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SUMMARY

THE IMPACT OF ORGANISATIONAL CULTURE ON ORGANISATIONAL COMMITMENT

Supervisor: Prof Nico Martins
Degree: MA
Subject: Industrial and Organisational Psychology

A review of the literature reveals that organisational commitment is an outcome of organisational culture, when reviewing the definitions, theoretical development and models of these two concepts.

The integration of organisational commitment with organisational culture indicates that there is a need for a scientific study to determine the relationship between these two variables.

The empirical study involved the participation of 371 respondents in an organisation. A survey was conducted using the organisational commitment scale and the organisational culture questionnaire to determine the relationship between organisational culture and organisational commitment. The results suggest that organisational culture has an effect on organisational commitment. Recommendations are made to successfully implement both organisational culture and organisational commitment change initiatives.
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