

REFERENCES

- Abels, E.G., Liebscher, P. & Denman, D.W. 1996. Factors that influence the use of electronic networks by science and engineering faculty at small institutions. Part I. Queries. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 47(2):146-158.
- ACRL *see* Association of College & Research Libraries
- Adams, J.A. & Bonk, S.C. 1995. Electronic information technologies and resources: use by university faculty and faculty preferences for related library services. *College & research libraries*, 56(2):119-131.
- Agre, P.E. 2000. Infrastructure and institutional change in the networked university. *Information, communication & society*, 3(4):494-507.
- ALA *see* American Library Association
- Allen, B. 1990. The effects of academic background on statements of information need. *Library quarterly*, 60(2):120-138.
- Allen, B. McF. 1994. Theoretical value of conspectus-based (cooperative) collection management. *Collection building*, 13(2/3):7-10.
- Aman, M.M. 1998. Bibliometric indicators of the scholarly productivity of researchers and scientists in Kuwait as documented by citations to their published works. *Journal of information, communication and library science*, 5(1):3-12.
- American Library Association. 1987. *Guide for writing a bibliographer's manual*. Chicago: American Library Association.
- American Library Association. 1996. *Guide for written collection policy statements*. Chicago: American Library Association.
- Andaleeb, S.S. & Simmonds, P.L. 1998. Explaining user satisfaction with academic libraries: strategic implications. *College & research libraries*, 59(2):156-167.
- Aparac, T. & Vrana, R. 2001. Advancement of academic communication by use of networked information: a Croatian perspective. *International information & library review*, 33(2/3):133-148.
- Applebee, A., Clayton, P., Pascoe, C. & Bruce, H. 2000. Australian academic use of the Internet: implications for university administrators. *Library computing*, 19(3/4):198-206.
- Ashoor, M.S. & Kanamugire, A.B. 1996. Responding to researchers' and faculty use patterns and perceptions of CDROM [sic] services. *Online & CDROM review*, 20(4):171-180.
- Association of College & Research Libraries. 1959. Standards for college libraries. *College & research libraries*, 20(4):274-280.
- Association of College & Research Libraries. 2000. Standards for college libraries: the final version, approved January 2000. *College & research libraries news*, 61(3)175-182.
- Association of College & Research Libraries. 2003. Standards for libraries in higher education: a draft. *College & research libraries news*, 64(5):329-336.
- Atkinson, R. 1986. The language of the levels: reflections on the communication of collection development policy. *College & research libraries*, 47(2):140-149.
- Atkinson, R. 1992. In defense [sic] of relativism. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 17(1):353-354.
- Atkinson, R. 1998. Managing traditional materials in an online environment: some definitions and distinctions for a future collection management. *Library resource & technical services*, 42(1):7-20.

- Auckland University of Technology. 2004. Information resources policy. Auckland: Auckland University of Technology.
- Auckland University of Technology. 2005a. AUT's history. [Online]: http://www.aut.ac.nz/about/governance_&_history. Accessed 5th February 2005.
- Auckland University of Technology. 2005b. Faculty profiles. [Online]: <http://www.aut.ac.nz/research>. Accessed 5th February 2005.
- Auckland University of Technology. 2005c. *Library news & views* 4(1):1-4.
- Aulich Report *see* Australia. Senate Standing Committee on Employment, education and training. 1990.
- Australia. Senate Standing Committee on Employment, education and training. (Aulich Report) 1990. *Priorities for reform in higher education*. Canberra: AGPS. Chairman: T. Aulich.
- AUT *see* Auckland University of Technology.
- Barford, J.A. 1997. Balance or bias? Information selection for the researcher. *Internet research: electronic networking applications and policy*, 7(1):53-58.
- Belefant-Miller, H. & King, D.W. 2001. How, what, and why science faculty read. *Science & technology libraries*, 19(2):91-112.
- Bennett, L. & Landoni, M. 2005. E-books in academic libraries. *The electronic library*, 23(1):9-16.
- Berger, K.W. & Hines, R.W. 1994. What does the user really want? The library user survey project at Duke University. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 20(5/6):306-309.
- Berry, J.W. 1996. Digital libraries: new initiatives with worldwide implications. *Collection building*, 15(4):21-33.
- Bigger, M.G. 1990. The library: a researcher's best friend. *Writer*, 103(11):20-22.
- Billings, H. 1996. Library collections and distance information: new models of collection development for the 21st century. *Journal of library administration*, 24(1/2):3-17.
- Billings, H. 2000. Shared collection building: constructing the 21st century relational research library. *Journal of library administration*, 31(2):3-14.
- Bishop, A.P. 1999. Document structure and digital libraries: how researchers mobilize information in journal articles. *Information processing and management*, 35(3):255-279.
- Blake, V.L.P. & Surprenant, T.T. 2000. Navigating the parallel universe: education for collection management in the electronic age. *Library trends*, 48(4):891-922.
- Blake, V.P.L. & Tjoumas, R. 1994. The conspectus approach to collection evaluation: panacea or false prophet? *Collection management*, 18(3/4):1-31.
- Blanchard, F. 1994. Auckland Institute of Technology Library – annual report. Auckland: Auckland Institute of Technology.
- Blanchard, F. 1996. Auckland Institute of Technology Library – annual report. Auckland: Auckland Institute of Technology.
- Bonn, G.S. 1974. Evaluation of the collection. *Library trends*, 22(3):265-304.
- Bordeianu, S. & Carter, C.E. 1996. Tenets of reference selection for academic libraries. *Collection management*, 20(3/4):39-50.
- Bradley, J. 1998. Human-computer interaction and the growing role of social context. *Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science*, 24(3):18-19.
- Brantz, M.H. 2002. Library-sponsored faculty book-buying trips: a look at a different approach to collection development. *College & research libraries news*, 63(4):264-292.

- Breaks, M. 1999. Management of electronic information. In Jenkins, C. & Morley, M. (eds.). *Collection management in academic libraries*. Aldershot: Gower, pp. 107-134.
- Broadbent, E. 1986. A study of humanities faculty library information seeking behavior. *Cataloging & classification quarterly*, 6(3):23-37.
- Broadus, R.N. 1987. Information needs of humanities scholars: a study of requests made at the National Humanities Center. *Library & information science research*, 9(2):113-129.
- Brooks, S. 2001. Integration of information resources and collection development strategy. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 27(4):316-319.
- Bruce, C. 2001. Interpreting the scope of their literature reviews: significant differences in research students' concerns. *New library world*, 102(4/5):158-166.
- Buchanan, A.L., Goedeken, E.A. & Hérubel, J-P.V.M. 1996. Scholarly communication among academic librarians: an analysis of six ACRL proceedings. *Behavioral & social sciences librarian*, 14(2):1-15.
- Buckland, M.K. 1989. The roles of collections and the scope of collection development. *Journal of documentation*, 45(3):213-226.
- Budd, J.M. 1989. Research in the Two Cultures: the nature of scholarship in science and the humanities. *Collection management*, 11(3/4):1-21.
- Budd, J.M. & Connaway, L.S. 1997. University faculty and networked information: results of a survey. *Journal of the American Society of Information Science*, 48(9):843-852.
- Budd, J.M. & Harloe, B.M. 1997. Collection development and scholarly communication in the 21st century: from collection management to content management.. In Gorman, G.E. & Miller, R.H. (eds.) *Collection management for the 21st century: a handbook for librarians*. Westport: Greenwood Press, pp. 3-25.
- Bullard, S.R. 1989. Collection development in the electronic age: selected papers and complementary reports. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 13(3):209-212.
- Calabrese, R.L. & Roberts, B. 2004. Self-interest and scholarly publication: the dilemma of researchers, reviewers, and editors. *International journal of educational management*, 18(6):335-341.
- Cameron, M.A. 1989. Evaluation and inter-institutional cooperation in collection development. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(1):23-28.
- Campbell, J. 1988. The RLG conspectus and collection evaluation. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 19(4):235-236.
- Case, D.O. 1986. Collection and organization of written information by social scientists and humanists: a review and exploratory study. *Journal of information science*, 12:97-104.
- Case, M. 1998. Academic community sets agenda to reclaim scholarly publishing. *College & research libraries*, 59(5):655.
- Chisenga, J. 1993. Meeting information needs of researchers in Zambia. *Third world libraries*, 4(1):65-67.
- Chodorow, S. 2000. Scholarship & scholarly communication in the electronic age. *Educause review*, 35(1):86-95.
- Chowdhury, G.G. & Chowdhury, S. 1999. Digital library research: major issues and trends. *Journal of documentation*, 55(4):409-448.
- Chroust, D.Z. 1998. ALCTS Scholarly Communication Discussion Group. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 22(3):375-379.
- Clapp, V.W. & Jordan, R.T. 1965. Quantitative criteria for adequacy of academic library collections. *College & research libraries*, 26(3):371-380.

- Clayton, P. 1990. Conspectus reconsidered. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(3):179-186.
- Clayton, P. & Gorman, G.E. 2002. Updating conspectus for a digital age. *Library collections, acquisitions & technical services*, 26(3):253-258.
- Cochrane, T. & Rickards, J. 1991. Support for research in a new university: a practical application of conspectus at the Queensland University of Technology. *Australian library review*, 8(2):147-151.
- Cohen, J. 1996. Computer mediated communication and publication productivity among faculty. *Internet research: electronic networking applications and policy*, 6(2/3):41-63.
- Cohen, L.M. 1988. Collection development in Alabama's academic libraries. *Collection management*, 10(3/4):43-60.
- Cooper, M. 1983. Collection development in science and technology: a focus on books. *Monographs in sci-tech libraries*, 3(3):15-29.
- Cornish, G.P. 1997. Electronic document delivery services and their impact on collection management. In Gorman, G.E. & Miller, R.H. (eds.) *Collection management for the 21st century: a handbook for librarians*. Westport: Greenwood Press:159-172.
- Coutts, M.M. 1998. Collecting for the researcher in an electronic environment. *Library review*, 47(5/6):282-289.
- Cox, J.E. 1997. Continuing the debate: new protocols for scholarly communication. *Against the grain*, 9(5):44-46.
- Crawford, D. 1986. Meeting scholarly information needs in an automated environment: a humanist's perspective. *College & research libraries*, 47(6):569-574.
- Creswell, J.W. 2003. *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Davis, L.J. 1996. The service philosophy of the academic library. *Technical services quarterly*, 13(3/4):71-84.
- Davis, S. 1999. Serials spoken here: reports on conferences, institutes, and seminars. *Serials review*, 25(3):101-111.
- Davis, S. & Hepfer, C. 1998. The Second Annual Faxon Colloquium on scholarly communication issues. *Serials review*, 24(2):118-122.
- Day, P.A. & Armstrong, K.L. 1996. Librarians, faculty, and the Internet: developing a new information partnership. *Computers in libraries*, 16(5):56-58.
- De Stefano, P. 2001. Selection for digital conversion in academic libraries. *College & research libraries*, 62(1):48-69.
- Demas, S. 1994. Collection development for the electronic library: a conceptual and organizational model. *Library hi tech*, 12(3):71-75.
- Demas, S. 1998. What will collection development do? *Collection management*, 22(3/4):151-159.
- Dewe, A. 2002. Auckland University of Technology - Library annual report. Auckland: Auckland University of Technology.
- Dewe, A. 2003. Auckland University of Technology - Library annual report. Auckland: Auckland University of Technology.
- Dey, I. 1993. *Qualitative data analysis: a user-friendly guide for social scientists*. London: Routledge.
- Diedrichs, C.P. 1997. Money talks, but can it listen? The journals faculty say they read: a report. *Against the grain*, 21(fall):260-262.

- Ding, Y. 1998. Scholarly communication and Bibliometrics: Part 11. the scholarly communication process – literature review. *International forum on information and documentation*, 23(3):3-19.
- Dorner, D.G. 1994. A study of the collection inventory assessments for psychology in the Canadian Conspectus Database and an analysis of the conspectus methodology. *Library & information science research*, 16(4):279-297.
- Dorst, T.J. 1994. Employing collection management as an institutional change agent. *Collection building*, 13(2/3):91-96.
- Eason, K., Richardson, S. & Yu, L. 2000. Patterns of use of electronic journals. *Journal of documentation*, 56(5):477-504.
- Epp, R. & Segal, J. 1987. The ACLS survey and academic library service. *College & research libraries*, 2(2):63-69.
- Erens, B. 1996. *Modernizing research libraries: the effect of recent developments in university libraries on the research process*. London: Bowker-Saur.
- Ericson-Roos, C. 1997. The journal in focus – A report from an investigation of journal usage among Swedish researchers in the economic disciplines. *Inspel*, 31(4):213-226.
- Evans, G.E. 1992. Needs analysis and collection development policies for culturally diverse populations. *Collection building*, 11(4):16-27.
- Evans, G.E. 2000. *Developing library and information center collections*. Englewood, NJ: Libraries Unlimited.
- Faculty use of an academic library reference collection. 1998. By M.J. Aked ... [*et al.*]. *Collection building*, 17(2):56-64.
- Farrell, D. 1991. The humanities in the 1990s: a perspective for research libraries and librarians. *Library hi tech*, 9(1):69-71.
- Ferguson, A.W. 1992. Philosophical arguments and real shortcomings. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 17(1):350-351.
- Ferguson, A.W. 1999. Back talk – be careful what you wish for: three alternative collection development visions for academic libraries. *Against the grain*, 11(2):93-94.
- Ferguson, A.W., Grant, J. & Rutstein, J. 1987. Internal uses of the RLG conspectus. *Journal of library administration*, 8(2):35-40.
- Ferguson, A.W., Grant, J. & Rutstein, J.S. 1988. The RLG conspectus: its uses and benefits. *College & research libraries*, 49(3):197-206.
- Fernekes, R.W. & Nelson, W.N. 2002. How practical are the ACRL “standards for college libraries”? applying standards in the academic library. *College & research libraries news*, 63(10):711-713.
- Fiscella, J.B. & Proctor, E. 1995. An approach to assessing faculty use of locally loaded databases. *College & research libraries*, 56(5):446-458.
- Fisher, W. & Leonard, B.G. 1997. Budgeting for information resources: current trends and future directions. In Gorman, G.E. & Miller, R.H. (eds.) *Collection management for the 21st century: a handbook for librarians*. Westport: Greenwood Press:207-217.
- Fjällbrant, N. 1997. Scholarly communication – historical development and new possibilities. [Online]: <http://www.iatul.org/conference/fullpaper/nfpaper.html>. Accessed 21st February 2003.
- Flesch, J. 1997. Which library is mine? The university library and the independent scholar. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 28(3):181-187.
- Folster, M.B. 1989. A study of the use of information sources by social science researchers. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 15(1):7-11.

- Ford, G. 1999. Finance and budgeting. In Jenkins, C. & Morley, M.. (eds.). *Collection management in academic libraries*. Aldershot: Gower, pp. 39-69.
- Forward-looking library use survey: WSU libraries in the 21st century. 1998. By A. Bancroft ... [et al.]. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 24(3):216-223.
- Fourie, J.A. 2001. *Collection management and development: only study guide for AIS305-P*. Pretoria: University of South Africa.
- Fowler, D.C. 2000. Information technology and collection development departments in the academic library: striving to reach a common understanding. *Collection management*, 25(1/2):17-36.
- Friedlander, A. 1996. Net gains for digital researchers. *Ariadne*, 5:1-4 [Online]: <http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue5/digital-researchers/intro.html>. Accessed 7th June 2002.
- Gericke, E.M. 2001. *Information users: only study guide for INS303-6*. Pretoria: University of South Africa.
- Genoni, P. & Jones, M. 2004. Collection coherence and digital abundance: enhancing the effectiveness of document supply. *Interlending & document supply*, 32(2):109-116.
- Glicksman, M. 1990. Changing patterns of scholarly communication: implications for libraries. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 14:341-346.
- Gold, H. 2000. Acquisitions, the Internet and the academic library. *Acquisitions librarian*, 23:71-80.
- Gordon, I.D. 2000. Asserting our collection development roles: academic librarians must take responsibility for the collection. *College & research libraries news*, 61(9):687-689.
- Gore, D. 1981. Curbing the growth of academic libraries. *Library journal*, 106(2):2183-2187.
- Gorman, G.E. 1990. Patterns of information seeking and library use by theologians in seven Adelaide theological colleges. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(3):137-156.
- Gorman, G.E. 1994. African studies, Australian Conspectus and cooperative acquisitions. *Australian library review*, 11(4):459-463.
- Gorman, G.E. & Miller, R.H. (eds.) 1997. *Collection management for the 21st century: a handbook for librarians*. Westport: Greenwood Press.
- Gorny, M., Jazdon, A. & Nowak, P. 1999. Selected indicators of the range and use of printed resources in Polish academic libraries. *Library management*, 20(3):159-167.
- Gray, A. 1994. An evaluation of selected users' experience of Conspectus in New Zealand. *New Zealand libraries*, 47(10):194-199.
- Green, A. 1990. What do we mean by user needs? *British journal of academic librarianship*, 5(2):65-78.
- Grimes, D. 1989. Assessing assessment: a researcher's evaluation of "conspectus". *Catholic library world*, 60(May/June):259-275.
- Grossman, C.R.S. 2000. Between chaos and clockwork: organizing academic libraries for collection development. *Library collections, acquisitions & technical services*, 24(1):119-126.
- Gyeszly, S.D. 2001. Electronic or paper journals? Budgetary, collection development, and user satisfaction questions. *Collection building*, 20(1):5-11.
- Haas, L.M. 2000. Local information: better utilizing the data at hand. *Journal of library administration*, 31(2):69-76.

- Haider, S.J. 1996. Acquisition and collection development in Pakistan. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 20(2):147-156.
- Hamaker, C. 1996. Designing serial collections for the 21st century. *Journal of library administration*, 24(1/2):35-46.
- Hanger, S. 1987. Collection development in the British Library: the role of the RLG conspectus. *Journal of librarianship*, 19(2):89-107.
- Hannaford, W. 1990. Tilting at windmills: selection in college libraries. *Collection management*, 12(1/2):31-35.
- Hardesty, L. & Mak, C. 1994. Searching for the holy grail: a core collection for undergraduate libraries. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 19(6):362-371.
- Harloe, B. & Budd, J.M. 1994. Collection development and scholarly communication in the era of electronic access. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 20(2):83-87.
- Hasslöv, R. & Sverring, A. 1995. Deselection of serials: the Chalmers University of Technology Library method. *Collection management*, 19(3/4):151-170.
- Heaney, H. 1990. Western European interest in conspectus. *Libri*, 40(1):28-32.
- Henning, J.C. 1990. Die betrokkenheid van die biblioteek by navorsing aan teknikons: 'n verkenningstudie. M.Bibl. dissertation, Potchefstroom: Potchefstroom University for Christian Higher Education.
- Henri, J. 1989. The RLG conspectus down under: report on an Australian seminar, "The RLG Conspectus and collection evaluation". *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 13(1):73-80.
- Henty, M. 1989. Library acquisitions policy by consultation out of conspectus. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(1):47-50.
- Herman, E. 2001a. End-users in academia: meeting the information needs of university researchers in an electronic age: Part 1. *Aslib proceedings*, 53(9):387-401.
- Herman, E. 2001b. End-users in academia: meeting the information needs of university researchers in an electronic age. Part 2, Innovative information-accessing opportunities and the researcher: user acceptance of IT-based information resources in academia. *Aslib proceedings*, 53(10):431-458.
- Herman, E. 2004a. Research in progress: some preliminary and key insights into the information needs of the contemporary academic researcher. Part. 1. *Aslib proceedings*, 56(1):34-47.
- Herman, E. 2004b. Research in progress. Part 2, some preliminary insights into the information needs of the contemporary academic researcher. *Aslib proceedings*, 56(2):118-131.
- Herring, S.D. 2001. Using the World Wide Web for research: are faculty satisfied? *Journal of academic librarianship*, 27(3):213-219.
- Hewitson, A. 2002. Use and awareness of electronic information services by academic staff at Leeds Metropolitan University – a qualitative study. *Journal of librarianship and information science*, 34(1):43-52.
- Hightower, C. & Soete, G. 1995. The consortium as learning organization: twelve steps to success in collaborative collections projects. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 21(2):87-96.
- Hiller, S. 2001. Assessing user needs, satisfaction, and library performance at the University of Washington libraries. *Library trends*, 49(4):605-625.
- Hiller, S. 2002. How different are they? A comparison by academic area of library use, priorities, and information needs at the University of Washington. *Issues in science and technology librarianship*, 33:1-13 [Online]: <http://www.istl.org/istl/02-winter/article1.html>. Accessed 18th October 2002.

- Hirshon, A. 1998. Jam tomorrow, jam yesterday, but never jam today: some modest proposals for venturing through the looking-glass of scholarly communication. *Serials librarian*, 34(1/2):65-87.
- Horacek, J. 1990. The Victorian chemistry conspectus pilot project. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(4):252-260.
- Horacek, J. 1993. What is a research collection? *Australian academic & research libraries*, 24(4):276-283.
- Horner, J. & Thirlwall, D. 1988. Online searching and the university researcher. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 14(4):225-230.
- How to become a serial killer: one approach to the acquisition and retention of periodicals. 2002. By F. Christenberry ... [et al.]. *College & research libraries news*, 63(2):103-105.
- Howard, J.G. 1988. Synergy for research library collections. *Libri*, 38(3):205-209.
- Hurd, J.M. 2000. The transformation of scientific communication: a model for 2020. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 51(14):1279-1283.
- Hyland, P. & Wright, L. 1995. Profile of patrons and their use of CD-ROM databases in an academic library. *Australian library journal*, 44(2):90-100.
- Ifidon, S.E. 1997. Planning for collection development in the twenty-first century. *Library review*, 46(4):251-261.
- Intner, S. 1996. The ostrich syndrome: why written collection development policies are important. *Technicalities*, 16(7):1-10.
- Jackson, M.E. 2004. The future of interlending. *Interlending & document supply*, 32(2):88-93.
- Jacobs, N., Woodfield, J. & Morris, A. 2000. Using local citation data to relate the use of journal articles by academic researchers to the coverage of full-text document access systems. *Journal of documentation*, 56(5):563-581.
- Jagannathan, N. 1989. Collection development at Andhra Pradesh Open University libraries. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 12(3/4):281-296.
- Jeevan, V.K.J. 2000. Information drought in research: an Indian perspective. *Library review*, 49(3):112-119.
- Jenkins, C. & Morley, M.. (eds.) 1999. *Collection management in academic libraries*. Aldershot: Gower.
- Jenks, H. 2005a. Electronic mail. 12 May, Auckland, NZ.
- Jenks, H. 2005b. Electronic mail. 23 June, Auckland, NZ.
- Jenks, H. 2005c. Electronic mail. 19 July, Auckland, NZ.
- Jenks, H. 2005d. Electronic mail. 27 July, Auckland, NZ.
- Jenks, H. 2005e. Electronic mail. 19 August, Auckland, NZ.
- Jirojwong, S. & Wallin, M. 2001. Use of formal and informal methods to gain information among faculty at an Australian Regional University. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 27(1):68-73.
- Johnson, Q. 2004. User preferences in formats of print and electronic journals. *Collection building*, 23(2):73-77.
- Joint, N. 2001. Designing interfaces for distributed electronic collections: the lessons of traditional librarianship. *Libri*, 51(3):148-156.
- Joswick, K.E. & Stierman, J.K. 1997. The core list mirage: a comparison of the journals frequently consulted by faculty and students. *College & research libraries*, 58(1):48-55.
- Kania, A.M. 1988. Academic library standards and performance measures. *College & research libraries*, 49(1):16-23.

- Kao, C. & Lin, Y.-C. 2001. Empirical standards for university libraries in Taiwan. *Libri*, 51(1):17-26.
- Kaser, R.T. 1997. From publishing continuum to interactive exchange: the evolution of the scholarly communication process. *Serials librarian*, 30(3/4):55-71.
- Kennedy, K. 1996. Emerging patterns of partnership in collection development: a subscription vendor's perspective. *Journal of library administration*, 24(1/2):103-111.
- Kerbel, S.S. 2000. Collection development for the digital age: presented at Acquisitions and Collection Development in the 21st century: First Acquisitions Institute at Timberline Lodge. *Against the grain*, 12(5):34-38.
- Kerkham, A.S. 1986. The information needs of lecturers at the Cape Technikon and the ability of the Technikon library to meet those needs. M.Bibl. dissertation, Cape Town: University of Cape Town.
- Kibirige, H.M. & DePalo, L. 2000. The Internet as a source of academic research information: findings of two pilot studies. *Information technology and libraries*, 19(1):11-16.
- Kircz, J.G. 1998. Modularity: the next form of scientific information presentation? *Journal of documentation*, 54(2):210-235.
- Kratwohl, D.R. 1993. *Methods of educational and social science research: an integrated approach*. New York: Longman.
- Krikelas, J. 1983. Information-seeking behavior: patterns and concepts. *Drexel library quarterly*, 19(2):5-20.
- Lakshmi, B.V. & Kanakachary, M. 1994. Information needs and use-patterns in humanities. A survey and proposal for setting up a National Documentation Centre for Humanities. *International forum on information and documentation*, 19(3/4):37-41.
- Landesman, M. & Reddick, M. 2000. New challenges for scholarly communication in the digital era – changing roles and expectation in the academic community: a conference report. *Library collections, acquisitions, & technical services*, 24(1):105-117.
- Larabee, J.F. & Lorber, C.L. 1994. Electronic resources: level of awareness and usage in a university library. *CD-Rom professional*, 7(6):137-144.
- Law, D. 1999. The organization of collection management in academic libraries. In Jenkins, C. & Morley, M. (eds.). *Collection management in academic libraries*. Aldershot: Gower, pp. 15-37.
- Leedy, P.D. 1993. *Practical research: planning and design*. New York: Macmillan.
- Lenzini, R.T. 1990. Serials prices: what's happening and why. *Collection management*, 12(1/2):21-29.
- Leonard, B.G. 1994a. Collection management in Australian academic libraries: an American perspective. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 18(2):147-156.
- Leonard, B.G. 1994b. A view from across the Pacific: the role of the academic librarian in the selection of monographs. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 25(March):55-59.
- Leonhardt, T.W. 1990. Collection development outside the ARL: a newcomer's perspective. *Collection management*, 12(1/2):11-14.
- Liebscher, P., Abels, E.G. & Denman, D.W. 1997. Factors that influence the use of electronic networks by science and engineering faculty at small institutions. Part II. Preliminary use indicators. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 48(6):497-507.

- Liestman, D. 2001. Reference collection management policies: lessons from Kansas. *College & undergraduate libraries*, 8(1):79-112.
- Likness, C.S. 1990. The creative use of acquisitions mechanisms in the college library. *Collection management*, 12(1/2):3-9.
- Line, M.B. 2001. Opinion paper: access to documents by the independent researcher. *Interlending & document supply*, 29(4):175-176.
- Liu, L.G. 2001. The contribution of library collections to prestige of academic programs of universities: a quantitative analysis. *Library collections, acquisitions, & technical services*, 25(1):49-65.
- Llull, H. 1991. Meeting the academic and research information needs of scientists and engineers in the university environment. *Science & technology libraries*, 11(1):83-90.
- Loertscher, D.V. 1986. The elephant technique of collection development. *Collection management*, 7(3/4):45-54.
- Loken, S. 1994. The WLN Conspectus. *Collection building*, 13(2/3):31-42.
- Longman dictionary of contemporary English*. 1995. Harlow: Longman.
- Lönnqvist, H. 1990. Scholars seek information: information-seeking behaviour and information needs of humanities scholars. *International journal of information & library research*, 2(3):195-203.
- Lougée, W.P., Sandler, M. & Parker, L.L. 1990. The humanistic scholars project: a study of attitudes and behavior concerning collection storage and technology. *College & research libraries*, 51(5):231-240.
- Lynch, B. 1987. Standards for university libraries. *IFLA journal*, 13(2):120-125.
- Lynch, C.A. 1998. The role of digitization in building electronic collections: economic and programmatic choices. *Collection management*, 22(3/4):133-141.
- MacEwan, B. 1999. Understanding users' needs and making collections choices. *Library collections, acquisitions, & technical services*, 23(3):315-320.
- Majid, S. & Abazova, A.F. 1999. Computer literacy and use of electronic information sources by academics: a case study of International Islamic University Malaysia. *Asian libraries*, 8(4):100-111.
- Majid, S. & Mansor, Y. 1996. Usage and promotion of CD-ROM services in Malaysian academic libraries. *Computers in libraries*, 16(9):51-54.
- Massey-Burzio, V. 1998. From the other side of the reference desk: a focus group study. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 24(3):208-215.
- Massey-Burzio, V. 1999. The rush of technology: a view from the humanists. *Library trends*, 47(4):620-639.
- Matheson, A. 1987. The planning and implementation of conspectus in Scotland. *Journal of librarianship*, 19(3):141-151.
- Matheson, A. 1990. The conspectus experience. *Journal of librarianship*, 22(3):171-182.
- Maughan, P.D. 1999. Library resources and services: a cross-disciplinary survey of faculty and graduate student use and satisfaction. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 25(5):354-366.
- McKnight, C. 1997. Electronic journals: what do users think of them? [Online]: <http://www.dl.ulis.ac.jp/ISDL97/proceedings/mcknight.html>. Accessed 21st November 2002.
- McLuckie, A. 2005. E-books in an academic library: implementation at the ETH Library, Zurich. *Electronic library*, 23(1):92-102.

- Meadows, J. 1997. Changing patterns of communication and electronic publishing. [Online]: <http://www.iatul.org/conference/fullpaper/meadpap.html>. Accessed 21st February 2003.
- Merriam-Webster online. 2005. [Online]: <http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary>. Accessed 10th September 2005.
- Meyer, H.W.J. 1996. Impact of user behaviour on the transfer of information. *South African journal of library and information science*, 64(1):15-21.
- Mgobozi, M.N. & Ocholla, D.N. 2002. The use of electronic journals for the dissemination of scholarly information by the University of Natal and the University of Zululand. *South African journal of libraries and information science*, 68(2):81-93.
- Miller, A.H. 1990. Do the books we buy get used? *Collection management*, 12(1/2):15-20.
- Miller, R.H. 1993. From warehouse to gateway: a new university library and a new paradigm. *Library acquisitions: Practice & theory*, 17(3):319-331.
- Miller, R.H. 2000. Electronic resources and academic libraries, 1980-2000: a historical perspective. *Library trends*, 48(4):645-670.
- Miller, R.H. & Lundstrom, T. 1996. CD-ROMs in the electronic library: completing the collection management cycle. *Collection management*, 20(3/4):51-71.
- Milne, P. 1999. Scholarly communication: crisis, response and future – a review of the literature. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 30(2):70-88.
- Morris, J.M. 1986. Standards for college libraries: prepared by the College Library Standards Committee. *College & research libraries news*, 47(189):189-200.
- Morrow, T. 1999. Is the customer always right? End-user services in a networked age. [Online]: <http://educate.lib.chalmers.se/IATUL/proceedcontents/chanpap/morrow.html>. Accessed 21st April 2003.
- Mosher, P.H. 1989. Collaborative collection development in an era of financial limitations. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(1):5-15.
- Moskowitz, M. 1984. Collection development and the college library: a state-of-the-art review. *Collection building*, 3(1):5-10.
- Mulder, U. 1992. Building bridges across and academic community. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 23(4):175-178.
- Myburgh, S. 1997. Virtual academic and the virtual librarian: real interdependency. *South African journal of library and information science*, 65(1):40-45.
- Naylor, B. 1999. Collection management for the twenty-first century. In Jenkins, C. & Morley, M. (eds.). *Collection management in academic libraries*. Aldershot: Gower, pp. 257-283.
- Nelson, D. 2001. The uptake of electronic journals by academics in the UK, their attitudes towards them and their potential impact on scholarly communication. *Information services and use*, 21:205-214.
- Netswera, F.G. & Mavundla, T.R. 2001. The assessment of research support in a distance learning institution. *South African journal for higher education*, 15(3):154-161.
- Neville, R, Williams, J. & Hunt, C.C. 1998. Faculty-library teamwork in book ordering. *College & research libraries*, 59(6):524-533.
- Nisonger, T.E. 1997. The Internet and collection management in academic libraries: opportunities and challenges. In Gorman, G.E. & Miller, R.H. (eds.) *Collection management for the 21st century: a handbook for librarians*. Westport: Greenwood Press:3-25.

- Noam, E.M. 1997. Electronics and the future of the research library. In: Proceedings of the 1997 ACRL National Conference: choosing our futures. [Online]: <http://www.ala.org/acrl/invited/noam.html>. Accessed 21st November 2002.
- Norman, O.G. 1997. The impact of electronic information sources on collection development: a survey of current practice. *Library hi tech*, 15(1/2):123-132.
- O'Connor, S. & Pugh, S. 2000. Collaborative purchasing: a model for financially straitened times. *Collection management*, 24(1/2):57-77.
- Oberg, L.R. 1988. Evaluating the conspectus approach for smaller library collections. *College & research libraries*, 49(3):187-196.
- Ocholla, D.N. 1996. Information-seeking behaviour by academics: a preliminary study. *International information and library review*, 28:345-358.
- Ocholla, D.N. 1999. Insights into information-seeking and communication behaviour of academics. *International information & library review*, 31(3):119-143.
- OCLC see Online Computer Library Center
- Oduwole, A.A. 2001. Use of CD-ROM databases in Nigerian academic libraries. *Information technology and libraries*, 20(1):43-47.
- Ogude, N.A. & Motha, N.A. 2001. A proposal for an incentive tool for development of research capacity at technikons. *South African journal for higher education*, 15(3):58-65.
- Oliver, M. 2000. SPARC: an alternative lifestyle for academic libraries. *Collection management*, 25 (1/2):37-49.
- Olson, G. 1994. The conspectus as a tool for (cooperative) collection management. *Collection building*, 13(2/3):87-90.
- Online Computer Library Centre. 2003. Five-year information format trends. [Online]: <http://www5.oclc.org/downloads/community/informationtrends.pdf>. Accessed 20th July 2003.
- Online Computer Library Centre. 2004. 2004 information format trends: content, not containers. [Online]: <http://www.oclc.org/info/2004trends/em/default.htm>. Accessed 7th December 2004.
- Onwuegbuzie, A.J. & Jiao, Q.G. 1997. Academic library usage: a comparison of native and non-native English-speaking students. *Australian library journal*, 46(3):258-269.
- Orna, E. 1995. *Managing information for research*. London: Buckingham.
- Paisley, W.J. 1968. Information needs and uses. *Annual review of information science & technology*, 3(1):1-30.
- Palmer, C.I. & Neumann, L.J. 2002. The information work of interdisciplinary humanities scholars: exploration and translation. *Library quarterly*, 72(1):85-117.
- Pankake, M. 1991. Humanities research in the 90s: what scholars need; what librarians can do. *Library hi tech*, 9(1):9-15.
- Parrish, M. 1989. Academic community analysis: discovering research needs of graduate students at Bowling Green State University. *College & research libraries*, 8(5):644-646.
- Pedersen, S. & Stockdale, R. 1999. What do the readers think? A look at how scientific journal users see the electronic environment. *Journal of scholarly publishing*, 31(1):42-52.
- Peek, R.P. & Pomerantz, J.P. 1998. Electronic scholarly journal publishing. *Annual review of information science and technology*, 33:321-356.
- Pendlebury, J.M., Peasgood, A.N. & Young, R.C. 1994. Use of monograph bookstock in the University of Sussex Library for teaching and/or research; an analysis based

- on loan records 1981-1991. *British journal of academic librarianship*, 9(1/2):127-143.
- Persons, N.A. 1998. Virtually yours: models for managing electronic resources and services. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 22(2):177-188.
- Petrick, J. 2002. Electronic resources and acquisitions budgets: SUNY statistics, 1994-2000. *Collection building*, 21(3):123-133.
- Pfander, J. & Martin, J. 2000. SPARC 1999: crafting new models of scholarly communication. *College & research libraries news*, 61(1):26-27.
- Pinnell-Stephens, J. 1994. Shared futures: cooperative collection development and management in Alaska. *Collection building*, 13(2/3):57-61.
- Powell, N. & Bushing, M. 1991. Collection evaluation workshops project: consultants report. *New Zealand libraries*, 46(11):9-12.
- Publicker, S. & Stoklosa, K. 1999. Reaching the researcher: how the National Institutes of Health Library selects and provides e-journals via the World Wide Web. *Serials review*, 25(3):13-23.
- Pullinger, D. 1999. Academics and the new information environment: the impact of local factors on use of electronic journals. *Journal of information science*, 25(2):164-172.
- Q. 2005a. Electronic mail. 3 March, [S.I.].
- Q. 2005b. Electronic mail. 23 May, [S.I.].
- Rao, M.K. 2001. Scholarly communication and electronic journals: issues and prospects for academic and research libraries. *Library review*, 50(4):169-175.
- Rea, J.W. 1998. A core collection strategy for protecting undergraduate education at a comprehensive university. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 24(2):145-150.
- Reed, B. & Tanner, D.R. 2001. Information needs and library services for the fine arts faculty. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 27(3):229-233.
- Reed-Scott, J. 1992. The implications of the conspectus for Western European studies. *Collection management*, 15(3/4):509-515.
- Reneker, M.H. 1993. A qualitative study of information seeking among members of an academic community: methodological issues and problems. *Library quarterly*, 63(4):487-507.
- Rhodes University. 2005. What is the LibQUAL+ survey? [Online]:
<http://www.ru.ac.za/library/libqual/faqs.html#ques-1>. Accessed 28th August 2005.
- Robertson, V. 2003. The impact of electronic journals on academic libraries: the changing relationship between journals, acquisitions and inter-library loans department roles and functions. *Interlending & document supply*, 31(3):174-179.
- Rowley, G. & Black, W.K. 1996. Consequences of change: the evolution of collection development. *Collection building*, 15(2):22-30.
- Rusch-Feja, D. 1996. New roles for librarians in supporting researchers in the social sciences: the impact of new technology. *Inspel*, 30(4):324-334.
- Rusch-Feja, D. & Siebeky, U. 1999. Evaluation of usage and acceptance of electronic journals. *D-lib magazine*, 5(10):1-4. [Online]:
<http://www.dlib.org/october99/rusch-feja/10rusch-feja-summary.html>. Accessed 15th June 2002.
- Savenije, B. 2001. The Roquade project: towards new models in scientific communication. [Online]:
<http://www.iatul.org/conference/Lezingen/Savenije/Savenije.htm>. Accessed 21st February 2003.

- Saw, G. 1997. Auckland Institute of Technology - Library annual report. Auckland: Auckland Institute of Technology.
- Saw, G. 1998. Auckland Institute of Technology - Library annual report. Auckland: Auckland Institute of Technology.
- Saw, G. 1999. Auckland University of Technology - Library annual report. Auckland: Auckland University of Technology.
- Saw, G. 2000. Auckland University of Technology - Library annual report. Auckland: Auckland University of Technology.
- Saw, G. 2001. Auckland University of Technology - Library annual report. Auckland: Auckland University of Technology.
- Schmidt, J. 1989. Collection evaluation and the conspectus. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(1):29-37.
- Schmidt, J. 1999. Coping with the changes in scholarly communication at the University of Queensland Library. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 30(2):89-94.
- Schwartz, J. 2002. Internet access and end-user needs: computer use in an academic library. *Reference & user services quarterly*, 41(3):253-263.
- Scigliano, M. 2000. Serial use in a small academic library: determining cost-effectiveness. *Serials review*, 26(1):43-52.
- Shoham, S. 1998. Scholarly communication: a study of Israeli academic researchers. *Journal of librarianship and information science*, 30(2):113-121.
- Silverman, R.A. 1989. Desktop publishing: its impact on the academic community. *Scholarly publishing*, 21(Oct.):57-63.
- Skelton, B. 1973. Scientists and social scientists as information users: a comparison of results of science user studies with the investigation into information requirements of the social sciences. *Journal of librarianship*, 5(2):138-156.
- Snow, R. 1996. Wasted words: the written collection development policy and the academic library. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 22(3):191-194.
- South Africa. Ministry of Education. 2003. *Schedule: funding of public higher education*. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- South Africa. Ministry of Education. 2004. *A new funding framework: how government grants are allocated to public higher education institutions*. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- South Africa. Ministry of Education. 2005. *Ministerial statement on higher education funding: 2005/6 to 2007/8*. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Soper, M.E. 1976. Characteristics and use of personal collections. *Library quarterly*, 46(4):400-410.
- Soy, S.K. 1997. The case study as a research method. [Online]: <http://www.gslis.utexas.edu/~ssoy/usesusers/1391d1b.htm>. Accessed 15th February 2006.
- Spink, A. 2004. Multitasking information behavior and information task switching: an exploratory study. *Journal of documentation*, 60(4):336-351.
- Starkweather, W.M. & Wallin, C.C. 1999. Faculty response to library technology: insights on attitudes. *Library trends*, 47(4):640-668.
- Stephens, D. 1989. The conspectus in Alaska and how we're using it. *PNLA quarterly*, 53(3):15-16.
- Stielow, F.J. & Tibbo, H.R. 1987. *Journal of education for library and information science*, 27(3):148-157.
- Stoffle, C. 2001. A library view of the SPARC initiative: the scholarly publishing & academic resources coalition. *Against the grain*, 13(2):34-38.

- Stokker, J. 1998. A Researchers' Centre: one library's experience in meeting the needs of research level students and academic staff. *Australian academic and research libraries*, 29(4):191-199.
- Stone, S. 1982. Humanities scholars: information needs and uses. *Journal of documentation*, 38(4):293-313.
- Strauch, K. 1990. Librarian versus faculty selection: the good meets the bad and the ugly. *Collection management*, 12(1/2):37-41.
- Talbot, D.E., Lowell, G.R. & Martin, K. 1998. From the users' perspective – The UCSD user survey project. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 24(5):357-364.
- Tenner, E. & Yang, Z.Y. 1999. End-user acceptance of electronic journals: a case study from a major academic research library. *Technical services quarterly*, 17(2):1-14.
- Tenopir, C. & Read, E. 2000. Patterns of database use in academic libraries. *College & research libraries*, 61(3):234-246.
- Tomney, H. & Burton, P.F. 1998. Electronic journals: a study of usage and attitudes among academics. *Journal of information science*, 24(6):419-429.
- Tuten, J.H. & Washington, N. 1994. Collection management and resource-sharing in academic libraries in South Carolina. *Southeastern librarian*, 43(4):84-87.
- University libraries and scholarly communication – a study prepared for the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation*. 1992. By A.M. Cummings ... [et al.]. [United States]: The Association of Research Libraries.
- University of South Africa. Department of Information Science. 1994. *Information user studies: only study guide for INK302-Y*. Pretoria.
- Vaal University of Technology. 2003. Universities of technology: philosophy and characteristics. [Online]: http://www.vut.ac/za>About_Us%20UoT%20Paper%20for%20General%20Distribution.doc. Accessed 15th February 2004.
- Van Schalkwyk, S. 2002. Dealing with the dilemma facing higher education in South Africa against the backdrop of economic globalisation – a technikon perspective. *South African journal for higher education*, 16(1):183-188.
- Van Zijl, C. 1998. The why, what, and how of collection development policies. *South African journal of library and information science*, 66(3):99-106.
- Van Zijl, C. 1999a. [X] Technikon Library – Annual report, Dept. Acquisitions & Cataloguing. [S.l.]: [X] Technikon.
- Van Zijl, C. 1999b. [X] Technikon Library – Draft collection development policy. [S.l.]: [X] Technikon.
- Van Zijl, C. 2000. A model for service rendering to meet the information needs of South African artists. M.Inf. dissertation, Pretoria: University of South Africa.
- Van Zijl, C. 2002. Evaluation of Library Facilities and Services by [X] Technikon Staff. [S.l.]: [X] Technikon.
- Van Zijl, C. 2003. [X] Technikon Library – Draft collection development policy. [S.l.]: [X] Technikon.
- Van Zijl, C. 2005. [X] University of Technology Library – Draft collection development policy. [S.l.]: [X] University of Technology.
- Vassallo, P. 1999. The knowledge continuum – organizing for research and scholarly communication. *Internet research: electronic networking applications and policy*, 9(3):232-242.
- Vogel, K.D. 1996. Integrating electronic resources into collection development policies. *Collection management*, 21(2):65-76.

- Voorbij, H.J. 1996. Are Dutch academic libraries keeping up with research material? The coverage of foreign academic publications in Dutch libraries. *Alexandria*, 8(3):189-204.
- VUT *see* Vaal University of Technology
- Waaijers, L. 1997. Opinion paper: towards a new system of scholarly communication. *Interlending & document supply*, 25(2):77-78.
- Waaijers, L. 2001. Stratum continuum of information. [Online]: <http://www.iatul.org/conference/Lezingen/Waaijers/Waaijers.htm>. Accessed 21st October 2003.
- Wainwright, E. 1989. Conspectus and the National Library of Australia. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(1):16-22.
- Walch, D.B. 1993. The 1986 college library standards: application and utilization. *College & research libraries*, 54(5):217-226.
- Wallace, W. & Marsden, D. 1999. *Library & learning resources services in further education: the report of the 1996/97 survey*. Sheffield: The Survey & Statistical Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University.
- Warwick, J.P. 1993. Predicting user behaviour in academic libraries: a contribution from operational research. *Collection management*, 17(4):1-10.
- Watkinson, A. 2001. Scholarly communication: what do scholars want? *Logos*, 12(4):194-198.
- Watson-Boone, R. 1994. The information needs and habits of humanities scholars. *RQ*, 34(2):203-216
- Westbrook, L. & Tucker, S.A. 2002. Understanding faculty information needs: a process in the context of service. *Reference & user services quarterly*, 42(2):144-148.
- Whichard, M. 1985. Collection development and nonprint materials in academic libraries. *Library trends*, 33(1):37-53.
- White, G.W. & Crawford, G.A. 1997. Developing an electronic information resources collection development policy. *Collection building*, 16(2):53-57.
- Whitehead, D. 1989. Catching up on collection evaluation. *Australian academic & research libraries*, 21(1):38-46.
- Wiberley, S.E. & Jones, W.G. 1994. Humanists revisited: a longitudinal look at the adoption of information technology. *College & research libraries*, 55(6):499-509.
- Wilkin, A. 1977. Personal roles and barriers in information transfer. *Advances in librarianship*, 7:258-297.
- Williams, P.N. 1996. User expectations of the Martin University Library. *Indiana libraries*, 15(1):22-46.
- Wood, R.J. 1996. The Conspectus, a collection analysis and development success. *Library acquisitions: practice & theory*, 20(4):429-453.
- Wood, R.J. 1997. The axioms, barriers, and components of cooperative collection development. In Gorman, G.E. & Miller, R.H. (eds.) *Collection management for the 21st century: a handbook for librarians*. Westport: Greenwood Press, pp. 221-248.
- Woodward, H. 2001. A contribution to the serials and scholarly communication debate. *Interlending & document supply*, 29(2):76-81.
- X University of Technology. 2001. *Strategic plan covering the period January 2001 to December 2003 for the [X] Technikon*. [S.l.]: [X] Technikon.
- X University of Technology. 2005. The VUT history. [Online]: <http://www.vut.ac.za/metadot/index>. Accessed 4th April 2005.
- XUT *see* X University of Technology.

- Youngen, G.K. 2001. The impact of electronic publishing on scholarly communication: a forum on the future – a conference report. *Library collections, acquisitions, & technical services*, 25(2):211-222.
- Zhang, Y. 1999. Scholarly use of internet-based electronic resources: a survey report. *Library trends*, 47(4):746-770.