THE EFFECTIVE EXECUTION OF THE MANAGEMENT TASKS BY THE DISTRICT FOUNDATION PHASE EDUCATION SPECIALIST FOR THE PROMOTION OF QUALITY TEACHING AND LEARNING IN GAUTENG SCHOOLS

by

SHERIN RAMPARSAD

submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF EDUCATION

in the subject

EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT

at the

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA

PROMOTER: PROFESSOR EJ VAN NIEKERK

JUNE 2004

STUDENT NUMBER: 678-99-0

DECLARATION

I declare that:

THE EFFECTIVE EXECUTION OF THE MANAGEMENT TASKS BY THE DISTRICT FOUNDATION PHASE EDUCATION SPECIALIST FOR THE PROMOTION OF QUALITY TEACHING AND LEARNING IN GAUTENG SCHOOLS is my own work, and that all sources used or quoted have been indicated and acknowledged by means of complete references.

SIGNATURE S. RAMPARSAD DATE

DEDICATION

In honour of my dad

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to:

L

- My husband and my son, for their love, support, understanding and encouragement during my studies.
- My promoter, Professor EJ van Niekerk, for his guidance, assistance and motivation.
- The Gauteng Department of Education District Foundation Phase First Education Specialists, and the Gauteng Department of Education District D2 principals and educators, for their contributions to this study.
- The Gauteng Department of Education, and specifically, District D2 for their support in my studies.
- The University of South Africa, Computer Services Department Support Group Consultants, specifically, Mr N Hall and Mr H Gerber, for their invaluable input, and for performing of the data analysis for this study.
- My sister, Reena, for her inspiration and support

SUMMARY

This study has investigated 'How does the effective execution of the management tasks assist the District Foundation Phase First Education Specialist to promote quality teaching and learning in Gauteng schools?'

This study has considered the concepts 'leadership' and 'management'. Importantly, it has provided for discussion on the four vital management tasks, for effective management, towards the promotion of quality teaching and learning in Gauteng schools.

Quantitative research methodology was employed. The findings suggest that the District Foundation Phase First Education Specialist does perform the four management tasks and does employ strategies for the promotion of quality teaching and learning, but that these need to be improved on, sharpened and enhanced, for effective management, and for the promotion of quality teaching and learning in schools. This study has also revealed that development and professional support of the District Foundation Phase First Education Specialist is called for too.

Through use of a basic management model, in this study, suggestions and requirements are presented, and recommendations are made, for the effective execution of the management tasks towards the promotion of quality teaching and learning. Provision is therefore made for an illustration and description of the model, the suggestions and requirements envisaged, critical challenges and recommendations, as well as a motivation for the model.

The study has recommended the piloting and implementation of this management model, with District First Education Specialists, in the Gauteng Department of Education. It has further recommended, that with the phasing-in of the Revised National Curriculum Statement, that this management model be trailed. Suggestions have been provided for in this regard. Review of this management model has been

Ш

accommodated too. Its wider value and meaning for the Gauteng Department of Education, in the contexts of policy revision and educational transformation, has been briefly explored too.

The management model suggested clearly seeks to champion effective management practice, and it also seeks to bring about improvements in existing management practice, for the promotion of quality teaching and learning in Gauteng schools. The recommendation made is aimed at contributing towards the promotion of quality teaching and learning in Gauteng schools.

KEY TERMS

Management Leadership Management tasks: planning, organising, leading and leadership, and control Participative, democratic leadership Transformational, instructional leadership Quality in education Leadership in curriculum change

ACRONYMS

- GDE: Gauteng Department of Education
- LPFD&S: Learning Programmes Framework Development And Support
- **FP: Foundation Phase**
- INTERSEN: Intermediate and Senior Phase
- FET: Further Education and Training Band
- **RNCS: Revised National Curriculum Statement**
- SMT: School Management Team
- UNISA: University of South Africa
- ILP: Illustrative Learning Programme
- GIED: Gauteng Institute for Educational Development
- **OBA:** Outcomes Based Assessment
- EX-TED: Former Transvaal Education Department
- EX-HOD: Former House of Delegates
- EX-HOR: Former House of Representatives
- EX-DET: Former Department of Education and Training
- **OBE:** Outcomes Based Education
- CIF: Curriculum Information Forum
- CPDS: Curriculum Professional Delivery and Support
- DoE: Department of Education
- DO: District Official
- COLTS: Culture of learning and teaching in schools
- SWOT: Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats
- LP: Learning Programme
- LSM: Learning Support Materials
- **HEIs: Higher Education Institutions**
- DES: District Foundation Phase First Education Specialist
- PSP: Primary School Principal
- HOD: Foundation Phase Head of Department
- GET: General Education and Training Band

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | I. |
|---|-------|
| SUMMARY | П |
| ACRONYMS | 111 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | IV |
| | |
| CHAPTER ONE | |
| OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY | |
| 1.1 Aim of the chapter | 1-2 |
| 1.2 An introduction to the study | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Relationship between management and leadership | 2-5 |
| 1.2.2 Introducing 'management' and the four management tasks | 5-9 |
| 1.2.3 Effective management skills | 9-10 |
| 1.2.4 Management and leadership roles for educators | 10-12 |
| 1.2.5 Contextualising leadership and management for the study | 12-17 |
| 1.3 Problem statement and aim of the study | 17-18 |
| 1.4 Contribution of the research | 18 |
| 1.5 Methodology for the study | 18-20 |
| 1.5.1 Research method and design | 20-28 |
| 1.6 Chapter division | 28-29 |
| 1.7 Conclusion | 29 |
| | |
| CHAPTER TWO | |
| LITERATURE STUDY | |
| 2.1 Aim of the chapter | 30 |
| 2.2 The concept: 'management' | 30-32 |
| 2.3 The four management tasks for effective management | 32 |
| 2.3.1 Planning | 32-33 |
| 2.3.1.1 Levels of planning | 33-42 |

| 2.3.1.2 An alignment of plans | 42-49 |
|---|-------|
| 2.3.1.3 Planning as an interactive process | 49 |
| 2.3.2 Organising | 50 |
| 2.3.2.1 Dimensions of organising | 50-51 |
| 2.3.2.2 Matrix structures | 51-52 |
| 2.3.2.3 The District Foundation Phase First Education Specialist in the | |
| GDE organisation | 52-54 |
| 2.3.3 Control | 54-57 |
| 2.3.3.1 GDE control mechanisms | 58-64 |
| 2.3.4 Leading and leadership | 64 |
| 2.3.4.1 Definitions of leading and the concept 'leadership' | 64-65 |
| 2.3.4.2 Characteristics of effective leadership | 65-66 |
| 2.3.4.3 Leadership styles | 66-69 |
| 2.3.4.3.1 Participative, democratic leadership style | 69-71 |
| 2.3.4.4 Transformational, instructional leadership | 71-76 |
| 2.4 Leadership for educational change | 76 |
| 2.4.1 The leader of change | 76-81 |
| 2.4.2 Leadership in curriculum change | 81 |
| 2.4.2.1 Background to curriculum change | 81-83 |
| 2.4.2.2 Leadership qualities required of the District Foundation Phase | |
| First Education Specialist in curriculum change | 83-86 |
| 2.4.3 Core functions and expectations of the District Foundation | |
| Phase First Education Specialist, as required by GDE | 87-88 |
| 2.4.4 The essence of leadership | 89-90 |
| 2.5 The drive for quality in education | 90-92 |
| 2.5.1 Accountability mechanisms | 92-93 |
| 2.5.2 Improving the quality of education in schools | 93 |
| 2.5.2.1 GDE's quality assurance mechanisms and processes, and | |
| initiatives, towards quality in education | 93 |
| 2.5.2.1.1 GDE policy and guideline development | 93-94 |
| 2.5.2.1.2 Department's corporate image programme | 95 |
| 2.5.2.1.3 Duties of the District Curriculum Education Specialist | 95-96 |

| 2.5.2.1.4 Standard setting and quality assurance | 96-98 |
|--|---------|
| 2.5.2.1.5 Systemic evaluation | 98-99 |
| 2.5.2.1.6 Whole-School Evaluation Policy | 99-101 |
| 2.5.2.1.7 District performance accountability | 101-102 |
| 2.5.2.2 Schools' responsibility to improving the quality of education | |
| in schools | 102-104 |
| 2.5.2.3 Joint responsibility for improving the quality of education | |
| in schools | 105-107 |
| 2.5.2.4 Support strategies for promoting quality teaching and learning | |
| in schools | 107-113 |
| 2.6 Interrelatedness of four management functions | 113-116 |
| 2.7 Conclusion | 116-118 |
| | |

CHAPTER 3

| THE RESEACH DESIGN AND THE DATA COLLECTION METHOD | |
|---|---------|
| 3.1 Aim of this chapter | 119 |
| 3.2 The distinction between qualitative and quantitative research | 119-122 |
| 3.3 Adoption of the quantitative research methodology | 122-123 |
| 3.4 The questionnaire as a research method | 123-124 |
| 3.5 The postal questionnaire as the data-capturing instrument | 124-126 |
| 3.5.1 Questionnaire relevance | 126-127 |
| 3.5.2 Level of wording and language | 127 |
| 3.5.3 Securing a good response rate | 127-129 |
| 3.5.4 Piloting of the questionnaire | 129-130 |
| 3.5.5 Reliability and validity | 130 |
| 3.5.5.1 Reliability in the study | 130-131 |
| 3.5.5.2 Rendering of validity to the study | 131-132 |
| 3.5.6 Editing | 132-133 |
| 3.6 Dealing with non-response | 133-134 |
| 3.6.1 Serial numbers | 134-135 |
| 3.7 A consideration of the scale to be used in the study | 136 |
| 3.7.1 Item length | 136-137 |

| 3.7.2 The use of the scale | 137 |
|---|---------|
| 3.7.2.1 The ordinal scale | 138-140 |
| 3.7.2.2 Coding | 141 |
| 3.7.2.3 Recording of the information | 141-143 |
| 3.8 The selection of the sample | 143 |
| 3.8.1 The rationale for sampling in quantitative research methodology | 143-144 |
| 3.8.2 The sample size | 144-148 |
| 3.8.3 A proportionate sample size | 148-149 |
| 3.9 Permission | 150 |
| 3.10 Ethical issues | 151 |
| 3.10.1 Access and acceptance | 151 |
| 3.10.2 Anonymity and confidentiality | 151-152 |
| 3.11 Conclusion | 152 |

CHAPTER 4

| INTERPRETATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA | |
|---|---------|
| 4.1 Aim of the chapter | 153 |
| 4.2 Obtaining of data through use of the postal questionnaire | 153 |
| 4.2.1 A profile of the selected respondents | 153 |
| 4.2.2 Questionnaires received | 154 |
| 4.2.3 Format and sections of the questionnaire | 155-157 |
| 4.2.4 The collation of the data | 157-158 |
| 4.2.4.1 The summary sheet | 158-160 |
| 4.3 An analysis and interpretation of data | 160 |
| 4.3.1 Section A of APPENDIX M | 160-161 |
| 4.3.2 Section B of APPENDIX M | 161-164 |
| 4.3.2.1 An overview of Section B of APPENDIX M | 164-174 |
| 4.3.2.1.1 Section B (1) of APPENDIX M | 174-183 |
| 4.3.2.1.2 Section B (2) of APPENDIX M | 183-192 |
| 4.3.2.1.3 Section B (3) of APPENDIX M | 192-201 |
| 4.3.2.1.4 Section B (4) of APPENDIX M | 201-211 |
| 4.3.2.1.5 Section B (5) of APPENDIX M | 211-221 |
| | |

| 4.4 Composite attitudes to the execution of the management tasks, | |
|--|---------|
| as done by the DES | 221-227 |
| 4.5 Conclusion | 227-228 |
| CHAPTER 5 | |
| CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| 5.1 Aim of the chapter | 229 |
| 5.2 Overview of the study | 229-230 |
| 5.3 Findings and conclusions | 230-245 |
| 5.4 A recommendation in support of the effective execution of the | |
| management tasks towards the promoting of quality teaching and | |
| learning in schools | 245-246 |
| 5.4.1 A basic management model to illustrate how the effective | |
| execution of the management tasks assists in and relates to | |
| the promoting of quality teaching and learning in Gauteng schools | 246-250 |
| 5.4.1.1 Suggestions and the requirements for the | |
| management tasks, towards effectiveness and efficiency | 250 |
| 5.4.1.1.1 Suggestions and requirements for effective planning | 251-252 |
| 5.4.1.1.2 Suggestions and requirements for effective organising | 252-254 |
| 5.4.1.1.3 Suggestions and requirements for effective control | 254-255 |
| 5.4.1.1.4 Suggestions and requirements for effective | |
| leading and leadership | 256-257 |
| 5.4.1.1.5 Interrelationship amongst the tasks | 258 |
| 5.4.1.1.6 Effectiveness defined | 259-260 |
| 5.4.1.2 Relationship to the Norms and Standards for Educators | 260-262 |
| 5.4.1.3 Contribution towards quality teaching and learning | 262-265 |
| 5.4.1.4 A critical challenge in relation to the model suggested | 265-267 |
| 5.4.1.5 In support of a dynamic framework for education management | |
| development | 267-268 |
| 5.4.1.6 A motivation in support of the suggested management model | 268-275 |
| 5.5 Limitations of the study | 275 |
| 5.6 Strengths of the study | 275-276 |

BIBLIOGRAPHY

278-284

APPENDICIES

| APPENDIX A: | |
|--|-----|
| GDE, DISTRICT D2 FOUNDATION PHASE UNIT, APRIL-JUNE | |
| QUARTER REPORT 2003 | |
| | 285 |
| APPENDIX B: | |
| PROVINCIAL LPFD&S FOUNDATION PHASE HEAD OF DEPARTMENT MONITORING AND SUPPORT TOOL | |
| MONTORING AND SUPPORT TOOL | 286 |
| APPENDIX C: | 200 |
| GDE, DISTRICT D2 SYSTEMIC EVALUATION SUPPORT ACTIVITY | |
| | 287 |
| APPENDIX D: | |
| A SECTION OF THE SCHOOL RATING FORM FROM THE | |
| EDUCATION DEPARTMENT'S WHOLE-SCHOOL EVALUATION | |
| INSTRUMENT | 288 |
| APPENDIX E: | |
| AN EXTRACT CAPTURED FROM THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT'S | |
| QUALITY ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK DOCUMENT | 289 |
| | |
| APPENDIX F: | |
| WHOLE SCHOOL PLANNING FOR RNCS | 290 |
| | |
| APPENDIX G: | 201 |
| LEARNING PROGRAMME PLANNING FOR RNCS | 291 |
| APPENDIX H: | |
| WORK SCHEDULE PLANNING FOR THE RNCS | 292 |
| | |

| APPENDIX I: LEARNING UNIT PLANNING FOR THE RNCS | 293 |
|--|-----|
| APPENDIX J: MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR CIRCULAR 22/2002 | 294 |
| APPENDIX K: GDE, DISTRICT D2 NUMERACY LEARNING PROGRAMME SUPPORT | 295 |
| APPENDIX L: GDE, DISTRICT D2 FOUNDATION PHASE RESOURCE GUIDELINES | 296 |
| APPENDIX M: THE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE STUDY | 297 |
| APPENDIX N: THE SUMMARY SHEET | 298 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE 1: SUGGESTIVE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MANAGEMENT | |
|---|---------|
| AND LEADERSHIP | 3 |
| FIGURE 2: GUIDELINE FOR OPERATIONAL PLAN | 35 |
| FIGURE 3: OPERATIONAL PLAN FORMAT | 36 |
| FIGURE 4: AN EXTRACT FROM GDE, DISTRICT D2 | |
| LPFD&S TERM PLAN (JULY 03 – DEC 03) | 38-40 |
| FIGURE 5: AN EXTRACT FROM GDE, DISTRICT D2 | |
| LPFD&S MONTHLY PLAN (AUGUST 03) | 41 |
| FIGURE 6: AN EXTRACT FROM GDE, LPFD&S: PROVINCIAL | |
| FOUNDATION PHASE UNIT OPERATIONAL PLAN (2003-2004) | 45-46 |
| FIGURE 7: AN EXTRACT FROM GDE: DISTRICT D2 | |
| FOUNDATION PHASE UNIT OPERATIONAL PLAN (2003-2004) | 47-48 |
| FIGURE 8(A): A PORTION OF THE GDE INTEGRATED SCORECARD | 58 |
| FIGURE 8(B): A PORTION OF PART B OF | |
| THE D2 FOUNDATION PHASE INTEGRATED SCORECARD | 59 |
| FIGURE 9: THE PROFILE OF A QUALITY INSTITUTION | |
| VERSUS AN ORDINARY INSTITUTION | 103-104 |
| FIGURE 10: THE RESPONSE PERCENTAGE TO THE ITEMS OF | |
| SECTION B OF APPENDIX M, AT THE DIFFERENT EDUCATOR LEVELS | 165 |

| FIGURE 11: THE OVERALL RESPONSE PERCENTAGE PER | |
|--|-----|
| SUB-SECTION, OF SECTION B OF APPENDIX M | 171 |
| FIGURE 12: EDUCATOR LEVEL RESPONSE PERCENTAGE | |
| FOR THE PLANNING SUB-SECTION | 178 |
| FIGURE 13: EDUCATOR LEVEL RESPONSE PERCENTAGE | |
| FOR THE ORGANISING SUB-SECTION | 187 |
| FIGURE 14: EDUCATOR LEVEL RESPONSE PERCENTAGE | |
| FOR THE CONTROL SUB-SECTION | 197 |
| FIGURE 15: EDUCATOR LEVEL RESPONSE PERCENTAGE | |
| FOR THE LEADING AND LEADERSHIP SUB-SECTION | 206 |
| FIGURE 16: EDUCATOR LEVEL RESPONSE PERCENTAGE FOR THE | |
| STRATEGIES EMPLOYED BY THE DES TOWARDS PROMOTING | |
| QUALITY IN EDUCATION | 216 |
| FIGURE 17: A BASIC MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR EFFECTIVE | |
| EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT, AT THE DISTRICT LEVEL, | |
| TOWARDS THE PROMOTION OF QUALITY TEACHING AND LEARNING | 248 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE 1: EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT SKILLS | 9 |
|--|-----|
| TABLE 2: MCGREGOR'S THEORY 'X' AND THEORY 'Y' LEADER | 78 |
| TABLE 3: IMPACT OF EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT IN THE EDUCATIONAL ORGANISATION | 118 |
| TABLE 4: CONCEPTIONS OF SOCIAL REALITY | 120 |