed with the tracking device. He said both vehicles were recovered with minor damages.

5.2.5 Security of SANDF vehicles within military bases and units

SANDF vehicles are not properly secured within various bases/units. As a result 39 vehicles were stolen between 2005 and 2011 from military bases/units and this suggests that security of vehicles within bases/units is insufficient (Military Police Motor vehicle theft statistics 2005 - 2011). Most bases/units do not have adequate parking space for vehicles and that is caused by dilapidated buildings and the increase in the number of vehicles acquired over the years. Therefore vehicles are parked all over the unit instead of being parked within secured parking facilities. The Provost Marshal General (2007:7) said the vehicles that are stolen whilst parked in the unit/base transport park are stolen with the help of a “finger man” or a person that is drives the particular vehicle.

The SANDF security system at various entrances in Gauteng is ineffective. Most entrances are manned by soldiers, and a person can enter a unit/base and walk around freely without being asked by any individual to identify him/herself. This statement is supported by the Provost Marshal General (2007:7) when he said that most vehicles that were stolen from bases/units are normally driven out of the unit/base just before weekend or after hours. Some units/bases have recently tried to increase security by installing surveillance cameras. But for every good system to be effective; there must be a good management because the system cannot monitor itself.
5.3 VALUE OF THE RESEARCH

This research serves to benefit the SANDF in preventing theft of military vehicles and it also strives to help prevent the misuse of military vehicles by military personnel. Students that will embark on a similar study in the future will definitely find that this study adds value to their future research.

5.4 GENERAL FINDINGS

5.4.1 Proposal for further research

During research there are other aspects that do not fall within the scope of this particular research. These aspects need to be researched further since they contribute directly or indirectly to either theft or misuse of SANDF vehicles.

5.4.2 The SANDF drivers’ license system

The SANDF does not have a well monitored-license department as mentioned earlier and as a result, licenses are issued without proper procedures. The SANDF licenses are not user friendly and are hand written. These licences, need to be benchmarked to the civilian licenses so that they can be smaller (credit card size) and also have an expiry date. More-over, the military police do not have a system that checks the validity of a member’s drivers’ license and they are not the ones issuing drivers licenses in the SANDF.

5.4.3 The Log 14 Pamphlet

The log 14 pamphlet is a document that spells out the accepted procedure to SANDF drivers but the problem is that the document is in Afrikaans instead of English. This creates a problem for the majority of drivers who neither speak nor understand the language (Afrikaans).

5.4.4 Parking of SANDF vehicles in the Pretoria CBD

There are several units that are situated in the Pretoria CBD and the problem is that the only vehicles that are allowed to park on their premises are the vehicles that belong to that particular unit and all other vehicles belonging to
visitors are parked outside or along the street. This practice has created opportunities for the theft of SANDF vehicles whilst parked outside unguarded. Provost Marshal General (2007:7) explained that sometimes a vehicle is parked along the road intentionally because the driver would be involved as a third party in the theft of vehicles.

5.4.5 Violation of traffic offences by SANDF drivers

During the research it came to light that there is a growing tendency among SANDF drivers to ignore or disobey traffic regulations on the road, such as speeding. SANDF drivers are aware that there are not effective processes in place to individualise a traffic violation fine to a driver and it is equally not easy for the Traffic Department to send traffic fines to a unit/base. The Department of Defence needs to find ways and means of dealing with these types of tendencies by some drivers within the SANDF.

5.5 CONCLUSION

The SANDF has not invested much on general security aimed at ensuring the safety of military bases and SANDF vehicles. Most of the measures that are in place are passive instead rather than proactive. The Defence Headquarters in Pretoria is supposed to be the most feared place in the country but for thieves to target such a place and attempt or steal a vehicle, suggests that security is not taken seriously in the SANDF. It also suggests that the current security measures are ineffective.

The SANDF needs to improve the securing of both SANDF premises and vehicles otherwise the organisation is going to suffer more losses in the near future. It is not easy to track down a military vehicle if it does not have a tracker mechanism installed because military vehicles do not have license discs like civilian vehicles. On the other hand, it does not help to install tracking devices on all SANDF vehicles if the system is not managed properly; for the system to be effective it has to be monitored at all times.
It also does not help to install a cheaper system simply because the organisation wants to save money. It is better to have the best system that will help the organisation to save more money in the future. It is always better to prevent crime from happening rather than to let it happen and thereafter start with lengthy investigation processes of tracking the vehicle and the perpetrators. The organisation needs a proactive system that will help to prevent the theft of SANDF vehicles before it happens. UNISA has been able to save thousands of rands because the organisation uses a proactive system that has made it difficult for thieves to steal their vehicles and it has also made it difficult for their employees to misuse vehicles.
CHAPTER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

6.1 INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this study is to develop a strategy that can be used to combat the theft of military vehicles not only in Gauteng but in South Africa since the South African National Defence Force is a national asset although the study concentrated in Gauteng. Therefore this chapter makes recommendations for such a strategy, on the basis of the objectives and the findings of this study. In conjunction with the objectives of the research, the findings are summarised below:

Objective no. 1: To investigate the factors that give rise to motor-vehicle thefts in the SANDF. The lack of security measures within the military units/bases and the lack of motor vehicles security measures aimed at monitoring military vehicles have proven to be some of the contributing factors to the theft of military vehicles in Gauteng.

Objective no. 2: To discuss various modus operandi used to steal motor-vehicles within the SANDF. The most common modus operandi identified was the use of a duplicate key or master key to steal military vehicles.

Objective no. 3: To determine vehicles targeted by thieves in the SANDF. The most targeted vehicles were the bakkies and the buses (16 seater buses).

Objective no. 4: To investigate the role and responsibility of the Military Police Division in curbing motor-vehicle theft in the SANDF premises. The Military Police are not better resourced to deal with the prevention of military vehicles and there are not enough of them due to structural challenges.
Objective no. 5: To discuss preventative measures that could be used by the SANDF to protect motor vehicles from being stolen. Currently the SANDF does not have a system in place which is aimed at preventing theft of motor vehicles, therefore the researcher intended to develop a strategy to prevent the theft of military vehicles.

Objective no. 6: To determine the amount of money that the SANDF has lost as a result of motor-vehicles theft in Gauteng Province alone. The SANDF has lost millions of rands due to motor vehicles theft in Gauteng alone. The researcher intended to find a solution to the problem so that the SANDF could save some money.

Objective no. 7: To refine some of the existing methods and procedures is currently used in curbing motor-vehicle theft within SANDF. Some of the methods that are used within SANDF units/bases are primitive; such the manual registers that are used to register incoming and outgoing vehicles, the guard system, and the stabling authority system. These systems have proven to be ineffective. The researcher intended to analyse other technological methods that could help in solving the problem.

6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

After analysing some of the South African National Defence Force strategies of combating the theft of SANDF vehicles, the researcher formulated the following suggestions:

6.2.1 GPS tracking solutions biometric system

6.2.1.1 Biometric

The GPS Tracking Solutions Biometric System is a system that provides complete control over fleet vehicles. It also allows for easy management of
both the drivers and vehicles proactively rather than through the old standard reactive methods.

According to Lessing and Wiesenberger (n.d.) biometrics refers to: “technologies that measure and analyze human body characteristic, such as fingerprints, eye retinas and irises, voice patterns, facial patterns and hand measurements for authentication purposes”. Research conducted by GPS Tracking Solutions Biometric System showed that a fuel cost is determined by the manner in which vehicles are driven. This is said to be the only vehicle tracking company in South Africa that provides access to any vehicle using the biometric verification system, this is done through fingerprint identification and confirmation.

This is a system that will not only help by reducing theft of military vehicles but will also help eradicate the misuse of vehicles through driver management because commanders will know exactly who is driving a particular vehicle and how is he or she driving that vehicle, as well as whether the driver incurred a traffic fine. The biometric verification allows the person sitting behind the monitor to identify who is driving a particular vehicle by simply identifying employees by their fingerprints.

Before a motor vehicle can be switched on a driver will have to scan his or her authorised driver’s index fingerprint using the scanner provided in the motor vehicle; the scanned fingerprint will then be stored in a secured database offsite. The fingerprint identification eliminates any requirement for vehicle identification tags which sometimes prove unreliable in determining a particular person who was driving a particular vehicle at any given time.

In case of the biometric verification, a vehicle’s engine cannot be switched on if the biometric unit in a vehicle does not recognise or match any of the fingerprints in the database. This system is versatile in the sense that it makes it easier to register and deregister a driver, and changing drivers is quick and easily managed. Moreover, it also allows registering of multiple drivers per vehicle, which is especially useful for pool vehicles. Furthermore, the passive vehicle identification tag only identifies the vehicle and not the driver and it
makes it easier for anyone who is in possession of the tag to drive a vehicle illegally or unauthorised.

This system does not automatically run on its own but for it to be effective, it has to be strictly monitored and managed by a disciplined manual procedure which will eventually cost management hours. Through this system SANDF unit commanders will know the exact whereabouts of their drivers at all times and this will ensure driver accountability. SANDF drivers like any other drivers have to completely comply with the Administrative Adjudication of Road Traffic Offences (AARTO) and by knowing and having accurate records; commanders will be able to keep track of vehicles and driver transgressions.

6.2.1.2 Mobile tracker

The GPS Tracking Solutions does not only allow commanders to monitor vehicles only when they are in their offices, but they also have the liberty to monitor their vehicles anywhere and at any time through a small mobile tracker field device. The GPS mobile device provides the user with the ability to track vehicles live on the web IQ software. Moreover, the user can set up to 4 telephone numbers as well as a panic button on this very same device. It is also regarded as a wonderful tool for security guards.

6.2.1.3 Real time reporting

The GPS Tracking Solutions proactively manages both SANDF vehicles and drivers by automatically collating fleet-related data and violations and making that information available to commanders. Service providers of this technology have also developed an automated bureau service which proactively takes all necessary actions on any individual or company’s behalf. In case of any violation the system will immediately email a commander and simultaneously send a Short Message Service (SMS) to the driver, sensitising him or her of the infringement.

A driver is immediately made aware of any violation through the SMS and email alerts and it also instills better driving habits since drivers will know that they are monitored at all times. Commanders will also have access to first-
hand information which will enable them to act immediately, swiftly and proactively.

6.2.1.4 Speed capping and zone speed capping

Although the subject the researcher is investigating is about the prevention of theft of SANDF official vehicles within the Gauteng province, the GPS Tracking Solutions has a comprehensive system that will help to eradicate speeding. Most accidents are attributed to speed and speed contributes to a number of factors such as the performance and fuel consumption of vehicles, it also leads to faster tyre erosion and engine deterioration resulting in higher maintenance costs. All of these unnecessary costs can be easily avoided when using GPS Tracking Solutions’ speed capping technology.

This system can cap the speed of any vehicle. If a vehicle that is fitted with the speed capping technology exceeds the speed limit, a buzzer will sound, warning the driver of his/her transgression. Should the driver ignore the warning and accelerate, the speed capping technology will prevent further acceleration and; the vehicle will slow down, returning to the allowed speed limit, after which full power will again be available. It is a flexible system because it allows the user to change the speed limit of any vehicle fitted with the technology in real time.

This technology also allows the user to set an automatic speed reduction that meets the speed limits applicable on any road. This implies that in 80 km/h zones, the user’s vehicles will only travel at 80 km/h on that particular road. The beauty of this technology is that a driver will not be able to exceed the speed limit no matter how hard he tries. There are some exceptional cases where a driver will have to rush to a particular location, sometimes it is understandable, and that is why this solution allows for a remote activation, deactivation, or amendment to a vehicle’s speed cap which can be done in 20 seconds.
6.3 RECOVERY OF STOLEN VEHICLES

There is also a full stolen-vehicle recovery system which is provided by GPS Tracking Solutions, this is a service that includes an assist button which automatically transmits a request to a designated recipient when activated. In the case of a hijacking, the required remedial action such as the remote immobilisation of the vehicle and the initiation of the recovery process will be activated. The recovery of stolen vehicles remains a challenge in the SANDF (unless a vehicle is fitted with a tracking device). A suggestion with regard to the recovery of vehicles after the tracking system has been installed is that the Military Police Division should take over all the functions of controlling and monitoring the vehicle tracking system on a full time basis. This will mean that all four regions (Northern, Southern, Western and Central Military Police Regions) of the Military Police Division in South Africa will have the tracking system available in order to monitor all vehicles within their area of responsibility.

Military Police reaction forces will have to be formed and trained so that their main function will be the tracking and recovery of SANDF vehicles. The reaction force will have to be provided with vehicles equipped with the latest technology (similar to the vehicles that are used by the tracker companies) that will enable them to be able to also monitor vehicles whilst on the move and also enable those driving to communicate with the control room (duty room) while on the move. GPS Tracking Solutions provides a comprehensive solution to the current challenges faced by the SANDF with regard to vehicle theft.

The Military Police has the capacity to track and recover any stolen SANDF vehicle at any time since they operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. The least that DI can do is to provide intelligence information about syndicates that target SANDF vehicles so that the Military Police can do the tracking and recover those vehicles and subsequently do the necessary arrests of those involved.
6.4 ADVANTAGES

The GPS Tracking Solution is a system that is able to monitor any type of vehicle anywhere and at any time. Their technology can also be activated to automatically transmit data to relevant commanders in the case of the SANDF, in the event of harsh braking and it makes that information available in a matter of seconds and ultimately reconstructs the events for you.

This system will definitely lead to the reduction of accidents and maintenance costs because driving habits will also improve, leading to lower maintenance especially in tyre replacement costs. Another advantage is that the real data generated from the system can be used as proof or evidence in court and due to its reliability; it can easily eliminate any doubts that may incur when relying solely on eye witnesses. The fleet reporting software is proactive which provides complete control over vehicles. There is also standardised fleet management data which includes:- vehicle identification, driver identification, departure times, time spent stopped, location or name of zone, trip distance, driving time, average speed during a trip, maximum speed during a trip, virtual odometer and idling time, stop information, street address and position coordinates. These reports are always available on request.

The system has a capability to replay or review routes in case one needs to know a route taken by a driver or in order to verify or maybe to plan a more efficient route, this technology easily facilitates this. The system provides the driver with valuable and accurate information and a confirmation of events quickly. GPS Tracking Solutions has a capability to provide road side assistance, although in the case of the SANDF it would not be necessary because the SANDF has that capability anywhere in the country through the Light Workshop Troops (LWT) personnel. It remains an advantage to other organisations that do not have that capability.

The services that are provided by GPS Tracking Solutions are customised in order to meet customer needs. Some of the SANDF vehicles were stolen through hijackings and in some instances drivers became lost and therefore rendered themselves and the vehicles as easy targets. GPS Solutions has the
ability to connect with Garmin unit technology and by so doing a user is granted an ability to schedule drivers in advance and ensure that they use the most economic and safe routes. The system also makes it easier for both the user and the driver to communicate to one another directly via the Garmin and web IQ software. Should a driver experience a challenge on the road, instead of talking to strangers; he/she will communicate directly with the user. This will ensure that a driver is properly guided with detailed route alerts and thus ensure productivity, effectiveness and efficiency.

In addition to the other advantages, this system will help the SANDF to save on fuel costs through the real time access to virtual fuel gauge, litre-by-litre allowing commanders to proactively manage counter-fuel-theft. The system provides an option that can eliminate excessive idling and manage fuel consumption per driver, even on pool vehicles.

6.5 DISADVANTAGES

This system depends on the availability of network coverage. If there are network failures vehicles cannot be monitored. Another disadvantage is that power failure affects the functioning of the static desktop monitors, so should the person monitoring the system not have an alternative plan such as a standby battery; vehicles will not be monitored.

6.6 COSTS

The installation cost of the GPS Tracking system is about R3000 per vehicle (comprehensive system). The monthly cost is around R350 per month depending on the type of a package deemed necessary.

6.7 DIGIT VEHICLE TRACKING FLEET MANAGEMENT

This is a system which is not similar to most vehicle tracking companies that are web based. Vehicle trip data is stored on the local personal computer (PC), all that one needs to do is to make a brief connection to the internet in order to download the little strings of position information to the PC. Once completed, route building and vehicle data are stored for immediate access.
The viewing of the data and compiling of reports can be done completely offline. This system cannot only save the SANDF time and frustrations but it will also save 90 percent of data costs.

6.7.1 Highest quality of manufacture

Hardware is the heart of the tracking system. These is absolutely no use buying a luxurious expensive vehicle and then risk damage to it by installing a cheap, low quality electronic product which will be connected to a vehicle’s wiring system. A team of engineers have designed technically one of the strongest, most reliable, quality products so that the product’s safety can be trusted by users in terms of its reliability.

6.7.2 User friendly software that works

The service provider’s software is designed to offer all services and has adopted an easy-to-use button interface which guarantees easy access to all the features. All the trip information can be viewed as a list of events in a spread sheet format, a series of graphs representing speed and acceleration or as a route on a map. Any report can be generated either using the custom reporting function or by exporting the data to an excel spread sheet. Limitless ability to add vehicle details, calculate fuel costs, log business mileage, track driver activity and much more are all the features of the easy to use software.

6.7.3 Print reports

Any report or map view can be printed with the click of a button. More-over, the system has the ability to keep a log of all business and private mileage and reports can be modified to exclude private information like maximum speed travelled.

6.7.4 Trip details

A right click on any trip offers more information and details of the trip including acceleration and braking trends and all the speed samples. These can be
sorted by clicking on the columns and trends of poor driving styles can be shown and printed (Digit vehicle tracking brochure, 2013).

![Digit vehicle tracking brochure](image)

**Figure 6.1:** Custom fuel and trip details (Digit vehicle tracking fleet management brochure – 2013)

### 6.7.5 Verified live tracking

Knowing the whereabouts of the vehicles is paramount for the SANDF’s goal of operational safety, efficiency and survival offering. While other systems only represent the last known position, digit will constantly confirm how old the data is, making sure that the data is viewed at real time position. During live tracking, one can view multiple vehicles and be notified of them leaving or entering predefined zones via the on screen alerts or email.

### 6.7.6 Vehicle details

All vehicle details can be loaded on the system, including make, models, usual driver and start odometer. By adding the service interval one can
monitor mileage and know when the vehicle is due for a service without even going near it. This is a perfect tool for monitoring and managing vehicles remotely.

6.7.7 Driver identification

Using the reliable Dallas tag i-button system one can identify who drove which vehicle and when. During live tracking the current drivers’ picture will be displayed on the monitor. Trip reports will also show who drove the vehicle on any particular day so allocating traffic offences becomes possible. The Dallas tag i-button has a unique number linked to a drivers’ profile.

6.7.7.1 Advantages

All records of vehicles are safely and electronically stored in a data base, making it easier for the user to view them at any time. It eliminates all opportunities that may lead to the misuse of vehicle since a driver will know that the vehicle is monitored at all times. Another advantage is that a vehicle’s trip data is safely stored on a PC and therefore it requires few seconds to download the little information on the internet because the system is not web based. The user can make use of a mobile phone to track vehicles anywhere and the system also gives an option of switching off the vehicle if it is suspected to have been stolen but it is only done on instruction via the control room. The system updates vehicles every 25 seconds when in motion. Up to 1000 vehicles can be tracked on one computer anywhere in South Africa. More-over the user will also have the freedom to see drivers’ driving patterns at any time.

6.7.7.2 Disadvantages

During an interview with Mr Kenyon (24 May 2013) from Digit Company, he explained that the system is not web-based but it requires limited internet connections to download vehicle trip details, but the fact is that there must be an internet connection for the system to be fully operational. In case there’s no network coverage, the user is unable to download and update the vehicles’
information on the PC. Another disadvantage is that if the Dallas i-button tag is stolen; it can be used by any driver since it does not prevent anyone from driving a particular vehicle.

6.8 COSTS

The installation of the system costs R3000 per vehicle and the monthly premium is R250 per vehicle according to Mr John Kenyon (interview on 24 May 2013).

6.9 THE IDECO ELECTRONIC VEHICLE IDENTITY MANAGEMENT (EVIM)

On 08 April 2013 the researcher visited the premises of Ideco Electronic Vehicle Identity Management (EVIM) in Centurion to conduct an interview about the EVIM access control system. At the gate the security guards no-longer carry a register and a pen to register incoming and outgoing vehicles but they only carry the EVIM unit. When the guards report for duty, each guard takes his/her EVIM unit; logs his/her persal number or identity number and the system identifies and confirms the guards’ particulars. EVIM units use the latest biometric technology.

![Evim Unit](Electronic vehicle identification management brochure - 2013)

When a visitor’s vehicle approaches the gate, the security guard scans the vehicles’ license disc and then asks for the drivers’ identity number, cell phone number and lastly the drivers’ fingerprint is scanned. If the driver has other items with him/her such as laptop, desktop or any other electronic devices that has bar-codes, the guard will also scan all those items. A few seconds after
the registration and identification process, the driver receives an SMS which will read: ‘Welcome to Centurion Office Park. Your EVIM Ref#: 3073156. Enjoy the rest of the day’.

Ideco EVIM is a company that has decided to elevate the security and safety of other companies by replacing the old paper-based visitor register by EVIM. The unfortunate part is that the SANDF is resisting change since the old register system is still in use countrywide. EVIM is a system that confirms a visitor’s identity at the premises and securely registers the details electronically. Instead of signing visitor’s register, EVIM replaces a signature by capturing a driver’s fingerprint. The whole process of identification and confirmation takes about the same time as completing a manual register. The system has the capability of checking a drivers’ identity number on the national database of identities. In the case of the SANDF, military personnel can be checked using their force numbers and civilians can be checked normally by using their identity numbers.

The confirmation captures on EVIM is made available only to authorise members of that particular organisation visited, which implies that the commander in charge of the guards in the case of the SANDF will be the only person who has access to the information. All the visitors’ details cannot under any circumstances be viewed by other visitors or the guards. Essentially, the scanning or capturing of the fingerprint eliminates any opportunities that could lead to identity thefts since the fingerprint replaces a hand-written signature.

The best part about this system is that it prohibits illegal viewing of visitors’ details together with their signatures. The guard commander in charge will have the liberty to view the visitors list at any time and everywhere, whether on a desktop or on his/her mobile phone as long as he/she has an internet connection. The visitors’ list can also be printed and in case of a dispute, it can be presented in court as evidence.
6.9.1 Advantages

Guards do not have to turn a blind eye on visitors because they do not have a pen in their possession or because it is raining and they are afraid that the register will get soaked. The EVIM system can be operated under any weather conditions.

SANDF units/bases will have better control over both visitors’ vehicles and SANDF vehicles. SANDF vehicles do not have license discs and to scan them will mean micro-chips will have to be installed in all military vehicles, thus making it easy to identify them. Any information captured by the EVIM unit can be used in any court of law as evidence. The unit comes with a rechargeable battery and a charger that can be kept in the guard room to be used at any time. This system will not only help the SANDF with access control but it will also help with the theft of computer equipment such as laptops and desktops because any item that has a bar code can be scanned. There is also a tendency of absenteeism on the part of unit guards (soldiers on guard duties, especially on weekends), therefore the system will also help to alleviate the problem because commanders will know through the EVIM system since a guard will have to login when reporting on duty.

6.9.2 Disadvantages

The system does not have a disadvantage because even if there is no network coverage, it can still scan vehicles and other equipment and as soon as a network recovers, the system will automatically store the information and securely registers all the drivers’ details electronically into the server. A disadvantage might be an extended power failure.

6.10 COSTS

During an interview with Mr Paynter (Ideco EVIM Sales Executive) at Centurion on 08 April 2013, he said that one unit cost around R30,000 and if a company or organisation does not have the capacity to purchase the unit, the option of renting the unit it is also available. The monthly renting fee is
about R2, 500 (inclusive) and after three years the unit will belong to the company that rented it.

### 6.11 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the current situation suggests that SANDF is slowly but surely losing the battle with regard to the theft of motor vehicles and a proactive strategy aimed at preventing theft is surely needed. The SANDF also requires a comprehensive system that will not only prevent theft of vehicles but also prevent the misuse of vehicles, which in turn creates opportunities for vehicle theft. Mattheus et al. (2001:3) mentioned that car thieves are doing their best to be in line with technology and develops ways to outclass motor vehicles security systems. Mattheus et al. (2001:3) further said that other syndicates will go as far as legally purchasing a new model car for which there is a high demand in the black market.

They would dismantle the car just to find and understand the specific mechanical or electronic security devices to engineer ways to bypass that system. In other words they dismantle the car just to study the security device installed so that they could find ways and means to bypass that system in order to steal similar cars to resell them. An additional security system is always recommended instead of solely relying on the original factory security systems. In order to combat theft of SANDF vehicles there has to be a direct involvement of commanders on all levels to ensure that security of vehicles is always given first priority.

The best technological systems that are available on the market can be recommended for the SANDF but if there is poor management, the system will never work. The commitment of commanders on all levels is important to the success of any strategy that may be put in place. It is also important that SANDF drivers should complete refresher courses on driving and maintenance, as these courses will remind them of the importance of ensuring that vehicles are always safe. Since the current Log 14 pamphlet is written in Afrikaans and most African drivers do not understand the language, the
outline of vehicle procedures and processes within the SANDF vehicles are a concern. The refresher courses will serve to remind them about such issues.

Safety of SANDF vehicles starts at the military units/bases and if the access control of SANDF personnel and visitors’ vehicles is not up to standard, no vehicle security system put in place can be effective. Having guards at the entrances does not necessarily mean that military units/bases are safe because some of the guards were found be have been involved in motor vehicle theft crime by providing information to thieves and also providing them easy access to units/bases.

The SANDF needs to deal with theft of SANDF vehicles in a similar way to how UNISA tackled a similar problem. Some of the systems that the researcher has recommended for the SANDF will ease the burden from SANDF drivers of writing point-to-point trips, since the systems will record every trip at any time of the day or night. SANDF vehicles will always be protected from theft and misuse. Ultimately, somebody needs to act fast, otherwise the SANDF will continue to lose millions of rands incurred through the theft of vehicles.
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